Learning Objectives

Upon completion of this chapter, the reader will be able to:

• Explain what ethics is, its importance, and its application to ethical dilemmas.
• Describe the concepts of morality, codes of conduct, and moral judgments.
• Understand relevant ethical theories and principles.
• Describe virtue ethics and values and how they more clearly describe one’s moral character.
• Understand how religious ethics can affect one’s moral character.
• Explain the concept of situational ethics and how changes in circumstances can alter one’s behavior.
• Understand the importance of reasoning in the decision-making process.

INTRODUCTION

Good can triumph over evil.

−Author Unknown

This chapter provides the reader with an overview of ethics, moral principles, virtues, and values. The intent here is not to burden the reader with the philosophical arguments surrounding ethical theories, morals, and principles; however, as with the study of any new subject, “words are the tools of thought.” The reader who thoroughly absorbs and applies the content of the theories and principles of ethics discussed herein will have the tools necessary to empathize with and guide patients through the conflicts they will face when making difficult care decisions. Therefore, some new vocabulary is a necessary tool, as a building block for the reader to establish a foundation for applying the abstract theories and principles of ethics in order to make practical use of them.

Theories, principles, virtues, and values are a necessary beginning point for the study of ethics. Words are merely labels for ideas and best used for helping the reader to wire his or her mind to think through difficult dilemmas more easily. The directions on a map are of little value until we make the journey. So it is with ethics; we must begin to make the journey inward with a lot of hard mind work so that we can more easily make the right decisions when faced with ethical dilemmas. The learning process for ethics becomes a more enjoyable and rewarding journey as we grasp the ideas, build upon them, and practice all the good we learn by helping all the people we can as long as we can.

ETHICS

How we perceive right and wrong is influenced by what we feed on.

−Author Unknown

Ethics is the branch of philosophy that seeks to understand the nature, purposes, justification, and founding principles of moral rules and the systems they comprise. Ethics and morals are derivatives from the Greek and Latin terms (roots) for custom. The etymology of the words “ethics” and “morality” are derived from the roots “ethos” and “mos,” which both convey a meaning describing customs or habits. This etymology supports the claims of anthropologist Ruth Benedict that all values are rooted in customs and habits of a culture because the words moral and ethics themselves were essentially created to describe these topics.1

Ethics deals with values relating to human conduct. It focuses on the rightness and wrongness of actions, as well as the goodness and badness of motives and ends. Ethics encompasses
the decision-making process of determining ultimate actions—what should I do and is it the right thing to do. It involves how individuals decide to live with one another within accepted boundaries and how they live in harmony with the environment as well as one another. Ethics is concerned with human conduct as it ought to be, as opposed to what it actually is.

*Microethics* involves an individual’s view of what is right and wrong based on one’s personal life teachings, tradition, and experiences. *Macroethics* involves a more global view of right and wrong. Although no person lives in a vacuum, solving ethical dilemmas involves consideration of ethical issues from both a micro and macro perspective.

*Man’s duty is to improve himself; to cultivate his mind; and, when he finds himself going astray, to bring the moral law to bear upon himself.*  

—**Immanuel Kant**

The term *ethics* is used in three distinct but related ways, signifying (1) *philosophical ethics*, which involves inquiry about ways of life and rules of conduct; (2) a *general pattern or way of life*, such as religious ethics (e.g., Judeo-Christian ethics); and (3) a *set of rules* of conduct or “moral code” (e.g., professional codes for ethical behavior).

The scope of health care ethics encompasses numerous issues, including the right to choose or refuse treatment and the right to limit the suffering one will endure. Incredible advances in technology and the resulting capability to extend life beyond what would be considered a reasonable quality of life have complicated the process of health care decision making. The scope of health care ethics is not limited to philosophical issues but embraces economic, medical, political, social, and legal dilemmas.

*Bioethics* addresses such difficult issues as the nature of life, the nature of death, what sort of life is worth living, what constitutes murder, how we should treat people who are especially vulnerable, and the responsibilities that we have to other human beings. It is about making better decisions when faced with diverse and complex circumstances.

**Why Study Ethics?**

We study ethics to help us make sound judgments, good decisions, and right choices; if not right choices, then better choices. To those in the health care industry, it is about anticipating and recognizing health care dilemmas and making good judgments and decisions based on universal values that work in unison with the laws of the land and our constitution. Where the law remains silent, we rely on the ability of caregivers to make sound judgments, guided by the Wisdom of Solomon to do good. Doing the right thing by applying the universal morals and values described in this text (e.g., the 10 Commandments) will help shield and protect all from harm.

**Morality**

*The three hardest tasks in the world are neither physical feats nor intellectual achievements, but moral acts: to return love for hate, to include the excluded, and to say, “I was wrong.”*  

—**Sydney J. Harris**
The following news clippings portray how a deficiency in the morality of society can lead to a betrayal of humanity. Lawlessness and heartless actions run rampant in a land void of courage and compassion. The reader who thoroughly absorbs, understands, and practices the virtues and values discussed in the pages that follow will spring forth hope in what often seems a desperate and hopeless world.

**Vietnam—Terror of War**

Fire rained down on civilians. Women and children ran screaming. Ut snapped pictures. A little girl ran toward him, arms outstretched, eyes shut in pain, clothes burned off by Napalm. She said, “Too hot, please help me!”

*1973 Spot News, Newseum, Washington, DC*

**Ethiopian Famine (1985 Feature)**

People searched everywhere for food. Some 50,000 tons of it, from the United States, had been held up by an Ethiopian government determined to starve the countryside into submission. And starve the people it did—half a million Ethiopians, many of them children so hungry their bodies actually consumed themselves.

“I’ll never forget the sounds of kids dying of starvation.”

*Newseum, Washington, DC*

**Waiting Game for Sudanese Child . . .**

Carter’s winning photo shows a heartbreaking scene of a starving child collapsed on the ground, struggling to get to a food center during a famine in the Sudan in 1993. In the background, a vulture stalks the emaciated child.

Carter was part of a group of four fearless photojournalists known as the “Bang Bang Club” who traveled throughout South Africa capturing the atrocities committed during apartheid.

Haunted by the horrific images from Sudan, Carter committed suicide in 1994 soon after receiving the award.

*A Pulitzer-Winning Photographer’s Suicide*, National Public Radio, (NPR), *March 2, 2006*
Trek of tears describes many horrible historic events, from broken treaties with American Indians to an African Journey of horror, where people would flee together as a village to escape the barbaric slaughter of men, women, and children as the remainder of the world stood cowardly by watching the death and starvation of hundreds of thousands of people. Human atrocities committed by humans. Is it not time to stand up and be counted on to do what is right and leave all excuses behind for our complacency toward the genocide that continues throughout the world?

—GP

There are those who have been brainwashed into believing, in the name of religion, that if they blow themselves up in public places, killing innocent people, that they will be rewarded in the afterlife. This is not religion and it is not culture; it is evil people brainwashing young minds to do evil things.

—GP

Aim above morality. Be not simply good; be good for something.

—Henry David Thoreau

**Morality** describes a class of rules held by society to govern the conduct of its individual members. It implies the quality of being in accord with standards of right and good conduct. Morality is a code of conduct. It is a guide to behavior that all rational persons should put forward for governing their behavior. Morality requires us to reach a decision as to the rightness or wrongness of an action. Morals are ideas about what is right and what is wrong; for example, killing is wrong, whereas helping the poor is right, and causing pain is wrong, whereas easing pain is right. Morals are deeply ingrained in culture and religion and are often part of its identity. Morals should not be confused with religious or cultural habits or customs, such as wearing a religious garment (e.g., veil, turban). That which is considered morally right can vary from nation to nation, culture to culture, and religion to religion. In other words, there is no universal morality that is recognized by all people in all cultures at all times.

**Code of Conduct**

A code of conduct generally prescribes standards of conduct, states principles expressing responsibilities, and defines the rules expressing duties of professionals to whom they apply. Most members of a profession subscribe to certain “values” and moral standards written into a formal document called a code of ethics. Codes of conduct often require interpretation by caregivers as they apply to the specific circumstances surrounding each dilemma.

Michael D. Bayles, a famous author and teacher, describes the differences between standards, principles, and rules:

- **Standards** (e.g., honesty, respect for others, conscientiousness) are used to guide human conduct by stating desirable traits to be exhibited and undesirable ones (dishonesty, deceitfulness, self-interest) to be avoided.
- **Principles** describe responsibilities that do not specify what the required conduct should be. Professionals need to make a judgment about what is desirable in a particular situation based on accepted principles.
- **Rules** specify specific conduct; they do not allow for individual professional judgment.
**Moral Judgments**

*Moral judgments* are those judgments concerned with what an individual or group believes to be the right or proper behavior in a given situation. Making a moral judgment is being able to choose an option from among choices. It involves assessing another person’s moral character based on how he or she conforms to the moral convictions established by the individual and/or group. A lack of conformity can result in moral disapproval and possibly ridicule of one’s character.

**Morality Legislated**

When it is important that disagreements be settled, morality is often legislated. Law is distinguished from morality by having explicit rules and penalties, as well as officials who interpret the laws and apply penalties when laws are broken. There is often considerable overlap in the conduct governed by morality and that governed by law. Laws are created to set boundaries for societal behavior. They are enforced to ensure that the expected behavior happens.

**Moral Dilemmas**

*Moral dilemmas* in the health care setting often arise when values, rights, duties, and loyalties conflict. Caregivers often find that there appears to be no right or wrong answer when faced with the daunting task of deciding which decision path to follow. The best answer when attempting to resolve an ethical dilemma includes the known wishes of the patient and other pertinent information, such as a living will, that might be available when the patient is considered incompetent to make his or her own choices. The right answer is often elusive when the patient is in a coma and there are no known documents as to a patient’s wishes and there are no living relatives. However, an understanding of the concepts presented here will help the caregiver in resolving complex ethical dilemmas.

**Ethical Theories**

*Ethics, too, are nothing but reverence for life. This is what gives me the fundamental principle of morality, namely, that good consists in maintaining, promoting, and enhancing life, and that destroying, injuring, and limiting life are evil.*

—Albert Schweitzer

Ethics seeks to understand and to determine how human actions can be judged as right or wrong. Ethical judgments can be made based on our own experiences or based upon the nature of or principles of reason.

*Ethical theories* and principles introduce order into the way people think about life. They are the foundations of ethical analysis and provide guidance in the decision-making process. Various theories present varying viewpoints that assist caregivers in making difficult decisions when faced with ethical dilemmas that affect the lives of others. The more commonly discussed ethical theories are presented here.

*Metaethics* is the study of the origin and meaning of ethical concepts. Metaethics seeks to understand ethical terms and theories and their application. “Metaethics explores as well the connection between values, reasons for action, and human motivation, asking how it is that moral standards might provide us with reasons to do or refrain from doing as it demands,
and it addresses many of the issues commonly bound up with the nature of freedom and its significance (or not) for moral responsibility.”

**Normative Ethics**

*Normative ethics* is prescriptive in that it attempts to determine what moral standards should be followed so that human behavior and conduct may be morally right. Normative ethics is primarily concerned with establishing standards or norms for conduct and is commonly associated with investigating how one ought to act. It involves the critical study of major moral precepts, such as what things are right, what things are good, and what things are genuine. One of the central questions of modern normative ethics is whether human actions are to be judged right or wrong solely according to their consequences.

The determination of a universal moral principle for all humanity is a formidable task and most likely not feasible due to the diversity of people and their cultures. However, there is a need to have a commonly held consensus as to right and wrong to avoid chaos. Thus, there are generally accepted moral standards around which laws are drafted.

**Normative Ethics and Assisted Suicide**

Oregon’s Death with Dignity Act of 1997 allows terminally ill state residents to end their lives through the voluntary self-administration of a lethal dose of medications prescribed by a physician. Although this act was voted upon by the Oregon state legislature and agreed upon by referendum, there are those who disagree with the law from a religious or moral standpoint. The Oregon act is controversial at best and has placed morality and the law in conflict. In the middle of the continuing controversy is the terminally ill patient who must make the ultimate decision of life versus death. It could be argued that it is morally wrong to take one’s own life regardless of the law or it can be argued that ending one’s life is a morally permissible right because the law provides the opportunity for terminally ill patients to make end-of-life decisions that include the right to self-administer a lethal dose of medications.

As there is a diversity of cultures, there is diversity of opinions as to the rightness and wrongness of the Oregon act. From a microethics point of view as it relates to the Oregon law, each individual must decide what is the right thing to do.

Normative ethics prescribes how people should act and descriptive ethics describes how people act. Both theories have application in the Oregon act. The controversial nature of physician-assisted suicide in the various states is but one of many health care dilemmas caregivers will experience during their careers (e.g., abortion, euthanasia).

**Descriptive Ethics**

*Descriptive ethics*, also known as comparative ethics, is the study of what people believe to be right and wrong and why they believe it. Descriptive ethics describes how people act, whereas normative ethics prescribes how people ought to act.

**Applied Ethics**

Applied ethics is “the philosophical search (within western philosophy) for right and wrong within controversial scenarios.” *Applied ethics* is the application of normative theories to practical moral problems, such as abortion, euthanasia, and assisted suicide.
CONSEQUENTIAL ETHICS

The end excuses any evil.

–SOPHOCLES, ELECTRA (c. 409 B.C.)

The consequential theory of ethics emphasizes that the morally right action is whatever action leads to the maximum balance of good over evil. From a contemporary standpoint, theories that judge actions by their consequences have been referred to as consequential ethics. Consequential ethical theories revolve around the premise that the rightness or wrongness of an action depends on the consequences or effects of an action. The theory of consequential ethics is based on the view that the value of an action derives solely from the value of its consequences. The consequentialist considers the morally right act or failure to act is one that will produce a good outcome. The goal of a consequentialist is to achieve the greatest good for the greatest number. It involves asking such questions as:

• What will be the effects of each course of action?
• Who will benefit?
• What action will cause the least harm?
• What action will lead to the greatest good?

These questions should be applied when answering the questions in the following reality check.

No Good Deed Goes Unpunished

Matt was assigned to survey “Community Medical Center” (CMC) in Minnesota with a team of three surveyors and one observer. He related to me his experience of surveying the children’s dental clinic.

Following his tour of CMC’s dental clinic, Matt reviewed with the clinic’s staff the dental program, which served the city’s underserved children. He also reviewed the care rendered several patients based on common and complex diagnoses, as well as the clinic’s performance improvement activities. During the survey Dr. Seiden, the clinic director asked, “Are surveyors trained about the importance of dental care in disease prevention? As you know, dentistry is often a stepchild when it comes to allocation of scarce resources. Departments like surgery and radiology often receive the lion’s share of funds.” Matt responded by describing a film sponsored by the American Dental Association that was shown when he was in training to become a surveyor. The film presented a man whose dental care had been sorely neglected throughout his life and not been addressed prior to replacement of a heart valve. The patient developed a systemic infection following surgery, which led to deterioration of the heart valve and the patient’s ultimate death. The film described the lessons learned and opportunities for performance improvement that included the need for a dental evaluation by a dentist prior to valve replacement. Dr. Seiden was pleased to learn that the importance of dentistry is included in surveyor training.
Following Matt’s survey of the dental clinic, the staff relayed to him their concern that the clinic was going to be closed for lack of funds. Cheryl, the clinic manager, explained, “I sometimes feel the importance of the dental clinic to the underserved population is not well-understood.” A bit emotional, Cheryl said, “Matt, have you surveyed other dental clinics?” Matt replied, “Yes, several well-funded clinics that come to mind were in Philadelphia and New York.” Cheryl then asked, “Matt, do you have any ideas as to how we can save our clinic from closing?” Matt replied, “I have some time before lunch and I can share a few ideas with you.” Cheryl replied, “The staff will be eager to listen.” The staff proceeded to place several chairs in a semicircle and brainstormed with Matt a variety of ideas for saving the clinic. The staff discussed several fund-raising activities including a car wash by children to bring awareness to Any Town’s dental clinic.” Matt looked at his watch and said, “I need to get back to my survey team, but I want to leave you with one other thought to ponder that could be applicable to any department in the hospital. I was surveying a veteran’s hospital physical therapy department and noticed on their bulletin board the staff’s dream plan for renovation of their department. I asked the physical therapy staff about the plan. They related how their vision of a new physical therapy department had been sketched out and placed on their bulletin board. Several weeks later, a veteran who had been sitting in the waiting area became curious about their dream. After studying the board during his visits for therapy, he walked to the reception desk on his last visit and asked about their vision for physical therapy. They explained it was a $200,000 dream. Gary looked at the staff at the reception desk and said, “It is no longer a dream. I don’t have much, but what I do have is enough to make your dream come true.” And, so he did. Matt continued, “You see, if people know your dreams, something as small as a bulletin board can make all the difference.” Dr. Seiden smiled and said, “I see where this is going, community awareness as to the need to fund the clinic. It’s really not merely about a car wash, it’s about a concept of how the hospital can save not only the dental clinic but other programs earmarked for closing.” Matt smiled, as the staff regained hope. Dr. Seiden, seeing that Matt had little time for lunch, stood up, extended his hand and said, “Matt, you gave us hope when we believed there was none. Thank you so much. I will be sure to discuss this with administration.”

Matt presented his observations the following morning to the organization’s leadership, which included his round table discussion with the staff. He was however cut short in his presentation by the surveyor team leader, Brad, who later reported to Victor, Matt’s manager, that Matt should not be discussing how to save a dental clinic by opening a car wash. Matt received a reprimand from Victor and was removed at the end of day 4 of a 5-day survey without explanation.

Anonymous

Discussion

1. Discuss Matt’s approach to addressing the staff’s concerns for saving the children’s dental clinic.
2. If Matt’s round table session led to saving the clinic, was Matt’s reprimand worth the risk if he could have foreseen the resulting reprimand?
3. The goal of a consequentialist is to achieve the greatest good for the greatest number. Discuss how this applies in this reality check.
Utilitarian Ethics

**Happiness often sneaks in a door you did not think was open.** —Author Unknown

The utilitarian theory of ethics involves the concept that the moral worth of an action is determined solely by its contribution to overall usefulness. It describes doing the greatest good for the most people. It is thus a form of consequential ethics, meaning that the moral worth of an action is determined by its outcome, and, thus, the ends justify the means. The utilitarian commonly holds that the proper course of an action is one that maximizes utility, commonly defined as maximizing happiness and reducing suffering, as noted in the following reality check.

---

Maximizing Happiness and Reducing Suffering

Daniel was the last of five interviews for the CEO’s position at Anytown Medical Center. During the interview, a member of the finance committee asked, “Daniel, how would maximize an allocation of $100,000 to spend as you wished for improving patient care, aside from capital budget and construction projects?” Bishop Paul, the board chairman added, “Daniel, think about the question. I will give you five minutes to form an answer.” Daniel responded, “Bishop Paul, I am ready now to answer your question.” The trustees looked somewhat surprised, as Bishop Paul with a smile quickly responded, “You may proceed with your answer.” Daniel replied, “An old Chinese proverb came to mind as quickly as the question was asked: ‘Give a man a fish and you feed him for a day. Teach a man to fish and you feed him for a lifetime.’ You are interviewing me as CEO of your hospital. I see my job as assuring you that employees are thoroughly trained to care for the patients the hospital serves. I will maximize the value of each and every dollar by determining the staff skill sets that are lacking and retrain staff in the areas deficiencies are noted.” Bishop Paul looked around the long oval table at the trustees, “This has been a long day and a grueling interview process for Daniel. Are there any other questions you would like to ask him.” There was silence, as the trustees nodded their heads no. Bishop Paul looked at Daniel and thanked him for his interest in becoming the hospital’s next CEO.

As Daniel began to leave the boardroom, Bishop Paul smiled and turned his swivel chair around as Daniel was walking towards the exit and asked, “Daniel, could you not leave the building just yet. If you could, just wait outside the room and have a seat in the doctors’ lounge area.” After about 20 minutes, a trustee went into the lounge where Daniel was sitting and asked him to return to the boardroom. As he entered the room, Bishop Paul stood up and looked at Daniel straight in his eyes and said, “Daniel, you were the last to be interviewed because you were on the ‘short list’ of candidates selected to be interviewed. Speaking for the board, your response to the last question was merely icing on the cake confirming our interest in you joining our staff. Both the Board of Trustees and members of the Medical Executive Committee unanimously have recommended you as our CEO, with which I unconditionally concur! Welcome to Anytown hospital.” The trustees stood and clapped their hands. The bishop turned to the trustees and said, “Wow, that’s a first.”

Anonymous
Discussion

1. Discuss how Daniel’s response to the trustee’s question of how he would spend the $100,000 fits the utilitarian theory of ethics.
2. Did Daniel, metaphorically speaking, succeed in maximizing happiness in the eyes of the board? Discuss your answer.

Deontological Ethics

Act in such a way that you always treat humanity, whether in your own person or in the person of any other, never simply as a means, but always at the same time as an end.

—Immanuel Kant

Deontological ethics is commonly attributed to the German philosopher Immanuel Kant (1724–1804). Kant believed that although doing the right thing is good, it might not always lead to or increase the good and right thing sought after. It focuses on one’s duties to others and others’ rights. It includes telling the truth and keeping your promises. Deontology ethics is often referred to as duty-based ethics. It involves ethical analysis according to a moral code or rules, religious or secular. Deon is derived from the Greek word meaning “duty.” Kant’s theory differs from consequentialism in that consequences are not the determinant of what is right; therefore, doing the right thing may not always lead to an increase in what is good.

Duty-based approaches are heavy on obligation, in the sense that a person who follows this ethical paradigm believes that the highest virtue comes from doing what you are supposed to do—either because you have to, e.g., following the law, or because you agreed to, e.g., following an employer’s policies. It matters little whether the act leads to good consequences; what matters is “doing your duty.”

The following reality check illustrates how duty-based ethics focuses on the act and not the consequences of an act.

Duty Compromises Patient Care

At 33 years of age, I was the youngest administrator in New York State and was about to learn that adhering to company policy sometimes conflicts with the needs of the patient. In this case it was a 38-year-old employee who had been diagnosed with cancer. I remember the day well, even though it was more than 30 years ago. My secretary alerted me that Carol, a practical nurse and employee, had been admitted to the 3-North medical-surgical unit, where she worked. Without delay, I left my office and went to the nursing unit and inquired as to what room Carol was in. Beth, the unit’s nurse manager, overheard my question. She walked up to me and asked, “Daniel, could I please talk to you for a moment before you visit with Carol?” I looked at her and nodded my head yes and without thought we both walked to her office. She closed the door and said, “As you know, we are self-insured and the health insurance program we have does not cover Carol’s chemotherapy treatments. She cannot bear the cost. Is there anything you can do to help her?” I replied that I would make an inquiry with our human resources director to see what could be done.
Beth asked, “Would you mind if I went with you to Carol’s room for a few minutes.” Daniel compassionately replied, “Of course you can.” They walked to Carol’s room. Her husband and children had just left. Beth stayed for a few minutes while Daniel remained behind chatting with Carol for a few moments and said he would be back to talk with her more.

Daniel went to speak with Christine, the human resources director for his hospital. There were two other hospitals in the multihospital system. He explained Carol’s financial situation and her lack of funds for chemotherapy treatment. Christine replied, “Daniel, this is corporate policy that is applicable to all three hospitals with which we must comply.” Following much discussion, Daniel said, “Christine, Carol is an employee and I realize there are conflicting duties here. One is to follow corporate policy or choose to do, as I see it, what is right for Carol. If you prefer, I can request an exception to the rule. To me, right trumps duty.” Christine looked at Daniel and said, “Daniel, I will see what I can do. I have a good relationship with the corporate vice president for human resources. If anyone can make an exception, he can make it happen. I know you would do the same for me and any other employee.”
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Discussion

1. Discuss the potential long-term effect of granting an exception for Carol.
2. Do you believe that duty should be trumped by good? Discuss your answer.
3. Would you describe Daniel as consequentialist because he favors evaluating the outcome of an act rather than the act itself? Discuss your answer.
4. Discuss how deontological ethics in this case is in conflict with consequential thinking.

Nonconsequential Ethics

The nonconsequential ethical theory denies that the consequences of an action are the only criteria for determining the morality of an action. In this theory, the rightness or wrongness of an action is based on properties intrinsic to the action, not on its consequences. In other words, the nonconsequentialist believes right or wrong depends on the intention not the outcome.

Bad Outcome, Good Intentions

Chelsea was preparing to drape Mr. Smith’s leg in OR 6 for surgery, when she was approached by Nicole, the nurse manager, asked, “Chelsea, please come to OR 3. We have an emergency there and urgently need your skills to assist the surgeon.” Chelsea turned to Daniel, the surgical technician, and asked him to continue prepping Mr. Smith’s leg for surgery. Daniel prepped the leg prior to the surgeon entering the room. The surgeon entered the room a few minutes later and asked, “Where is Chelsea?” Daniel replied, “She was called away for an emergency in OR 3. Karen will be in shortly to assist us.”

Following surgery, Mr. Smith was transferred to the recovery room. While he was in the recovery room a nurse was looking at the patient’s medical record as to the notes
regarding the patient’s procedure during surgery. She noticed that surgery was conducted on the wrong leg.

Although there was heated discussion between the surgeon and nursing staff, each member of the staff had good intentions but the outcome was not so good. Nonconsequentialists believe that right or wrong depends on the intention. They generally focus more on deeds and whether those deeds are good or bad. In this case the intentions were good but the outcome was bad. It should be noted that nonconsequentialists do not always ignore the consequences. They accept the fact that sometimes good intentions can lead to bad outcomes. In summary nonconsequentialists focus more on character as to whether someone is a good person or not. Nonconsequentialists believe that right or wrong depends on the intention. Generally, the consequentialist will focus more on outcomes as to whether or not they are good or bad.

**Discussion**

1. Describe how the nonconsequential theory of ethics applies in this case.
2. What questions might the consequentialist raise after reviewing the facts of this case?

**Ethical Relativism**

The theory of *ethical relativism* holds that morality is relative to the norms of the culture in which an individual lives. In other words, right or wrong depends on the moral norms of the society in which it is practiced. A particular action by an individual may be morally right in one society or culture and wrong in another. What is acceptable in one society may not be considered as such in another. Slavery may be considered an acceptable practice in one society and unacceptable and unconscionable in another. The administration of blood may be acceptable as to one’s religious beliefs and not acceptable to another within the same society. The legal rights of patients vary from state to state, as is well borne out, for example, by Oregon’s Death with Dignity Act. Caregivers must be aware of cultural, religious, and legal issues that can affect the boundaries of what is acceptable and what is unacceptable practice, especially when delivering health care to persons with beliefs different from their own. As the various cultures of the world merge together in communities, the education and training of caregivers become more complex. The caregiver must not only grasp the clinical skills of his or her profession but also have a basic understanding of what is right and what is wrong from both a legal and ethical point of view. Although decision making is not always perfect, the knowledge gained from this text will aid the reader in making better decisions.

**Principles of Ethics**

*You cannot by tying an opinion to a man’s tongue, make him the representative of that opinion; and at the close of any battle for principles, his name will be found neither among the dead, nor the wounded, but the missing.*

–E.P. Whipple (1819–1886)
An army of principles can penetrate where an army of soldiers cannot.

—THOMAS JEFFERSON

Ethical principles are universal rules of conduct, derived from ethical theories that provide a practical basis for identifying what kinds of actions, intentions, and motives are valued. Ethical principles assist caregivers in making choices based on moral principles that have been identified as standards considered worthwhile in addressing health care–related ethical dilemmas. As noted by the principles discussed in the following sections, caregivers, in the study of ethics, will find that difficult decisions often involve choices between conflicting ethical principles.

AUTONOMY

No right is held more sacred, or is more carefully guarded, by the common law, than the right of every individual to the possession and control of his own person, free from all restraint or interference of others, unless by clear and unquestioned authority of law.

—UNION PACIFIC RY. CO. v. BOTSFORD [141 U.S. 250, 251 (1891)]

The principle of autonomy involves recognizing the right of a person to make one’s own decisions. “Auto” comes from a Greek word meaning “self” or the “individual.” In this context, it means recognizing an individual’s right to make his or her own decisions about what is best for him or herself. Autonomy is not an absolute principle. The autonomous actions of one person must not infringe upon the rights of another. The eminent Justice Benjamin Cardozo, in Schloendorff v. Society of New York Hospital, stated:

Every human being of adult years and sound mind has a right to determine what shall be done with his own body and a surgeon who performs an operation without his patient’s consent commits an assault, for which he is liable in damages, except in cases of emergency where the patient is unconscious and where it is necessary to operate before consent can be obtained.6

Each person has a right to make his or her own decisions about health care. A patient has the right to refuse to receive health care even if it is beneficial to saving his or her life. Patients can refuse treatment, refuse to take medications, and refuse invasive procedures regardless of the benefits that may be derived from them. They have a right to have their decisions adhered to by family members who may disagree simply because they are unable to let go. Although patients have a right to make their own choices, they also have a concomitant right to know the risks, benefits, and alternatives to recommended procedures.

Autonomous decision making can be affected by one’s disabilities, mental status, maturity, or incapacity to make decisions. Although the principle of autonomy may be inapplicable in certain cases, one’s autonomous wishes may be carried out through an advance directive and/or an appointed health care agent in the event of one’s inability to make decisions.

What happens when the right to autonomy conflicts with other moral principles, such as beneficence and justice? Conflict can arise, for example, when a patient refuses a blood transfusion considered necessary to save his or her life whereas the caregiver’s principal obligation is to do no harm. What is the right thing to do when the spouse decides to have the physician withhold from his wife her true diagnosis?
Annie, a 27-year-old woman with one child, began experiencing severe pain in her abdomen while visiting her family in May. After describing the excruciating pain to her husband Daniel, he scheduled Annie for an appointment with Dr. Sokol, a gastroenterologist, who ordered a series of tests. While conducting a barium scan, a radiologist at Community Hospital noted a small bowel obstruction. Dr. Sokol recommended surgery to which both Annie and Daniel agreed.

After the surgery, on July 7, Dr. Brown, the operating surgeon, paged Daniel over the hospital intercom as he walked down a corridor on the ground floor. Daniel, hearing the page, picked up a house phone and dialed zero for an operator. The operator inquired, “May I help you?” “Yes,” Daniel replied. “I was just paged.” The operator replied, “Oh, yes. Dr. Brown would like to talk to you. I will connect you with him. Hang on. Don’t hang up.” (Daniel’s heart began to pound.) Dr. Brown asked, “Is this you, Daniel?” Daniel replied, “Yes, it is.” Dr. Brown replied, “Well, surgery is over. Your wife is recovering nicely in the recovery room.” Daniel was relieved but for a moment. “That’s good.” Daniel sensed Dr. Brown had more to say. Dr. Brown continued, “I am sorry to say that she has carcinoma of the colon.” Daniel replied, “Did you get it all?” Dr. Brown reluctantly replied, “I am sorry, but the cancer has spread to her lymph nodes and surrounding organs.” Daniel, with the tears in his eyes, asked, “Can I see her?” Dr. Brown replied, “She is in the recovery room.” Before hanging up, Daniel told Dr. Brown, “Please do not tell Annie that she has cancer. I want her to always have hope.” Dr. Brown agreed, “Don’t worry, I won’t tell her. You can tell her that she had a narrowing of the colon.”

Daniel hung up the phone and proceeded to the recovery room. After entering the recovery room, he spotted his wife. His heart sank. Tubes seemed to be running out of every part of her body. He walked to her bedside. His immediate concern was to see her wake up and have the tubes pulled out so that he could take her home.

Later, in a hospital room, Annie asked Daniel, “What did the doctor find?” Daniel replied, “He found a narrowing of the colon.” “Am I going to be okay?” “Yes, but it will take a while to recover.” “Oh, that’s good. I was so worried,” said Annie. “You go home and get some rest.” Daniel said, “I’ll be back later,” as Annie fell back to sleep.

Daniel left the hospital and went to see his friends, Jerry and Helen, who had invited him for dinner. As Daniel pulled up to Jerry and Helen’s home, he got out of his car and just stood there, looking up a long stairway leading to Jerry and Helen’s home. They were standing there looking down at Daniel. It was early evening. The sun was setting. A warm breeze was blowing, and Helen’s eyes were watering. Those few moments seemed like a lifetime. Daniel discovered a new emotion, as he stood there speechless. He knew then that he was losing a part of himself. Things would never be the same.

Annie had one more surgery 2 months later in a futile attempt to extend her life. In November 2002, Annie was admitted to the hospital for the last time. Annie was so ill that even during her last moments she was unaware that she was dying. Dr. Brown entered the room and asked Daniel, “Can I see you for a few moments?” “Yes,” Daniel replied. He followed Dr. Brown into the hallway. “Daniel, I can keep Annie alive for a few more days, or we can let her
This true life case raises numerous questions, often resulting in conflicts among ethics, the law, patient rights, and family wishes. From a professional ethics point of view, the American Medical Association provides in its *Principles of Medical Ethics*

IV. A physician shall respect the rights of patients, colleagues, and other health professionals, and shall safeguard patient confidences and privacy within the constraints of the law.7

Legally, pursuant to the Patient Self-Determination Act of 1990, patients have a right to make their own health care decisions, to accept or refuse medical treatment, and to execute an advance health care directive. Practically speaking, as discussion of this case illustrates, one shoe does not fit all. Both legal and ethical edicts have often served to raise an unending stream of questions that involve both the law and ethics. Although discussed later, a case here has been made for the need of a well-balanced ethics committee to help caregivers, patients, and family come to a consensus in the decision-making process.

**Life or Death: The Right to Choose**

A Jehovah’s Witness executed a release requesting that no blood or its derivatives be administered during hospitalization. The Connecticut Superior Court determined that the hospital had no common law right or obligation to thrust unwanted medical care on the patient because she had been sufficiently informed of the consequences of the refusal to accept blood transfusions. She had competently and clearly declined that care. The hospital’s interests were sufficiently protected by her informed choice, and neither it nor the trial court in this case was entitled to override that choice.

**Beneficence**

*Beneficence* describes the principle of doing good, demonstrating kindness, showing compassion, and helping others. In the health care setting, caregivers demonstrate beneficence...
by providing benefits and balancing benefits against risks. Beneficence requires one to do good. Doing good requires knowledge of the beliefs, culture, values, and preferences of the patient—what one person may believe to be good for a patient may in reality be harmful. For example, a caregiver may decide to tell a patient frankly, “There is nothing else that I can do for you.” This could be injurious to the patient if the patient really wants encouragement and information about care options from the caregiver. Compassion here requires the caregiver to tell the patient, “I am not aware of new treatments for your illness; however, I have some ideas about how I can help treat your symptoms and make you more comfortable. In addition, I will keep you informed as to any significant research that may be helpful in treating your disease processes.”

**Paternalism**

*Paternalism* is a form of beneficence. People sometimes believe that they know what is best for another and make decisions that they believe are in that person’s best interest. It may involve, for example, withholding information, believing that the person would be better off that way. Paternalism can occur due to one’s age, cognitive ability, and level of dependency.

**CPR and Paternalism in Nursing Homes**

Some nursing homes have implemented facilitywide no CPR policies, as noted in the following Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Memorandum. Nursing home patients have a right to make their own care decisions. To eliminate that option in the nursing home sitting by having a policy of no resuscitation measures is a paternalistic approach to patient care and is a clear violation of patient rights and autonomous decision making. Such policies are unconditionally morally and legally wrong.

**Memorandum Summary**

- **Initiation of CPR**—Prior to the arrival of emergency medical services (EMS), nursing homes must provide basic life support, including initiation of CPR, to a resident who experiences cardiac arrest (cessation of respirations and/or pulse) in accordance with that resident’s advance directives or in the absence of advance directives or a Do Not Resuscitate (DNR) order. CPR-certified staff must be available at all times.
- **Facility CPR Policy**—Some nursing homes have implemented facilitywide no CPR policies. Facilities must not establish and implement facilitywide no CPR policies.
- **Surveyor Implications**—Surveyors should ascertain that facility policies related to emergency response require staff to initiate CPR as appropriate and that records do not reflect instances where CPR was not initiated by staff even though the resident requested CPR or had not formulated advance directives.

**Physicians and Paternalism**

*Medical paternalism* involves making decisions for patients who are capable of making their own choices. Physicians often find themselves in situations where they can influence a patient’s health care decision simply by selectively telling the patient what he or she prefers.
based on personal beliefs. This directly violates patient autonomy. The problem of paternalism involves a conflict between the principles of autonomy and beneficence, each of which may be viewed and weighed differently, for example, by the physician and patient, physician and family member, or even the patient and a family member.

Employment-Related Paternalism

Employment-related paternalism at its best is a shared and cooperative style of management in which the employer recognizes and considers employee rights when making decisions in the workplace. Paternalism at its worst occurs when the employer’s style of management becomes more authoritarian, sometimes arbitrary, and unpredictable, as noted in the reality check presented next. In this scenario the employer has complete discretion in making workplace decisions and the individual employee’s freedom is subordinate to the employer’s authority. Here the employer requires strict obedience to follow orders without question. The employer in this case lacks respect and consideration for the employee.

Paternalism and Breach of Confidentiality

Nina traveled with her husband, Dan, to a work assignment in Michigan. While visiting with her brother in Michigan, Nina believed that her potassium was low, which was a frequent occurrence with her for many years. Nina’s brother suggested she could have her blood tested at a local blood drawing station. Dan later learned Nina’s potassium was low.

Later that morning, while at work, Joan, Dan’s colleague, called Bill, Dan’s supervisor, to discuss Nina’s health. Bill, however, had overslept and had not yet arrived at work. Joan decided to speak to the supervisor on call. After that conversation, Joan, being led by three staff members from the organization, tracked Dan down on several occasions that morning. On the first occasion, at approximately 10:15 A.M., Dan was surveying the organization’s family practice center when Joan arrived. She rudely called Dan aside, excusing the organization’s staff from the immediate area. Joan said, with surprise, “Dan, you are working?” Dan, even more surprised at the question, “Yes, I have been working.” Joan replied, “Well, anyway, the corporate office wants to speak to you.” Dan said he would call during lunch hour. Joan, somewhat agitated, walked away.

Joan again tracked Dan down with an entourage of the organization’s staff at 11:30 A.M. She located Dan while he was in the organization’s transfusion center. Again she rudely entered the conference room where Dan was discussing the care being rendered to a cancer patient. She once again asked in a stern tone of voice, “Could everyone please leave the room. I need to talk to Dan.” The organization’s staff left the room and the nurse said, “I finally reached Bill and he wants you to call him.” Dan inquired, “Is he pulling me off this assignment?” The nurse replied, “Yes, he is. I spoke to Bill, and he has decided that out of concern for Nina you should be removed from this particular assignment. He wants you to call him.” Dan replied, “I don’t understand why you did this, calling Bill and continuously interrupting my work and sharing with others confidential information about my wife. I will
wrap up with the staff my review of this patient and call Bill.” As Joan left the conference room Dan said, “I trusted you and you shared confidential information about my wife?” Joan, realizing that she had no right to share the information, quickly walked away. 

Dan called Bill during his lunch break. During that call Bill said, “I am going to remove you from your assignment because I think your wife’s health needs should be addressed, and this could be disruptive to the survey.” Dan replied, “The only disruption has been the nurse tracking me down with staff from the organization and not conducting her work activities.” Bill said, “My decision stands. You can opt to take vacation time for the remainder of the week.”

Discussion

1. Discuss what examples of paternalism you have gleaned from this case.
2. Do you think Dan was treated fairly? Discuss your answer.
3. Discuss the issues of trust, confidentiality, and fairness as they relate to this case.

At present, our federal employment discrimination laws fail to provide uniform and consistent legal protection when an employer engages in applicant-specific paternalism—the practice of excluding an applicant merely to protect that person from job-related safety and/or health risks uniquely attributable to his or her federally protected characteristic(s). Under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the courts and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) reject such paternalism, demanding that the applicant alone decide whether to pursue (and accept) a job that poses risks related to his or her sex, race, color, religion, or national origin.9

**Can a Physician “Change His or Her Mind”?**

Walls had a condition that caused his left eye to be out of alignment with his right eye. Walls discussed with Shreck, his physician, the possibility of surgery on his left eye to bring both eyes into alignment. Walls and Shreck agreed that the best approach to treating Walls was to attempt surgery on the left eye. Before surgery, Walls signed an authorization and consent form that included the following language:

- I hereby authorize Dr. Shreck . . . to perform the following procedure and/or alternative procedure necessary to treat my condition . . . of the left eye.
- I understand the reason for the procedure is to straighten my left eye to keep it from going to the left.
- It has been explained to me that conditions may arise during this procedure whereby a different procedure or an additional procedure may need to be performed, and I authorize my physician and his assistants to do what they feel is needed and necessary.

During surgery, Shreck encountered excessive scar tissue on the muscles of Walls’s left eye and elected to adjust the muscles of the right eye instead. When Walls awoke from the anesthesia, he expressed anger at the fact that both of his eyes were bandaged. The next day,
Walls went to Shreck’s office for a follow-up visit and adjustment of his sutures. Walls asked Shreck why he had operated on the right eye, and Shreck responded that “he reserved the right to change his mind” during surgery.

Walls filed a lawsuit. The trial court concluded that Walls had failed to establish that Shreck had violated any standard of care. It sustained Shreck’s motion for directed verdict, and Walls appealed. The court stated that the consent form that had been signed indicated that there can be extenuating circumstances when the surgeon exceeds the scope of what was discussed presurgery. Walls claimed that it was his impression that Shreck was talking about surgeries in general.

Roussel, an ophthalmologist, had testified on behalf of Walls. Roussel stated that it was customary to discuss with patients the potential risks of a surgery, benefits, and the alternatives to surgery. Roussel testified that medical ethics requires informed consent.

Shreck claimed that he had obtained the patient’s informed consent not from the form but from what he discussed with the patient in his office. The court found that the form itself does not give or deny permission for anything. Rather, it is evidence of the discussions that occurred and during which informed consent was obtained. Shreck therefore asserted that he obtained informed consent to operate on both eyes based on his office discussions with Walls.

Ordinarily, in a medical malpractice case, the plaintiff must prove the physician’s negligence by expert testimony. One of the exceptions to the requirement of expert testimony is the situation whereby the evidence and the circumstances are such that the recognition of the alleged negligence may be presumed to be within the comprehension of laypersons. This exception is referred to as the “common knowledge exception.”

The evidence showed that Shreck did not discuss with Walls that surgery might be required on both eyes during the same operation. There was evidence that Walls specifically told Shreck he did not want surgery performed on the right eye.

Expert testimony was not required to establish that Walls did not give express or implied consent for Shreck to operate on his right eye. Absent an emergency, it is common knowledge that a reasonably prudent health care provider would not operate on part of a patient’s body if the patient told the health care provider not to do so.

On appeal, the trial court was found to have erred in directing a verdict in favor of Shreck. The evidence presented established that the standard of care in similar communities requires health care providers to obtain informed consent before performing surgery. In this case, the applicable standard of care required Shreck to obtain Walls’s express or implied consent to perform surgery on his right eye.

[Walls v. Shreck, 658 N.W.2d 686 (2003)]

Ethical and Legal Issues

1. Discuss the conflicting ethical principles in this case.
2. Did the physician’s actions in this case involve medical paternalism? Explain your answer.
NONMALEFICENCE

Nonmaleficence is an ethical principle that requires caregivers to avoid causing patients harm. It derives from the ancient maxim primum non nocere, translated from the Latin, “first, do no harm.” Physicians today still swear by the code of Hippocrates, pledging to do no harm. Medical ethics require health care providers to “first, do no harm.” A New Jersey court in In re Conroy,10 found that “the physician’s primary obligation is . . . First do no harm.”

Telling the truth, for example, can sometimes cause harm. If there is no cure for a patient’s disease, you may have a dilemma. Do I tell the patient and possibly cause serious psychological harm, or do I give the patient what I consider to be false hopes? Is there a middle ground? If so, what is it? To avoid causing harm, alternatives may need to be considered in solving the ethical dilemma.

The caregiver, realizing that he or she cannot help a particular patient, attempts to avoid harming the patient. This is done as a caution against taking a serious risk with the patient or doing something that has no immediate or long-term benefits.

Law and Ethics Intersect

Peninsula Child Psychiatrist William Ayres Sentenced to Eight Years for Molesting Patients

REDWOOD CITY—As one victim after another testified, calling William Ayres a monster and a serial child-abuser who robbed them of their innocence, the once-renowned child psychiatrist sat stoically Monday as a judge sentenced him to eight years in prison for molesting his former patients.

Ayres used his work with boys having trouble at school, at home or with the law as a setting to abuse them, the victims said. His position of authority allowed him to deflect suspicions about his sexual interest in boys and keep parents from believing their sons’ complaints, victims said.

Joshua Melvin, San Jose Mercury News, August 27, 201311

The patients described in the news clipping were harmed because the physician who was trained to do good did wrong by taking advantage of the patients’ weaknesses. The beneficent person does good and not harm (nonmaleficence). The law in the news clipping is clear. If a person with intent and action causes harm to the patient, that person will be punished.

One of the many lessons in the next reality check teaches the reader that one may have good intent but that intent can lead to a perceived wrong and thus be damaging to one’s good character and possibly his or her career path.
Patient Questions Physical Exam

Dear Sir:

I was a patient on your short-term acute-care psychiatric unit. It was a voluntary admission as is with all patients on that unit. Dr. X was my psychiatrist. Although he was very good as a psychiatrist, I was somewhat disturbed in the way he conducted my physical examination. He had come to my room on the day of my admission and said that he needed to perform a physical exam. He had already conducted a thorough history of my physical ailments and thoroughly reviewed my family history as far back as I could remember.

We were in the room alone when he entered. He had a gown in his hand and asked me to put it on. He then walked out of the room and said he would be back in a few minutes, as soon as I was gowned. When he returned he began his physical examination. Early on in the exam he asked when I had my last breast examination. I told him that I was 28 and never had one. He said, “Well, I better do one.” I thought it was a bit odd that he conducted the exam without a female nurse present. I became more concerned when he touched my breasts in what I considered a sensual manner. It was uncanny. It seemed to be a bit more than what I would’ve expected during a breast examination. He seemed to be caressing my breasts, as opposed to examining them. I don’t know if this is a routine procedure, but I was very uncomfortable in the situation. I think it would be better if you considered having a female nurse present when conducting female examinations in a patient room on a psychiatric unit or on any other unit for that matter.

Thanks for listening to my concerns.

Anonymous

Administrator

I called Dr. X into my office and discussed the patient’s concerns with him. He said this is what physicians are trained to do. “We are trained to conduct both history and physical examinations.” He had brought with him a letter from one of his professional associations that stated psychiatrists are permitted to perform physical examinations on their patients. I asked him why he did not have someone in the room with him when he examined the patient. He stated, “I generally do but I was extremely busy and the staff was swamped with other patients. It was just a hectic day.”

Discussion

1. Discuss how you would respond to the patient.
2. Describe how you would resolve this issue with the physician; assuming this was the first complaint that you had received regarding his care.
3. Explain what policy decisions you would implement.
4. Knowing that the physician is in a position of trust with his patient, discuss what action the physician should take to prevent complaints of this nature from recurring.
The intersection of “law” and “ethics” is clear. Deviation from either can lead to unsatisfactory outcomes for both physicians and patients. Although a caregiver may be trained to conduct a physical examination, the question may not be “can I do it” but “should I do it.”

**Nonmaleficence and Ending Life**

The principle of nonmaleficence is defeated when a physician is placed in the position of ending life by removing respirators, giving lethal injections, or writing prescriptions for lethal doses of medication. Helping patients die violates the physician’s duty to save lives. In the final analysis, there needs to be a distinction between killing patients and letting them die. It is clear that killing a patient is never justified.

**Justice**

*Justice* is the obligation to be fair in the distribution of benefits and risks. Justice demands that persons in similar circumstances be treated similarly. A person is treated justly when he or she receives what is due, is deserved, or can legitimately be claimed. Justice involves how people are treated when their interests compete with one another.

*Distributive justice* is a principle requiring that all persons be treated equally and fairly. No one person, for example, should get a disproportional share of society’s resources or benefits. There are many ethical issues involved in the rationing of health care. This is often a result of limited or scarce resources, limited access as a result of geographic remoteness, or a patient’s inability to pay for services combined with many physicians who are unwilling to accept patients who are perceived as “no-pays” with high risks for legal suits.

Senator Edward M. Kennedy, speaking on health care at the John F. Kennedy Presidential Library in Boston, Massachusetts on April 28, 2002, stated:

> It will be no surprise to this audience that I believe securing quality, affordable health insurance for every American is a matter of simple justice. Health care is not just another commodity. Good health is not a gift to be rationed based on ability to pay. The time is long overdue for America to join the rest of the industrialized world in recognizing this fundamental need.

Later, speaking at the Democratic National Convention on August 25, 2008, Kennedy said:

> And this is the cause of my life—new hope that we will break the old gridlock and guarantee that every American—North, South, East, West, young, old—will have decent, quality health care as a fundamental right and not a privilege.

Although Kennedy did not live to see the day his dream would come true, President Barack Obama signed into law the final piece of his administration’s historic health care bill on March 23, 2010.

The costs of health care have bankrupted many, and research dollars have proven to be inadequate, yet many members of Congress elected to address the needs of the country have elected to continue their bipartisan bickering while they “enjoy” the lowest acceptance ratings
in the nation’s history. They have, however, ensured that their health care needs are met with
the best of care in the best facilities with the best doctors. They have taken care of themselves.
Their pensions are intact, whereas many Americans have to face such dilemmas as which
medications they will take and which they cannot afford. Many often have to decide between
food and medications. Is this justice or theft of the nation’s resources by the few incompetents
who have been elected to protect the American people? Unfortunately, these problems
continue to this day as Congress continues to wrangle over national health insurance.

Justice and Government Spending

He Won His Battle With Cancer. Thus, Why Are Millions of Americans
Still Losing Theirs?

For an increasing number of cancer activists, researchers and patients, there is too much
death and too much waiting for new drugs and therapies. They want a greater sense of
urgency, a new approach that emphasizes translational research over basic research—turning
knowledge into therapies and getting them to patients pronto. The problem is, that’s not
the way our sclerotic research paradigm—principally administered by the National Institutes
of Health and the National Cancer Institute (NIH/NIC)—is set up. “The fact that
we jump up and down when cancer deaths go from 562,000 to 561,000, that’s ridiculous.
That’s not enough,” says Lance Armstrong, the cyclist and cancer survivor turned activist,
through his Lance Armstrong Foundation (LAF).

Time, September 15, 2008

Scarce resources are challenging to the principles of justice. Justice involves equality; never-
thelless, equal access to health care, for example, across the United States does not exist. How
should government allocate a trillion dollars? Consider the following questions:

• Should the money be distributed equally among families?
• Should the money be distributed equally among all citizens?
• Should the money be invested and saved for a rainy day?
• Should the money be used to improve educational programs, build libraries, build state-of-
  the-art hospitals, or fund afterschool programs for disadvantaged youths?
• Should the money include both savings for that rainy day and funding for the programs
described previously?
• What would be the greater good for all?
• Should health care be rationed based on one’s ability to pay?
• Should those individuals found to be ethically corrupt be condemned to poverty and stand
  in the same food lines as the poorest of Americans?
States Have Double Standards

It is no secret that states have had double standards over the years, one for health care organizations and one for physicians and investors, who often duplicated the financially more lucrative hospital services, while referring Medicaid patients and no-pays to hospital programs for care. As administrator of one hospital, allow me to give you a few examples.

1. A radiology group was able to purchase their own Computed Tomography (CT) scanner, while I had to jump through hoops to be able to purchase one.
2. A group of surgeons and private investors established a surgery center in direct competition with my hospital without scrutiny. At the same time, I was required to justify the hospital’s proposed surgery center. The hospital was required to complete lengthy questionnaires and gather supporting documentation to justify construction and operation of an outpatient surgery center.
3. The hospital had to justify opening an outpatient rehabilitation program within the hospital in order to provide a continuum of care for patients needing physical therapy services. While I was busy justifying the need for an outpatient rehabilitation program, orthopedic surgeons were busy setting up their own outpatient programs to compete with the hospital.

I remember walking to my car one day after work and one of my orthopedic surgeons caught up to me and said, “You know Dan, I have made enough money in the 3 years that I have been on your staff to buy your hospital.”

Discussion

1. Discuss the issues of justice as they apply to this scenario.
2. Discuss the issues of fairness and how physician competition with hospitals can affect the quality of patient care.

Injustice for the Insured

Even if you’re insured, getting ill could bankrupt you. Hospitals are garnishing wages, putting liens on homes and having patients who can’t pay arrested. It’s enough to make you sick. Think You’re Covered? Think Again.

—Sara Austin, Self, October 2004

Hospitals are receiving between $4 million and $60 million annually in charity funds in New York City alone, according to Elizabeth Benjamin, director of the health law unit of the Legal Aid Society of New York City; however, even the insured face injustice. In 2003, almost 1 million Americans declared bankruptcy because of medical issues, accounting for nearly half
of all of the bankruptcies in the country. When an insured patient gets ill and exhausts his or her insurance benefits, should the hospital be able to:

- Withhold the money from the patient’s wages?
- Place a lien on the patient’s home?
- Arrest the patient?
- Block the patient from applying for the hundreds of millions of dollars in government funds designated to help pay for care for those who need it?

Age and Justice

New Kidney Transplant Rules Would Favor Younger Patients

The nation’s organ transplant network is considering giving younger, healthier people preference over older, sicker patients for the best kidneys.

Some also complain that the new system would unfairly penalize middle-aged and elderly patients at a time when the overall population is getting older.

If adopted, the approach could have implications for other decisions about how to allocate scarce resources, such as expensive cancer drugs and ventilators during hurricanes and other emergencies. . . .


- Should an 89-year-old patient get a heart transplant, rather than a 10-year-old girl, just because he or she is higher on the waiting list?
- Should a 59-year-old single patient, rather than a 10-year-old boy, get a heart transplant because he or she is higher on the waiting list?
- Should a 29-year-old mother of three get a heart transplant, rather than a 10-year-old girl, because she is higher on the waiting list?
- Should a 29-year-old pregnant mother with two children, rather than a 10-year-old boy, get a heart transplant because she is higher on the waiting list?

Justice and Emergency Care

When two patients arrive in the emergency department in critical condition, consider who should receive treatment first. Should the caregiver base his or her decision on the:

- First patient who walks through the door?
- Age of the patients?
- Likelihood of survival?
- Ability of the patient to pay for services rendered?
- Condition of the patient?
Patients are to be treated justly, fairly, and equally. What happens, however, when resources are scarce and only one patient can be treated at a time? What happens if caregivers decide that age should be the determining factor as to who is treated first? One patient is saved, and another dies. What happens if the patient saved is terminal and has an advance directive in his wallet requesting no heroic measures to save his life? What are the legal issues intertwined with the ethical issues in this case?

The principle of distributive justice raises numerous issues, including how limited resources should be allocated. For example, when there is a reduction in staff in health care organizations, managers are generally asked to eliminate “nonessential” personnel. In the health care industry, this translates to those individuals not directly involved in patient care (e.g., maintenance and housekeeping employees). Is this fair? Is this just? Is this the right thing to do?

In Search of Economic Justice

Avery Comarow, in his article “Under the Knife in Bangalore” (U.S. News and World Report, May 12, 2008), wrote that the high cost of U.S. hospital care is motivating patients to travel to places like India and Thailand for major procedures. There would be no need for uninsured patients to go abroad if the prices they were quoted in the United States were more in line with what insurers and Medicare pay. The uninsured often pay full price for medical procedures in the United States. For example, a self-pay patient will pay between $70,000 and $133,000 for coronary bypass surgery, whereas Medicare will pay between $18,609 and $23,589. Commercial insurance plans often get up to a 60% discount off the list cost of medical procedures. In India, the same surgery will cost the patient $7,000, and in Thailand, it will be $22,000.

To avoid bankruptcy and loss of assets, maybe their homes, Americans risk the unknowns of going abroad for health care.

Boomer Bubble “Bioeconomics”

As baby boomers become Medicare eligible, there is likely to be a huge strain on the federal budget. Is this dramatically increased cost justified, beneficial, and necessary to the country as a whole?

The revenue from working, taxpaying baby boomers over the past 4 decades has fueled unprecedented prosperity. That revenue has made many entitlements possible, but it is going to diminish drastically as boomers retire and become recipients instead of contributors to the revenue base. Advances in medical technology have increased longevity dramatically, and boomers therefore are likely to be on the receiving end of entitlements for a long time. Medical advances, however, also can increase productivity as well as longevity. Boomers with a lifetime of work experience can be a valuable resource if they are kept healthy enough to remain gainfully employed at some level. Maintenance of a skilled American workforce is essential for future prosperity and economic stability. Boomers are a substantial resource of experienced skilled workers. It is a political necessity that they are
encouraged to stay productive. The government’s subsidizing health care through Medicare and other programs is therefore an investment that can facilitate this worthwhile goal. Additional incentives may even be appropriate. Even on an ethical basis, boomers that fueled our economy for so long deserve to be taken care of in their later years. Hopefully, many of them will be healthy enough and willing enough to continue being productive beyond the usual retirement age. Thus, from a political perspective, the healthful, moral, and ethical choice may also turn out to be the profitable choice for our society.

Physician

VIRTUE ETHICS AND VALUES

Virtue ethics focuses on the inherent character of a person rather than on the specific actions he or she performs. A virtue is a positive trait of moral excellence. Virtues are those characteristics that differentiate good people from bad people. Virtues such as courage, honesty, and justice are abstract moral principles. A morally virtuous person is one who does the good and right thing by habit, not merely based on a set of rules of conduct. The character of a virtuous person is naturally good, as exhibited by his or her unswerving good behavior and actions.

Resilience of the Health Caregiver Spirit

I’ve been in leadership roles for two sister hospitals in southeast Louisiana, with each experiencing the devastation of hurricane damage twice in the past 3 years. The first experience was temporarily suspending normal operations in New Orleans, and recently, history repeated itself at the sister hospital in Houma, Louisiana.

In both instances, I was stunned at the determination and strength of health care teams to rebuild. Both hospitals needed to resort to MASH-type tent hospitals to allow rebuilding of the hospitals. Health care for the communities was not interrupted. Back-to-basics care ensued, but not without close attention to needed regulatory compliance standards. The regulatory agencies were called and involved from the get-go, and the caregiver teams and support service staff flourished with enthusiasm to survive and care for the patients in need. Was this because of the nonprofit nature of our state-sponsored hospitals? I don’t think so. The human spirit takes over when it comes to patient care, no matter what.

I am happy to say that both New Orleans and Houma are back on track, with care being provided in top-quality hospitals. This is only due to the diligence of all, including facilities management, housekeeping, and multiple direct and indirect caregiver departments. What is the ethical issue here? There is no issue. Support for the art of care-giving will never be disappointed—at least not in southeast Louisiana. I stand in awe of what I have seen and look forward to growing with this team of devoted professionals.

Nurse
Values are standards of conduct. They are used for judging the goodness or badness of some action. A moral value is the relative worth placed on some virtuous behavior.

Values are rooted in customs and habits of a culture because the words moral and ethics themselves were essentially created to describe these topics.\(^{12}\)

Values are the standards by which we measure the goodness in our lives. Intrinsic value is something that has value in and of itself (e.g., happiness). Instrumental value is something that helps to give value to something else (e.g., money is valuable for what it can buy).

Values may change as needs change. If one’s basic needs for food, water, clothing, and housing have not been met, one’s values may change such that a friendship, for example, might be sacrificed if one’s basic needs can be better met as a result of the sacrifice. As mom nears the end of her life, a financially well-off family member may want to take more aggressive measures to keep mom alive despite the financial drain on her estate. Another family member, who is struggling financially, may more readily see the futility of expensive medical care and find it easier to let go. Values give purpose to each life. They make up one’s moral character.

All people make value judgments and make choices among alternatives. Values are the motivating power of a person’s actions and necessary to survival, both psychologically and physically.

The relationship between abstract virtues (principles) and values (practice) is often difficult to grasp. The virtuous person is one who does good, and his or her character is known through the values he or she practices consistently by habit.

We begin our discussion here with an overview of those virtues commonly accepted as having value when addressing difficult health care dilemmas. The reader should not get overly caught up in the philosophical morass of how virtues and values differ but should be aware that virtues and values have been used interchangeably. This text is not about memorizing words; it is about applying what we learn for the good of all whose lives we touch.

Whether we call compassion a virtue or a value or both, the importance for our purposes in this text is to understand what compassion is and how it is applied in the health care setting.

**PILLARS OF MORAL STRENGTH**

I am part of all I have met.

—Alfred Tennyson

There is a deluge of ethical issues in every aspect of human existence. Although cultural differences, politics, and religion influence who we are, it is all of life experiences that affect who we have become. If we have courage to do right, those who have influenced our lives were most likely courageous. If we are compassionate, it is most likely because we have been influenced by the compassionate.

The Pillars of Moral Strength illustrated in Figure 1-1 describes a virtuous person. What is it that sets each person apart? In the final analysis, it is one’s virtues and values that build moral character. Look beyond the words and ask, “Do I know their meanings?” “Do I apply their concepts?” “Do I know their value?” “Are they part of me?”
Courage is the greatest of all virtues, because if you haven’t courage, you may not have an opportunity to use any of the others.

—SAMUEL JOHNSON

Courage is the mental or moral strength to persevere and withstand danger. Courage can be characterized as the ladder upon which all the other virtues mount. Courage is the strength of character necessary to continue in the face of fears and the challenges in life. It involves balancing fear, self-confidence, and values. Without courage, we are unable to take the risks necessary to achieve the things most valued. A courageous person has good judgment and a clear sense of his or her strengths, correctly evaluates danger, and perseveres until a decision is made and the right goal that is being sought has been achieved.

My Journey—How Lucky Am I?

No words can be scripted to say what I have been through, so I will just speak from my heart and off the cuff. From the day the Dr. said to me, “Denise, you have a rare cancer and we are sorry there is nothing we can do. I did not waver in my faith in God. He was in me, he was thru me and he was around me. I just asked the Dr., “What Do I Do?” And yet, although he said a whole bunch of words, I wasn’t focused so much on what was being said. It’s like a calmness was over me, not much worry, just a feeling of I will never be ALONE on this new journey I’m about to experience. I felt calm. Not until I looked at my loved ones FACES did I realize, oh my, this can be bad. But again, a feeling came over me that I will not
Courage, in differing degrees, helps to define one’s character (the essence of one’s being) and offers the strength to stand up for what is good and right. It crosses over and unites and affects all other values. Courage must not be exercised to an extreme, causing a person to become so foolish that his or her actions are later regretted.

When the passion to destroy another human being becomes such an obsession that one is willing to sacrifice the lives of others, that person has become a bully and a coward and not a person of courage. History is filled with men and women who have hidden their fears by inciting others to do evil. Such people are not the models of character that we wish to instill thoughts of in the minds of our children.

**Wisdom as a Virtue**

*True wisdom comes to each of us when we realize how little we understand about life, ourselves, and the world around us.*

—Socrates

*We can learn from history how past generations thought and acted, how they responded to the demands of their time and how they solved their problems. We can learn by analogy, not by example, for our circumstances will always be different than theirs were. The main thing history can teach us is that human actions have consequences and that certain choices, once made, cannot be undone. They foreclose the possibility of making other choices and thus they determine future events.*

—Gerda Lerner (Pioneer of Women’s History)

Wisdom is the judicious application of knowledge. Wisdom begins first by learning from the failures and successes of those who have preceded us. Marcus Tullius Cicero (106–43 BC), a Roman philosopher and politician, is reported to have said, “The function of wisdom is to discriminate between good and evil.” In the health care setting, when the patient’s wishes and end-of-life preferences are unknown, wisdom with good judgment without bias or prejudice springs forth more easily.

**Temperance as a Virtue**

*Being forced to work, and forced to do your best, will breed in you temperance and self-control, diligence and strength of will, cheerfulness and content, and a hundred virtues which the idle will never know.*

—Charles Kingsley
Temperance involves self-control and restraint. It embraces moderation in thoughts and actions. Temperance is evidenced by orderliness and moderation in everything one says and does. It involves the ability to control one’s actions so as not to go to extremes. The question arises, without the ability to control oneself from substance abuse, for example, how can a person possibly live the life of a virtuous person. The old adage, “the proof is in the pudding” lies in one’s actions. A virtuous person stands out from the crowd by actions and deeds.

**COMMITMENT**

*Unless commitment is made; there are only promises and hopes; but no plans.*

—Peter F. Drucker

*I know the price of success: dedication, hard work, and an unremitting devotion to the things you want to see happen.*

—Frank Lloyd Wright

*Commitment* is the act of binding oneself intellectually or emotionally to a course of action (e.g., pursue a career, adhere to a religious belief) or person (e.g., marriage, family, patient care). It is an agreement or pledge to do something. It can be ongoing, such as in a marriage, or a pledge to do something in the future, such as an engagement as a commitment to marry a particular person.

**COMPASSION**

*Compassion is the basis of morality.*

—Arthur Schopenhauer

**The Fear Factor and Patient Satisfaction**

Nurses tend to score highly on measures of empathy, care, and compassion . . . Here is some specific advice about what hospitals can do to help nurses improve at calming their patients’ fears.

- Encourage nurses to be sensitive to the level of fear their patients experience when they’re admitted to the hospital. Empathy and communication are key . . .
- Set nurses up for success through training and support. In many instances, it is easy to deal with safety concerns by providing detailed protocols, policies, and procedures . . .

*Rick Blizzard, D.B.A., Gallup, August 6, 2013*
Compassion is the deep awareness of and sympathy for another’s suffering. The ability to show compassion is a true mark of moral character. There are those who argue that compassion will blur one’s judgment. Caregivers need to show the same compassion for others, as they would expect for themselves or their loved ones.

Compassion is a moral value expected of all caregivers. Those who lack compassion have a weakness in their moral character. In 1996, Dr. Linda Peeno, featured in Michael Moore’s 2007 film Sicko, testified before Congress (Important issue facing House-Senate conference on health care reform, House of Representatives—March 28, 2000—Page: H1465) to discuss her prior work for Humana, where she worked as a claims reviewer for several health maintenance organizations (HMOs). Dr. Peeno showed compassion as she testified before the Committee on Commerce on May 30, 1996. Here is her story in part:

I wish to begin by making a public confession. In the spring of 1987, I caused the death of a man. Although this was known to many people, I have not been taken before any court of law or called to account for this in any professional or public forum. In fact, just the opposite occurred. I was rewarded for this. It brought me an improved reputation in my job and contributed to my advancement afterwards. Not only did I demonstrate that I could do what was asked, expected of me, I exemplified the good company employee. I saved a half a million dollars.
Since that day, I have lived with this act and many others eating into my heart and soul. The primary ethical norm is do no harm. I did worse, I caused death. Instead of using a clumsy bloody weapon, I used the simplest, cleanest of tools: my words. This man died because I denied him a necessary operation to save his heart. I felt little pain or remorse at the time. The man’s faceless distance soothed my conscience. Like a skilled soldier, I was trained for the moment. When any moral qualms arose, I was to remember, “I am not denying care; I am only denying payment.”

Duty-based ethics required Dr. Peeno to follow the rules of her job. In so doing, a life was lost. Although Dr. Peeno eventually came forward with her story, the irony here lies in the fact that Dr. Peeno lacked the courage, integrity, and compassion to report her story sooner. The lack of compassion for others plagues the health care industry in a variety of settings.

---

**Teaching Doctors to Care**

At Harvard and other medical schools across the country, educators are beginning to realize that empathy is as valuable to a doctor as any clinical skill . . . doctors who try to understand their patients may be the best antidote for the widespread dissatisfaction with today’s health care system.

*Nathan Thornburgh, Time magazine, March 29, 2006*

---

**Detachment**

*Detachment*, or lack of concern for the patient’s needs, often translates into mistakes that result in patient injuries. Those who have excessive emotional involvement in a patient’s care may be best suited to work in those settings where patients are most likely to recover and have good outcomes (e.g., maternity units). As with all things in life, there needs to be a comfortable balance between compassion and detachment.

*Never apologize for showing feeling. When you do so, you apologize for the truth.*

——*Benjamin Disraeli*

---

**Policy Ruled—Compassion Missing**

Mr. Jones was trying to get home from a long trip to see his ailing wife. Mrs. Jones had been ill for several years, suffering a great deal of pain. His flight was to leave at 7:00 PM. Upon arrival at the airport in New York at 4:30 pm, he inquired at the ticket counter, “Is there an earlier flight that I can take to Washington?” The counter agent responded, “There is plenty of room on the 5:00 pm flight, but you will have pay a $200 change fee.” The passenger inquired, “Could you please waive the change fee? I need to get home to my ailing wife.” The ticket agent responded, “Sorry, your ticket does not allow me to make the change. You can, however, try at the gate.”
The passenger made a second attempt at the gate to get on an earlier flight, but the manager at the gate was unwilling to authorize the change, saying, “I don’t make the rules.” Mr. Jones decided to give it one more try. He called the airline’s customer service center. The customer service agent responded to Mr. Jones’s plea: “We cannot overrule the agent at the gate. Sorry, you just got the wrong supervisor. He is going by the book.”

Anonymous

Discussion

1. Should rules be broken for a higher good? Discuss your answer.
2. Do the rules seem to be consistently or inconsistently applied in this reality check? Discuss your answer.

Conscientious

The most infectiously joyous men and women are those who forget themselves in thinking about and serving others.

—Robert J. McCracken

A conscientious person is one who has moral integrity and a strict regard for doing what is considered the right thing to do. An individual acts conscientiously if he or she is motivated to do what is right, believing it is the right thing to do. Conscience is a form of self-reflection on and judgment about whether one’s actions are right or wrong, good or bad. It is an internal sanction that comes into play through critical reflection. This sanction often appears as a bad conscience in the form of painful feelings of remorse, guilt, shame, disunity, or disharmony as the individual recognizes that his or her acts were wrong.

Kill the Messenger

Frank, working as a hospital inspector, found a number of things wrong in his recent building inspection. At first glance the building looked clean and polished—Frank was amazed by how the floors sparkled in the old building. But then, as Frank always does, he asked to look behind a corridor door. Behind the door, Frank found a small storage closet with medical records strewn on the floor and others stored in cardboard boxes. The records had been soaked by water and floor wax that had seeped under the door when the corridors where cleaned. Entries on the records were blurred, making them difficult to read, and the records appeared to have black mold growing on them.

Behind another door was a medical equipment repair room. Dust balls floated on the floor as the door was opened. There was food on the floor, and a can of soda had been spilled and allowed to dry. Equipment parts were also scattered on the floor.

The staff complained about Frank’s findings. Before he left, the staff corrected the issues he had noted and asked, “Frank, could you remove these comments from your report.
We cleaned the room and it is spotless. In addition, we stored the records in the medical records department.” Frank replied, “I could not in good conscience remove my findings. Yes, the room may be clean but what about the information that had been recorded on the medical records that is not readable. Further, our process for inspecting your facility is a sampling process. I did not look behind every door and I am not sure what we would find if we did. I would suggest, as we discussed earlier, that a written plan of action be prepared and implemented to address the issues I identified. More important, your action plan should be implemented facilitywide. For example, no boxes should be stored on the floors, including medical supplies, as well as medical records.”

Anonymous

Discussion

1. Should Frank have overlooked his findings, as the staff pressed him not to report them? Discuss your answer.
2. Assuming you were Frank, would you have deleted the findings from your report? Explain your answer.

Discernment

Get to know two things about a man—how he earns his money and how he spends it—and you have the clue to his character, for you have a searchlight that shows up the innermost recesses of his soul. You know all you need to know about his standards, his motives, his driving desires, and his real religion.

—Robert J. McCracken

Discernment is the ability to make a good decision without personal biases, fears, and undue influences from others. A person who has discernment has the wisdom to decide the best course of action when there are many possible actions to choose from.

9/11 Value Judgment

James had been scheduled to fly Monday evening, September 10, 2001, from Ronald Reagan Washington National Airport to New York LaGuardia Airport, and then rent a car and drive to Greenwich, Connecticut, where he was assigned to inspect a hospital. As luck would have it, there was one flight cancellation after another. After the last flight to LaGuardia was canceled, he went to the ticket counter and scheduled the first flight out Tuesday morning, September 11, 2001, at 6:00 AM.

The following morning James flew into LaGuardia, picked up his car, and drove to Connecticut to work with an assigned team led by Dr. Matthews. Not long after he arrived at the hospital, the first plane hit the World Trade Center. Shortly after the second plane crashed into the World Trade Center, the corporate office called and asked if the hospital wanted to reschedule the survey. The hospital opted to continue the survey.
On Thursday, the last day of the survey, a hospital staff member approached Dr. Matthews and asked if he and his survey team would like to attend a short memorial service in the lobby at noon for the victims and workers of 9/11. Without hesitation, Dr. Matthews replied, “No, we really have to finish our reports.”

Anonymous

Discussion

1. Did the team leader make an appropriate decision? Discuss your answer.
2. Describe the various virtues and values that come into play in this case.
3. Discuss how you would have addressed the hospital’s request to attend the memorial service.
4. Realizing that hindsight is 20/20, could you defend the decision not to attend the ceremony? Explain your answer.

Fairness

Do all the good you can, By all the means you can, In all the ways you can, In all the places you can, At all the times you can, To all the people you can, As long as you ever can.

—John Wesley

In ethics, fairness requires each person to be objective, unbiased, dispassionate, impartial, and consistent with the principles of ethics. Fairness is the ability to make judgments free from discrimination, dishonesty, or one’s own bias. It is the ability to be objective without prejudice or bias. We often tolerate mediocrity. We sometimes forget to thank those who just do their jobs, and we often praise the extraordinary, sometimes despite questionable faults. To be fair, it is important to see the good in all and to reward that good.

Questions of fairness in the Affordable Care Act have led to lawsuits in a number of the nation’s top hospitals including the Mayo Clinic in Minnesota and Cedars-Sinai in Los Angeles because they are cut out of most insurance plans sold on the exchange.

Health Costs Cut by Limiting Choice

“Exchanges exclude, doctors, hospitals; in backlash, some providers file lawsuits”

The result, some argue, is a two-tiered system of health care: Many of the people who buy health plans on the exchanges have fewer hospitals and doctors to choose from than those with coverage through their employers.

... 

Insurers “looked at the people expected to go on the exchanges and thought: ‘these are people coming out of the ranks of the uninsured. They don’t care about the Mayo Clinic or the Cleveland Clinic. They will go to community providers,’” explained Robert Laszewski, a consultant to the health-care industry.

Although care is generally cheaper at community-based hospitals than academic medical centers, the quality of that care often comes into question, as noted in the following reality check.

**Community Hospital v. Respected Medical Center**

My closest friend Nick was admitted to a small community hospital for what was described as a minor surgical procedure. During surgery, the surgeon unknowingly nicked the large bowel, which went unknown for several days. Nick, as a result, developed a methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) infection. Further surgeries were conducted, complicating an already botched surgery. One evening a nurse called his family aside and said, although I am jeopardizing my job, “I would get Nick out of here if I was you.” The family decided to move Nick to a major teaching medical center several hours away from his hometown. Following an extended stay at the medical center and discharged home under hospice care, I visited Nick at his home. He looked intensely straight at me with deep sadness and said, “they took my life from me.” He told another friend, “My life is over.”
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So where are we as it relates to fairness in the delivery of health care? Is it true that people coming out of the ranks of the uninsured don’t care about the Mayo Clinic, Cleveland Clinic, the Lahey Hospital and Medical Center, or the Massachusetts General Hospital, to name but a few? Or do they care but just don’t know the quality differences from one hospital to the next. Or is it a question of accessibility in remote areas of the country. Do we need hospital selection exchanges more than we need insurance exchanges? If one has money or connections is he or she more likely to get a higher quality of medical care than a poorer patient? Who determines what routine care is? Is routine care based on each patient’s needs and comorbidities? Should those who have a financial interest in where a patient is treated be excluded from the decision-making process? Cheaper is not always better and providing care very well may not be enough. Although fairness in the delivery of health is a laudable value, it is most likely unachievable. The disparity as to who holds the nation’s wealth continues to widen, as noted in the news clippings that follow. With so much wealth in the hands of the few, fairness in the delivery of high-quality health care for all is unlikely. However, the human race must continue to strive to meet the needs of all.

**Of the 1%, by the 1%, for the 1%**

Americans have been watching protests against oppressive regimes that concentrate massive wealth in the hands of an elite few. Yet in our own democracy, 1 percent of the people take nearly a quarter of the nation’s income—an inequality even the wealthy will come to regret.

*Joseph E. Stiglitz, Vanity Fair, May 2011*
World’s Richest 1% Own 40% of All Wealth, UN Report Discovers

The richest 1% of adults in the world own 40% of the planet’s wealth, according to the largest study yet of wealth distribution. The report also finds that those in financial services and the internet sectors predominate among the super rich.

Europe, the US and some Asia Pacific nations account for most of the extremely wealthy. More than a third live in the US. Japan accounts for 27% of the total, the UK for 6% and France for 5%.

James Randerson, The Guardian, December 2006

FIDELITY

Nothing is more noble, nothing more venerable, than fidelity. Faithfulness and truth are the most sacred excellences and endowments of the human mind.

—CICERO

Fidelity is the virtue of faithfulness, being true to our commitments and obligations to others. A component of fidelity, veracity, implies that we will be truthful and honest in all our endeavors. It involves being faithful and loyal to obligations, duties, or observances. The opposite of fidelity is infidelity, meaning unfaithfulness.

FREEDOM

You can only protect your liberties in this world by protecting the other man’s freedom. You can only be free if I am free.

—DOROTHY THOMPSON

Freedom is the quality of being free to make choices for oneself within the boundaries of law. Freedoms enjoyed by citizens of the United States include the freedom of speech, freedom of religion, freedom from want, and freedom from physical aggression.

HONESTY/TRUSTWORTHINESS/TRUTH TELLING

A lie can travel halfway around the world while the truth is still putting on its shoes.

—MARK TWAIN (AMERICAN HUMORIST, WRITER, AND LECTURER, 1835–1910)

Honesty and trust involve confidence that a person will act with the right motives. It is the assured reliance on the character, ability, strength, or truth of someone or something. To tell the truth, to have integrity, and to be honest are most honorable virtues. Veracity is devotion to and conformity with what is truthful. It involves an obligation to be truthful.

Truth telling involves providing enough information so that a patient can make an informed decision about his or her health care. Intentionally misleading a patient to believe something that the caregiver knows to be untrue may give the patient false hopes. There is
always apprehension when one must share bad news; the temptation is to play down the truth for fear of being the bearer of bad news. To lessen the pain and the hurt is only human, but in the end, truth must win over fear.

Speaking the truth in times of universal deceit is a revolutionary act.

—George Orwell

36,000 Feet over Texas

A few weeks before Frank was to travel to Dodge City, Texas, for a work assignment, he received a call from Dr. Layblame: “Hi Frank. This is Dr. Layblame. Can you be ready for an early afternoon departure from Dodge City on Friday?” Frank replied, “Well you know we have been instructed not to leave early, and the last flight leaves at 4:30 P.M. Anyway, I don’t mind flying out Saturday morning.” Dr. Layblame replied, “Well, it’s only an hour early. If you write most of your report the night before and during lunch on Friday, we should be able to finish up work by 2:30 P.M. The airport is small and close to the hospital. Besides, my team has to drive to Louisiana and we would like to get there so we can go out to dinner and enjoy evening. I am the tour leader, so it should not be a problem.” Frank said OK and scheduled a 4:30 P.M. return flight.
While Frank was in a flight to Washington, DC following his work assignment, Ronald, Frank’s supervisor was dictating a voicemail message to him. When Frank returned home at about 10:30 that evening, he retrieved his voicemail messages. Ronald had left Frank a message at 4:30 P.M. earlier that day asking Frank, “Call me as soon as you get this message. I will be in my office until about 5:30 P.M. If you miss me, you can reach me over the weekend. My cell phone number is xxx/xxx-xxxx.”

Frank called Ronald that evening and the next morning; however, Ronald never answered, nor did he return his call. Frank called Ronald Monday morning. As luck would have it, Ronald was out of the office for the day. Frank called Ronald again on Tuesday morning and Ronald answered. Frank asked, “Ronald, you called?” He replied, “Yes, I did. How were you able to get to the airport on Friday and catch a 4:30 P.M. flight without leaving your job early? I had your flight schedule and you left the survey early. You could not possibly have traveled to the airport in time to catch your flight without leaving early.”

Frank replied, “I did not schedule the exit time from the survey. The physician team leader determined the time of the exit. He said that he was conducting a system tour and would like to get the exit briefing started as soon as possible. He asked for everybody to be ready to exit by having draft reports ready the night before.” Ronald replied, “Dr. Layblame told me the team had to exit early because you scheduled an early flight.”

Frank asked, “Just one question, Ronald. Why would you leave a message for me at 4:30 P.M. to call you by 5:30 P.M. when you knew I was 36,000 feet high in the sky? And why didn’t you call the team leader at the beginning of the assignment and not after it was completed? Since you know flight schedules, why would you wait until the assignment was completed to raise this issue? Sounds a bit peculiar, don’t you think? Sort of like observing a protocol not being followed in the OR and then chastising the OR team after the surgery is completed for not following protocol. This is a serious business we are in. You need to ask yourself why you would allow an event to occur if you believed it to be wrong.”

Discussion

1. Discuss the ethical issues involved in this case.
2. Discuss what you would do if you found yourself in Frank’s situation.
3. What should Frank have said if his manager said, “You should have reported Dr. Layblame”?
4. How would you describe Ronald’s management style?

Declining Trust in the Health Care System

The declining trust in the nation’s ability to deliver high-quality health care is evidenced by a system caught up in the quagmire of managed care companies, which have in some instances inappropriately devised ways to deny health care benefits to their constituency. In addition, the continuing reporting of numerous medical errors serves only to escalate distrust in the nation’s political leadership and the providers of health care.

Physicians find themselves vulnerable to lawsuits, often because of misdiagnosis. As a result, patients are passed from specialist to specialist in an effort to leave no stone unturned. Fearful to step outside the boundaries of their own specialties, physicians escalate the problem...
by ineffectively communicating with the primary care physician responsible for managing the patient’s overall health care needs. This can also be problematic if no one physician has taken overall responsibility to coordinate and manage a patient’s care.

**NEWS**

**Cancer Doctor Allegedly Prescribed $35 Million Worth of Totally Unnecessary Chemotherapy**

A Michigan oncologist has been charged with giving $35 million in needless chemotherapy to patients—some of whom didn’t even have cancer, The Today Show reported.

Popular physician Farid Fata, who had more than 1,000 patients, allegedly misdiagnosed people with cancer just so he could bill Medicare.

He’s also accused of giving chemo to “end-of-life” patients who wouldn’t benefit and had to endure the treatment’s nasty side effects during their final days.

*Christina Sterbenz, Business Insider, August 15, 2013*

**Politics and Distrust**

*Lies or the appearance of lies are not what the writers of our Constitution intended for our country—it’s not the America we salute every Fourth of July, it’s not the America we learned about in school, and it is not the America represented in the flag that rises above our land.*

—Anonymous

Truthfulness is just one measure of one’s moral character. Unfortunately, politicians do not always set good examples for the people they serve. The following news clipping is an example of how political decisions can lead to distrust in government.

**NEWS**

**Cheney’s Staff Cut Testimony on Warming**

In a letter to Sen. Barbara Boxer (D-Calif.), former EPA deputy associate administrator Jason K. Burnett said an official from Cheney’s office ordered last October that six pages be edited out of the testimony of Julie L. Gerberding, director of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Gerberding had planned to say that the “CDC considers climate change a serious public health concern.”

*Juliet Eilperin, The Washington Post, July 9, 2008*

**Discussion**

1. Discuss how headlines such as this affect your opinion of politicians.
2. Assuming a cover-up, discuss how the principles of beneficence and nonmaleficence apply.
3. At the end of our days, the most basic principles of life—trust and survival—are on trial. What is your verdict, if indeed there was a cover-up?
Nearly all men can stand adversity, but if you want to test a man’s character, give him power.

—Abraham Lincoln

Wrong-Operation Doctor

Hospitals find it hard to protect patients from wrong-site surgery

Last year a jury returned a $20 million negligence verdict against Arkansas Children’s Hospital for surgery on the wrong side of the brain of a 15-year-old boy who was left psychotic and severely brain damaged. Testimony showed that the error was not disclosed to his parents for more than a year. The hospital issued a statement saying it deeply regretted the error and had “redoubled our efforts to prevent” a recurrence.

“Healthcare has far too little accountability for results . . . . All the pressures are on the side of production; that’s how you get paid,” said Peter Pronovost, a prominent safety expert and medical director of the Johns Hopkins Center for Innovation in Quality Patient Care, who added that increased pressure to turn over operating rooms quickly has trumped patient safety, increasing the chance of error.


Discussion

1. Discuss the issues of integrity in this case.
2. Should criminal charges be a consideration in this case, if accurately reported?
   Discuss your answer.

Integrity involves a steadfast adherence to a strict moral or ethical code and a commitment not to compromise this code. A person with integrity has a staunch belief in and faithfulness to, for example, his or her religious beliefs. Patients and professionals alike often make health care decisions based on their integrity and their strict moral beliefs. For example, Jehovah’s Witnesses generally refuse blood transfusions because it is contrary to their religious beliefs, even if such refusal may result in death. A provider of health care may refuse to participate in an abortion because it is against the provider’s moral beliefs. A person without personal integrity lacks sincerity and moral conviction and may fail to act on professed moral beliefs.

Behind the Smiles

Jeff well remembers what happened after Bill left the hospital boardroom. He, however, remembers more clearly how Bill, a consultant, was treated while he was in the room after presenting his organizational improvement report to the hospital’s leadership. Bill was treated with kindness and assurances as to how well he took an educational approach to his audit findings with the staff and how employees appreciated his suggestions for improvement.
Prior to exiting the conference room, Bill asked whether there were any questions about his report. No questions, just smiles, accolades, and goodbyes. Jeff thought to himself, wow, it is good to see good people take suggestions and be so willing to make the changes that Bill suggested.

Oops, hold on, it turns out Bill wasn’t as wonderful as the leadership described. The organization’s leadership was now disgruntled about Bill’s report. Bill was gone and now vilified. Jeff, a consultant not scheduled to finish his assignment for another 2 weeks, asked, “Why didn’t you ask questions while Bill was here?” Carol, the finance director replied, “I spent 2 weeks with Bill. He just made up his mind. There was just no changing his mind.” Jim said, “Are you saying that you disagree with Bill’s report?” Carol, replied, “Yes, I do disagree with it.” Jeff continued, “But you did not state that while he was here. You told him you liked his suggestions and that you were already in the process of implementing them.” Carol replied, “That’s true, but since we made the suggested changes while he was here, he did not have to include them in his written report.” Bill replied, “It speaks well of leadership that you have done so; however, it is the hospital board that asked for the audit. We must report what we found.” Carol, disgruntled, remained silent. Jim thought to himself, integrity includes being honest and truthful and surely not criticizing a person after leaving the conference room.

Anonymous

Discussion

1. Should Bill have left his findings off the report? Explain your answer.
2. Discuss why Bill’s integrity in reporting the deficiencies he found and reported were important to both ABC consulting and the hospital board, which had contracted with ABC to conduct the audit.

Employee Satisfaction Survey

The human resources department manager was reporting on an employee satisfaction survey at a leadership roundtable session with the organization’s employees. To maintain employee confidentiality, a third-party consulting firm had conducted the survey. Approximately 49% of employees had responded to the survey, compared with 47% 3 years earlier. The HR manager commented that it is was the first satisfaction survey conducted in 3 years and that the results were excellent, with a 4.2% rise in overall employee satisfaction. Management was all smiles as they sat listening to the report. The HR manager had actually briefed the organization’s leadership prior to the roundtable session. Following the report, she asked if there were any questions. The silence was deadly—no one responded. Finally, one employee, Richard, placed his hands on the table to stand up, but he felt a nudge on his right shoulder from Phil, a physician friend. Phil whispered, “Richard, are you sure you want to ask any questions? There is nothing to gain here.” Richard, looking down with a smile, said, “I agree, but I can’t help myself.” Richard then stood up and asked...
the HR manager, “Do you know what the employee turnover rate has been during the past 3 years?” She responded, “Well, ugh, yes, it was about 30%.” Richard replied, “So, then, does this report reflect that we have had a 30% turnover?” The manager replied, “Good point, I will have to get back to you on that.” When he returned to his seat, Phil said, “Do you really think you will ever hear back an answer to your question?” Richard smiled and replied, “Not really.” Richard was right; she never did get back to him.

**Discussion**

1. Discuss why employees are often reluctant to ask questions when their questions are solicited by leadership.
2. Knowing that the HR manager never followed up with Richard, should he have followed up with the manager as to the validity of the survey data? Explain your answer.

---

**Medical Integrity and Patient Autonomy**

The integrity of the medical profession is not threatened by allowing competent patients to decide for themselves whether a particular medical treatment is in their best interests. Patient autonomy sets the foundation of one's right to bodily integrity, including the right to accept or refuse treatment. Those rights are superior to the institutional considerations of hospitals and their medical staffs. A state's interest in maintaining the ethical integrity of a profession does not outweigh, for example, a patient's right to refuse blood transfusions.

---

**Kindness**

> When you carry out acts of kindness, you get a wonderful feeling inside. It is as though something inside your body responds and says, yes, this is how I ought to feel.
>
> —Harold Kushner

Kindness involves the quality of being considerate and sympathetic to another’s needs. Some people are takers, and others are givers. If you go through life giving without the anticipation of receiving, you will be a kinder and happier person.

---

**Kindness Is Not Always Returned**

The widely known saying “actions speak louder than words” is well demonstrated in this reality check. Joe was a health care consultant. He had collected thousands of documents of helpful information to share with health care organizations with which he had worked. His thinking was this: Why should hospitals have to reinvent the wheel? If organizations are willing to share with others, why not disseminate such information for the benefit of other hospitals? His hopes were that larger trade organizations would eventually collect the information and freely share with their constituents. After all, the goal was better care
for all wherever they lived. Joe would provide copies of his CD to fellow consultants and encourage them to share the information with others. One day upon arriving at work he noticed that one of the consultants to whom he had given a copy of the CD had four or five newspaper clippings about hospitals spread out on a conference room table. Joe thought they looked interesting and asked, “Could I have a copy of your clippings?” The consultant said, “No, these are proprietary information.”

On another occasion, after sharing his CD with an organization, he asked, “Would you be willing to share your ‘12 Step Addiction Program’ with other health care organizations?” A representative from the organization said, “We will share it with you but not others.” Joe kindly said, “That’s okay. I can accept only what you are willing to share with others.”

Anonymous

Discussion

1. Should Joe have asked for his CD back from the consultant and organization? Discuss your answer.
2. Discuss why an organization might not be willing to share program information.

Respect

Respect for ourselves guides our morals; respect for others guides our manners.

—Laurence Sterne

Respect is an attitude of admiration or esteem. Kant was the first major Western philosopher to put respect for persons, including oneself as a person, at the center of moral theory. He believed that persons are ends in themselves with an absolute dignity, which must always be respected. In contemporary thinking, respect has become a core ideal extending moral respect to things other than persons, including all things in nature.

Caregivers who demonstrate respect for one another and their patients will be more effective in helping them cope with the anxiety of their illness. Respect helps to develop trust between the patient and caregiver and improve healing processes. If caregivers respect the family of a patient, cooperation and understanding will be the positive result, encouraging a team effort to improve patient care.

Hopefulness

Hope is the last thing that dies in man; and though it be exceedingly deceitful, yet it is of this good use to us, that while we are traveling through life, it conducts us in an easier and more pleasant way to our journey’s end.

—François de La Rochefoucauld
Hopefulness in the patient care setting involves looking forward to something with the confidence of success. Caregivers have a responsibility to balance truthfulness while promoting hope. The caregiver must be sensitive to each patient’s needs and provide hope. As noted by Brooke’s father, we can pass on hope and love to others.

Tolerance can be viewed in two ways, positive or negative. Positive tolerance implies that a person accepts differences in others and that one does not expect others to believe, think, speak, or act as he or she does. Tolerant people are generally free of prejudice and discrimination. Recognizing this fact, Thomas Jefferson incorporated theories of tolerance into the U.S. Constitution. Negative tolerance implies that one will reluctantly put up with another’s beliefs. In other words, he or she merely tolerates the views of others.

Although tolerance can be viewed as a virtue, however, not all tolerance is virtuous nor is all intolerance necessarily wrong. An exaggerated tolerance may amount to a vice, whereas intolerance may sometimes be a virtue. For example, tolerating everything regardless of its repugnance (e.g., persecution for religious beliefs) is no virtue, and having intolerance for that which should not be tolerated and is evil is no vice (e.g., mass executions).
**Cooperation and Teamwork**

*If we do not hang together, we will all hang separately.*

—Benjamin Franklin (1706–1790)

*Cooperation* is the process of working with others. In the health care setting, caregivers must work together to improve patient outcomes. The health care worker today works in an environment where change is the norm. Those unwilling to accept change and work in unity will eventually be working alone. Change is the only constant in today’s workplace and society in general. Technological change is occurring at a pace faster than the human mind can absorb, thus requiring teamwork between individuals with a wide variety of skills sets. Congress, as noted in the following news clipping, is an example of how little can be accomplished when its members are dysfunctional and unwilling to cooperate and work together towards common goals.

**NEWS**

**Congress Gets Stuck Again—Over FAA**

*Parties Blame Each Other in Funding Dispute and Partial Shutdown*

A dispute over funding for the Federal Aviation Administration has left an estimated 74,000 people out of work for a dozen days and tossed Congress into the throes of yet another interparty battle.

Now, with lawmakers leaving town or already on recess, there seems to be little hope of a resolution on the horizon.

*Ashley Halsey III, The Washington Post, August 4, 2011*

Failure to cooperate has a rippling effect in any setting. The failure of Congress to cooperate and resolve funding issues for the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) before taking its summer recess in 2011 left 74,000 people out of work, costing the nation nearly a billion dollars for the month of August. Failure of the few to cooperate and act responsibly not only affected employees placed on a leave of absence but also placed a financial hardship on their families, not to mention the effect it has had on the communities where they live. In addition, passenger safety on airline flights was placed in jeopardy. If hospitals operated in this manner, there would be even more recorded bad outcomes. Teamwork is effective only as long as each member of the team cooperates and fulfills the duties assigned. High-quality patient care is more likely to be better in those organizations where respect and cooperation abounds.

Tying together the Patient Protection and Portable Care Act, commonly referred to as Obamacare, as a prerequisite to approving the national budget has merely resulted in name-calling by government officials, which has stirred bitterness between citizens of varying beliefs. Pundits and politicians alike fill the airways with contemptuous remarks that stoke the flames of division. The need to win one’s point of view has selfishly become the norm and more important than the nation itself. Pride and self-appointed power brokers are the hallmarks of those responsible for crisis after crisis. Change comes when the players learn to cooperate for the common good.
Forgiveness is a virtue of the brave.

—Indira Gandhi

Forbearing one another, and forgiving one another, if any man have a quarrel against any: even as Christ forgave you, so also do ye.

—Colossians 3:13 KJV

Forgiveness is a virtue and a value. It is the willingness to pardon someone who has wronged you in some way. It is also a form of mercy. Forgiveness is to forgive and let lose the bonds of blame. It is a form of cleansing souls of both those who forgive and those who accept the forgiveness offered.

The following reality check is an excerpt of a Facebook discussion between two friends involving courage and forgiveness by two very special people.

Courage and Forgiveness

7:38 am
Scotty: Did you see this link http://www.josieking.org/page.cfm?pageID=10 on the internet?

7:38 am
Diane: Reading it now

Josie was 18 months old.... In January of 2001 Josie was admitted... after suffering first and second degree burns from climbing into a hot bath. She healed well and within weeks was scheduled for release. Two days before she was to return home she died of severe dehydration and misused narcotics...

Josie spent 10 days in the PICU. I [Josie’s mother] was by her side every day and night. I paid attention to every minute detail of the doctors’ and nurses’ care, and I was quick to ask questions. I bonded with them and was in constant awe of the medical attention she received.... She was sent down to the intermediate care floor with expectations of being sent home in a few days. Her three older siblings prepared for her welcome home celebration....

The following week her central line had been taken out. I began noticing that every time she saw a drink she would scream for it, and I thought this was strange. I was told not to let her drink. While a nurse and I gave her a bath, she sucked furiously on a washcloth. As I put her to bed, I noticed that her eyes were rolling back in her head. Although I asked the nurse to call the doctor, she reassured me that oftentimes children did this and her vitals were fine. I told her Josie had never done this and perhaps another nurse could look at her. After yet another reassurance from another nurse that everything was fine, I was told that it was okay for me to sleep at home. I called to check in two times during the night and returned to the hospital at 5:30 am. I took one look at Josie and demanded that a
doctor come at once. She was not fine. Josie’s medical team arrived and administered two shots of Narcan. I asked if she could have something to drink. The request was approved, and Josie gulped down nearly a liter of juice. Verbal orders were issued for there to be no narcotics given. As I sat with Josie, I noticed that the nurse on morning duty was acting very strangely. She seemed nervous, overly demonstrative and in a hurry. Uneasy, I asked the other nurses about her and they said she had been a nurse for a long time. Still worried, I expressed my concern to one of the doctors, and he agreed that she was acting a bit odd. Meanwhile, Josie started perking up. She was more alert and had kept all liquids down. I was still scared and asked her doctors to please stay close by. At 1:00 the nurse walked over with a syringe of methadone. Alarmed, I told her that there had been an order for no narcotics. She said the orders had been changed and administered the drug.

Josie’s heart stopped as I was rubbing her feet. Her eyes were fixed, and I screamed for help. I stood helpless as a crowd of doctors and nurses came running into her room. I was ushered into a small room with a chaplain.

The next time I saw Josie she had been moved back up to the PICU. Doctors and nurses were standing around her bed. No one seemed to want to look at me. She was hooked up to many machines, and her leg was black and blue. I looked into their faces, and said to them, You did this to her now YOU must fix her. I was told to pray. Two days later Jack, Relly and Eva were brought to the hospital to kiss their beloved Josie goodbye. Josie was taken off of life support. She died in our arms on a snowy night in what’s considered to be one of the best hospitals in the world. Our lives were shattered and changed forever.

Josie died from severe dehydration and misused narcotics. Careless human errors. On top of our overwhelming sorrow and intense grief we were consumed by anger. They say anger can do one of two things to you. It can cause you to rot away or it can propel you forward. There were days when all I wanted was to destroy the hospital and then put an end to my own pain. My three remaining children were my only reason for getting out of bed and functioning. One day I will tell them how they saved my life. My husband Tony and I decided that we had to let the anger move us forward. We would do something good that would help prevent this from ever happening to a child again.

7:45 am

Diane: I’ve experience first hand human error in the hospital. I was told by my Dr. it could have been critical and I would have died.

7:45 am

Diane: The nurses don’t like him and he told me to write a letter to file a complaint, but the thing is, the nursing staff was so good to me before and after that incident. Evidently when it was happening he yelled at the staff without me knowing. I had no clue what had happened till days later the nurse involved apologized to me profusely.

7:46 am

Diane: But I was so ill I didn’t give it much thought you ever heard of tpn? Its a sugar mixture via iv cuz i couldn’t eat. Supposedly it was supposed to be infused in me I think over a 12 hr period?

7:47 am

Diane: But the nurse put it for 4 hrs. I could have gone into diabetic shock. I do remember trying to wake up but I couldn’t open my eyes and I heard a lot of movement in my
room with the nurses. I yelled out. I can’t open my eyes and I’m drenched in sweat. I had no idea what was happening. I was then put on insulin.

7:50 am

Scotty: I must say you are an amazing young lady.

Anonymous Patient

Discussion

1. This young lady forgave the nurse and suggested that when the nurse was setting the timing for the TPN, she may have distracted the nurse, and she blamed herself for the wrong setting. Discuss how courage and forgiveness were displayed in this case.
2. Discuss the similarities in values that Josie’s mother and the young lady on the Internet have in common.
3. Discuss your thoughts as to how human errors can be prevented; including what roles patients, families, caregivers, hospitals, and regulatory agencies should play in preventing similar errors.

RE eLigiOe ETHICS

The Great Physician: Dear Lord, You are the great physician. I turn to you in my sickness, asking you for help. I place myself under Your loving care, praying that I may know Your healing grace and wholeness. Help me to find love in this strange world and to feel your presence by my bed both day and night. Give my doctors and nurses wisdom, that they may understand my illness. Steady and guide them with your strong hand. Reach out Your hand to me and touch my life with Your peace. Amen.

—UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA HEALTH SYSTEM

FIGURE 1-3 Religious Influence on Ethics (© Pack-Shot/Shutterstock, Inc.)
Religious ethics serve a moral purpose by providing codes of conduct for appropriate behavior through revelations from a divine source. These codes of conduct are enforced through fear of pain and suffering in the next life and/or reward in the next life for adhering to religious codes and beliefs. The prospect of divine justice helps us to tolerate the injustices in this life, where goodness is no guarantee of peace, happiness, wellness, or prosperity.

**NEWS**

**Many Think God’s Intervention Can Revive the Dying**

When it comes to saving lives, God trumps doctors for many Americans. An eye-opening survey reveals widespread belief that divine intervention can revive dying patients. And, researchers said, doctors “need to be prepared to deal with families who are waiting for a miracle.”

*Lindsey Tanner, USA Today, August 18, 2008*

Religion should be a component of the education, policy development, and consultative functions of ethics committees. There is a need to know, for example, how to respond to Jehovah’s Witnesses who refuse blood transfusions. Some hospitals provide staff with materials that describe various religious beliefs and how those beliefs might affect the patient’s course of care while in the hospital.

Religion is often used as a reason to justify what otherwise could be considered unjustifiable behavior. Political leaders often use religion to legitimize and consolidate their power. Leaders in democratic societies speak of the necessity to respect the right to “freedom of religion.”

Political leaders often use religion to further their political aspirations. They have often used religion to justify their actions. Religious persecution has plagued humanity from the beginning of time.

**NEWS**

**Syrian Rebels Combat al-Qaeda Force**

The ISIS extremists “have not the support of the people because they treated them badly. They were cutting off people’s heads all of the time to scare them in the name of religion,” said Col. Qassim Saadeddine, spokesman for the Revolutionary Front.


The world today, with the aid of the news media, is able to see firsthand the results of what can happen to innocent people in the name of religion. The atrocities of evil people strapping bombs to the mentally deficient with the purpose of blowing themselves up in public places, killing and maiming men, women, and children, are but a few of the numerous atrocities of what has occurred throughout the ages.
Spirituality implies that there is purpose and meaning to life; spirituality generally refers to faith in a higher being. For a patient, injury and sickness are frightening experiences. This fear is often heightened when the patient is admitted to a hospital or nursing facility. Health care organizations can help reduce patient fears by making available to them appropriate emotional and spiritual support and coping resources. It is a well-proven fact that patients who are able to draw on their spirituality and religious beliefs tend to have a more comfortable and often improved healing experience. To assist both patients and caregivers in addressing spiritual needs, patients should be provided with information as to how their spiritual needs can be addressed.

Difficult questions regarding a patient’s spiritual needs and how to meet those needs are best addressed on admission by first collecting information about the patient’s religious or spiritual preferences. Caregivers often find it difficult to discuss spiritual issues for fear of offending a patient who may have beliefs different from their own. If caregivers know from admission records a patient’s religious beliefs, the caregiver can share with the patient those religious and spiritual resources available in the hospital and community.

A variety of religions are described next for the purpose of understanding some of the basic tenets of these religions. They are presented here to note the importance of better understanding why patients differ in decision-making processes and how religion affects their beliefs and to encourage further study of how each religion affects the decision-making process. Hospitals should maintain a directory of the various religions that includes contacts for referral and consultation purposes.

**Surgeon Uses Ministry in Medical Practice**

DALLAS—At 83, Carl Smith found himself facing quadruple bypass surgery and the real possibility that he might not survive.

Within hours on this spring morning, Dr. Daniel Pool would temporarily bring Smith’s heart to a stop in an attempt to circumvent its blocked passages.

And to help his patient confront the uncertainty, Pool did something unusual in his profession: He prayed with him.

The power of healing: Medicine and religion have had their day, and they haven’t always been able to coexist. But as today’s medical treatment becomes more holistic, doctors are increasingly taking spirituality into account.

Marc Ramirez, Altoona Mirror, August 9, 2013

**Discussion**

1. Discuss the pressure, if any, placed on the patient in responding to the suggestion of prayer prior to surgery.
2. Describe how you, as the surgeon, would address a patient’s religious or spiritual needs if the risks of a complex surgical procedure appear to be threatening.
**Judaism**

Jewish Law refers to the unchangeable 613 mitzvot (commandments) that God gave to the Jews. Halakhah (Jewish Law) comes from three sources: (1) the Torah (the first five books of the Bible); (2) laws instituted by the rabbis; and (3) longstanding customs. The Jewish People is another name for the Children of Israel, referring to the Jews as a nation in the classical sense, meaning a group of people with a shared history and a sense of a group identity rather than a specific place or political persuasion.\(^\text{15}\)

Judaism is a monotheistic religion based on principles and ethics embodied in the Hebrew Bible (Old Testament). The notion of right and wrong is not so much an object of philosophical inquiry as an acceptance of divine revelation. Moses, for example, received a list of 10 laws directly from God. These laws were known as the 10 Commandments. Some of the 10 Commandments are related to the basic principles of justice that have been adhered to by society since they were first proclaimed and published. For some societies, the 10 Commandments were a turning point, where essential commands such as “thou shalt not kill” or “thou shalt not commit adultery” were accepted as law. The 10 Commandments (King James Version of the Bible) are as follows:

1. Thou shalt have no other gods before me.
2. Thou shalt not make unto thee any graven image, or any likeness of anything that is in heaven above, or that is in the earth beneath, or that is in the water under the earth. Thou shalt not bow down thyself to them, nor serve them.
3. Thou shalt not take the name of the Lord thy God in vain.
4. Remember the Sabbath day, to keep it holy.
5. Honor thy father and thy mother: that thy days may be long upon the land which the Lord thy God giveth thee.
6. Thou shalt not kill.
7. Thou shalt not commit adultery.
8. Thou shalt not steal.
9. Thou shalt not bear false witness against thy neighbor.
10. Thou shalt not covet thy neighbor’s house, thou shalt not covet thy neighbor’s wife, nor his manservant, nor his maidservant, nor his ox, nor his ass, nor anything that is thy neighbor’s.

When caring for the dying, family members will normally want to be present and prayers spoken. If a rabbi is requested, the patient’s own rabbi should be contacted first.

**Hinduism**

Hinduism is a polytheistic religion with many gods and goddesses. Hindus believe that God is everything and is infinite. The earliest known Hindu Scriptures were recorded around 1200 BC. Hindus believe in reincarnation and that one’s present condition is a reflection of one’s virtuous behavior or lack thereof in a previous lifetime.

When caring for the dying, relatives may wish to perform rituals at this time. In death, jewelry, sacred threads, or other religious objects should not be removed from the body. Washing the body is part of the funeral rites and should be carried out by the relatives.\(^\text{16}\)
**Buddhism**

Buddhism is a religion and philosophy encompassing a variety of traditions, beliefs, and practices, based largely on teachings attributed to Prince Siddhartha Gautama (563–483 BC), son of King Suddhodana and Queen Mayadevi, who lived in the present-day border area between India and Nepal. He had gone on a spiritual quest and eventually became enlightened at the age of 35, and from then on, he took the name Buddha. Simply defined, Buddhism is a religion to some and a philosophy to others that encourages one “to do good, avoid evil, and purify the mind.”

When caring for the dying, Buddhists like to be informed about their health status in order to prepare themselves spiritually. A side room with privacy is preferred.17

**Falun Gong**

*Falun Gong*, also referred to as *Falun Dafa*, is a traditional Chinese spiritual discipline belonging to the Buddhist school of thought. It consists of moral teachings, a meditation, and four exercises that resemble tai chi and are known in Chinese culture as *qigong*. Falun Gong does not involve physical places of worship, formal hierarchies, rituals, or membership and is taught without charge. The three principles practiced by the followers are truthfulness, compassion, and forbearance/tolerance toward others. The followers of Falun Gong claim a following in 100 countries.

**Zen**

Zen evolved from Buddhism in Tibet. It emphasizes dharma practice (from the master to the disciple) and experiential wisdom based on learning through the reflection on doing, going beyond scriptural readings. In Zen, Buddhism learning comes through a form of seated meditation known as *zazen*, where practitioners perform meditation to calm the body and the mind and experience insight into the nature of existence and thereby gain enlightenment.

**Taoism**

Taoists believe that ultimate reality is unknowable and unperceivable. The founder of Taoism is believed to be Lao Tzu (6 BC). Taoist doctrine includes the belief that the proper way of living involves being in tune with nature. Everything is ultimately interblended and interacts.

**Christianity**

Christianity is based on the Bible’s New Testament teachings. Christians accept both the Old and New Testament as being the word of God. The New Testament describes Jesus as being God, taking the form of man. He was born of the Virgin Mary, sacrificed his life by suffering crucifixion, and after being raised from the dead on the third day, he ascended into Heaven from which he will return to raise the dead, at which time the spiritual body will be united with the physical body. His death, burial, and resurrection provide a way of salvation through belief in Him for the forgiveness of sin. God is believed to be manifest in three persons: the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit.
The primary and final authority for Christian ethics is found in the life, teachings, ministry, death, and resurrection of Jesus Christ. He clarified the ethical demands of a God-centered life by applying the obedient love that was required of Peter. The 10 Commandments are accepted and practiced by both Christians and Jews.

Christians, when determining what is the right thing to do, often refer to the Golden Rule, which teaches us to “do unto others as you would have them do unto you,” a common principle in many moral codes and religions.

There have been and continue to be numerous interpretations of the meaning of the scriptures and their different passages by Christians over the centuries. This has resulted in a plethora of churches with varying beliefs. As noted later, such beliefs can affect a patient’s wishes for health care. However, the heart of Christian beliefs is found in the book of John:

For God so loved the world, that he gave his only begotten Son, that whoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life.

− King James Version, John 3:16

The Apostle Paul proclaimed that salvation cannot be gained through good works but through faith in Jesus Christ as savior. He recognized the importance of faith in Christ over good works in the pursuit of salvation.

That if thou shalt confess with thy mouth the Lord Jesus, and shalt believe in thine heart that God hath raised him from the dead, thou shalt be saved.

− King James Version, Romans 10:9

The Apostle Paul, however, did not dismiss the importance of good works. Works are the fruit of one’s faith. In other words, good works follows faith.

Anointing of the Sick

When caring for the dying, services of the in-house chaplain and/or one’s religious minister should be offered the patient. A Catholic priest should be offered when last rites need to be administered to those of the Catholic faith.

Islam

The Islamic religion believes there is one God: Allah. Muhammad (570–632 AD) is considered to be a prophet/messenger of God. He is believed to have received revelations from God. These revelations were recorded in the Qur’an, the Muslim Holy Book. Muslims accept Moses and Jesus as prophets of God. The Qur’an is believed to supersede that of the Torah and the Bible. Muslims believe that there is no need for God’s grace and that their own actions can merit God’s mercy and goodness. Humans are believed to have a moral responsibility to submit to God’s will and to follow Islam as demonstrated in the Qur’an. The five pillars of the practice of Islam are believing the creed, performing five prayers daily, giving alms, fasting during Ramadan, and making a pilgrimage to Mecca at least once in a lifetime.

When caring for the dying, patients may want to die facing Mecca (toward the southeast) and be with relatives. In death, many Muslims follow strict rules in respect of the body after death.
Religious Beliefs and Duty Conflict

Religious beliefs and codes of conduct sometimes conflict with the ethical duty of caregivers to save lives. For example, Jehovah’s Witnesses, believe that it is a sin to accept a blood transfusion because the Bible states that we must “abstain from blood” (Acts 15:29). Current Jehovah’s Witness doctrine, in part, states that blood must not be transfused. In order to respect this belief, bloodless surgery is available in a number of hospitals to patients who find it against their religious beliefs to receive a blood transfusion.

Every attempt should be made to resolve blood transfusion issues prior to any elective surgery. The transfusion of blood to an emergent unconscious patient may be necessary to save the patient’s life. Because some Jehovah’s Witnesses would accept blood in such situations, most courts would most likely find such a transfusion acceptable. When transfusion of a minor becomes necessary and parental consent is refused, it may be necessary to seek a court order to allow for such transfusions. Because time is of the essence in many cases, it is important for hospitals to work out such issues in advance with legislative bodies and the judicial system in order to provide legal protection for caregivers who find it necessary to transfuse blood in order to save a life. In those instances in which the patient has a right to refuse a blood transfusion, the hospital should seek a formal signed release from the patient.

Secular Ethics

Unlike religious ethics, secular ethics is based on codes developed by societies that have relied on customs to formulate their codes. The Code of Hammurabi, for example, carved on a black Babylonian column 8 feet high, now located in the Louvre in Paris, depicts a mythical sun god presenting a code of laws to Hammurabi, a great military leader and ruler of Babylon (1795–1750 BC). Hammurabi’s code of laws is an early example of a ruler proclaiming to his people an entire body of laws. The following excerpts are from the Code of Hammurabi.

Code of Hammurabi

5

If a judge try a case, reach a decision, and present his judgment in writing; if later error shall appear in his decision, and it be through his own fault, then he shall pay twelve times the fine set by him in the case, and he shall be publicly removed from the judge’s bench, and never again shall he sit there to render judgment.

194

If a man give his child to a nurse and the child die in her hands, but the nurse unknown to the father and mother nurse another child, then they shall convict her of having nursed another child without the knowledge of the father and mother and her breasts shall be cut off.

215

If a physician make a large incision with an operating knife and cure it, or if he open a tumor (over the eye) with an operating knife, and saves the eye, he shall receive ten shekels in money.
Atheism is the rejection of belief in any god, generally because atheists believe there is no scientific evidence that can prove God exists. They argue that there is no objective moral standard for right and wrong and that ethics and morality are the products of culture and politics, subject to individual convictions.

Those of various religious faiths, however, believe there is overwhelming evidence that there is reason to believe that God does exist and that the evidence through historical documents, archeological finds, and the vastness of space and time clearly supports and confirms the existence of God. Christians often refer to the Old Testament and cite the book of Isaiah:

It is He that sitteth upon the circle of the earth . . .

- Isaiah 40:22 (King James Version)

Christians argue when citing this verse that Isaiah could not possibly know that the earth is the shape of a circle. He presents no magical formula or scientific argument in his writings as to why the earth is round. Furthermore, Isaiah does not belabor the fact that the earth is round. The argument continues in the book of Job:

He stretcheth out the north over the empty place, and hangeth the earth upon nothing.

- Job 26:7 (King James Version)

The obvious question then arises, how did Job know, 3,000 years before it became a scientific, verifiable fact, the earth hangs upon nothing?

Situational Ethics

Be careful how you judge others. . . . As Scottish author J.M. Barrie said, “Never ascribe to an opponent motives meaner than your own.” We tend to judge others based on their behavior, and ours based on our intent. In almost all situations, we would do well to recognize the possibility—even probability—of good intent in others . . . sometimes despite their observable behavior.

- Stephen M. R. Covey, The Speed of Trust (Free Press)
Situational ethics is concerned with the outcome or consequences of an action in which the ends can justify the means. It refers to those times when a person’s beliefs and values can change as circumstances change. Why do good people behave differently in similar situations? Why do good people sometimes do bad things? The answer is fairly simple: One’s moral character can sometimes change as circumstances change; thus the term situational ethics. A person, therefore, may contradict what he believes is the right thing to do and do what he morally considers wrong. For example, a decision not to use extraordinary means to sustain the life of an unknown 84-year-old may result in a different decision if the 84-year-old is one’s mother.

The news clipping that follows illustrates how far society can regress when there are no common rules, values, or boundaries to guide us.

Viet Cong Execution

“And out of nowhere came this guy who we didn’t know.” Gen. Nguyen Ngoc Loan, chief of South Viet Nam’s national police, walked up and shot the prisoner in the head. His reason: The prisoner, a Viet Cong lieutenant, had just murdered a South Vietnamese colonel, his wife, and their six children.

The peace movement adopted the photo as a symbol of the war’s brutality. But Adams, who stayed in touch with Loan, said the photo wrongly stereotyped the man. “If you’re this general and you caught this guy after he killed some of your people . . . how do you know you wouldn’t have pulled that trigger yourself? You have to put yourself in that situation. . . . It’s a war.”

1969 Spot News, Newseum, Washington, DC

Have we lost our way? Have we lost our sense as to what is right and what is wrong? We say we have become a melting pot with some common themes but uncommon beliefs. In religion, there are those who sometimes seek a place of worship not always because one seeks what is right but because unconsciously it supports an individual’s beliefs of what is right and wrong. Sometimes one’s ever-changing choice of lifestyle contradicts earlier beliefs and can often result in a change in place of worship.

The values held ever so strongly in one situation may conflict with the same values given a different fact pattern. To better understand the concept of situational ethics, consider the desire to live and the extreme measures one will take in order to do so, remembering that ethical decision making is the process of determining the right thing to do when faced with moral dilemmas.

Consider the account of the plane crash on October 13, 1972, high in the Andes Mountains. The survivors of the crash, until the day they were rescued, were faced with difficult decisions in order to survive. Of the original 40 passengers and 5 crewmembers, 16 emerged alive 72 days later to tell the story of the difficult ethical dilemmas and survival decisions they had to make.
Those who wished to survive had to eat the flesh of those who did not. They realized that to survive, they would have to deviate from their beliefs that teach it is morally wrong to eat the flesh of another human being. Given a different fact pattern, where there would have been an abundance of food at the site of the plane crash, the survivors would have found it reprehensible to eat human flesh. This is a gruesome story indeed, but it illustrates how there are no effective hard and fast rules or guidelines to govern ethical behavior when faced with life or death decisions. The reader here should consider here how one’s beliefs, decisions, and actions can change as circumstances change. Such is the case in the patient care setting where individual differences emerge based on needs, beliefs, and values.

**ABSENCE OF A MORAL COMPASS**

The world is a dangerous place. Not because of the people who are evil; but because of the people who don’t do anything about it.

—Albert Einstein

The nation’s health care system is off course as noted by the absence of a moral compass. Trust in the health care system continues to decline when those who are entrusted with providing health care prescribe unnecessary procedures (e.g., cardiac catheterizations, hysterectomies, tonsillectomies), molest our children, secretly record photographic pictures of patients while they are receiving physical examinations, numerous fraudulent billing scams costing the nation billions of dollars annually, tampering with chemotherapy agents by diluting them (unbeknown by patients who trust they are being treated by caregivers with integrity), unwarranted high-risk life-saving treatment delays for our veterans and falsification of records, and the list of unethical behavior continues to grow, seemingly unabated. The failure of government and those in leadership roles have failed to reset the nation’s moral compass. The present path to better health care for all continues on an unacceptable course of corruption and is increasingly becoming a disturbing public concern.
Political corruption, antisocial behavior, declining civility, and rampant unethical conduct have heightened discussions over the nation’s moral decline and decaying value systems in the delivery of health care. The numerous instances of questionable political decisions; numbers-cooking executives with exorbitant salaries, including health care executives working for both profit and nonprofit organizations, have all contributed to the nation’s moral decline.

The continuing trend of consumer awareness of declining value systems mandates that the readers of this book understand ethics and the law and how they intertwine. Applying the generally accepted ethical principles (e.g., do good and not harm) and the moral values (e.g., respect, trust, integrity, compassion) described in this chapter will help sit a better course for those who are guided by a moral compass. It is the responsibility of every person to participate in resetting the moral compass, in our nation and in the world at large.

**SUMMARY THOUGHTS**

*Be careful of your thoughts, for your thoughts inspire your words. Be careful of your words, for your words precede your actions. Be careful of your actions, for your actions become your habits. Be careful of your habits, for your habits build your character. Be careful of your character, for your character decides your destiny.*

—**CHINESE PROVERB**

Although you cannot control the amount of time you have in this lifetime, you can control your behavior by adopting the virtues and values that will define who you are and what you will become and how you will be remembered or forgotten.

Become who you want to be and behave how you want to be remembered. The formula is easy and well described previously in what has been claimed to be a Chinese proverb. Read it. Reread it. Write it. Memorize it. Display it in your home, at work, and in your car, and most of all, practice it, always remembering that it all begins with thoughts.

Control your thoughts, and do not let them control you. As to words, they are the tools of thought. They can be sharper than any double-edged sword and hurt, or they can do good and heal.

It is never too late to change your thoughts, as long as you have air to breathe. Your legacy may be short, but it can be powerful. Remember the Gettysburg address. In the final analysis:

*People are often unreasonable, illogical and self-centered; forgive them anyway. If you are kind, people may accuse you of selfish, ulterior motives; be kind anyway. If you are successful, you will win some false friends and some true enemies; succeed anyway. What you spend years building, someone may destroy overnight; build anyway. The good you do today, people will often forget tomorrow; do good anyway. Give the world the best you have, and it may never be enough; give the world the best you have anyway. You see, in the final analysis, it is between you and God; it was never between you and them anyway.*

—**AUTHOR UNKNOWN**
CHAPTER REVIEW

1. *Ethics* is the branch of philosophy that seeks to understand the nature, purposes, justification, and founding principles of moral rules and the systems they compose.
   - *Microethics* involves an individual’s view of what is right and wrong based on his or her life experiences.
   - *Macroethics* involves a more generalized view of right and wrong.

2. *Bioethics* addresses such difficult issues as the nature of life, the nature of death, what sort of life is worth living, what constitutes murder, how we should treat people who are especially vulnerable, and the responsibilities we have to other human beings.

3. We study ethics to aid us in making sound judgments, good decisions, and right choices.

4. Ethics signifies a general pattern or way of life, such as religious ethics; a set of rules of conduct or “moral code,” which involves professional ethics; or philosophical ethics, which involves inquiry about ways of life and rules of conduct.

5. *Morality* is a code of conduct. It is a guide to behavior that all rational persons would put forward for governing the behavior of all moral agents.

6. There is no “universal morality.” Whatever guide to behavior that an individual regards as overriding and wants to be universally adopted is considered that individual’s morality.

7. *Moral judgments* are those judgments concerned with what an individual or group believes to be the right or proper behavior in a given situation.

8. Morality is often legislated when differences cannot be resolved because of conflicting moral codes with varying opinions as to what is right and what is wrong (e.g., abortion). Laws are created to set boundaries for societal behavior, and they are enforced to ensure that the expected behavior is followed.

9. *Ethical theories* and principles introduce order into the way people think about life. *Metaethics* seeks to understand ethical terms and theories and their application. The following are ethical theories:
   - *Normative ethics* is the attempt to determine what moral standards should be followed so that human behavior and conduct may be morally right.
   - *Descriptive ethics*, also known as comparative ethics, deals with what people believe to be right and wrong.
   - *Applied ethics* is the application of normative theories to practical moral problems. It is the attempt to explain and justify specific moral problems such as abortion, euthanasia, and assisted suicide.
   - The *consequential theory* emphasizes that the morally right action is whatever action leads to the maximum balance of good over evil. The consequential theory is based on the view that the value of an action derives solely from the value of its consequences.
   - *Utilitarian ethics* involves the concept that the moral worth of an action is determined solely by its contribution to overall utility, that is, its contribution to happiness or pleasure as summed among all persons.
• Deontological ethics focuses on one’s duties to others. It includes telling the truth and keeping your promises. Deontology is an ethical analysis according to a moral code or rules.
• The nonconsequential ethical theory denies that the consequences of an action or rule are the only criteria for determining the morality of an action or rule.
• Ethical relativism is the theory that holds that morality is relative to the norms of one’s culture.

10. Common principles of ethics include:
• Autonomy involves recognizing the right of a person to make his or her own decisions.
• Beneficence describes the principle of doing good, demonstrating kindness, showing compassion, and helping others.
  ○ Paternalism is a form of beneficence. It may involve withholding information from a person because of the belief that doing so is in the best interest of that person.
  ○ Medical paternalism involves making choices for (or forcing choices on) patients who are capable of choosing for themselves. It directly violates patient autonomy.
• Nonmaleficence is an ethical principle that requires caregivers to avoid causing harm to patients.
• Justice is the obligation to be fair in the distribution of benefits and risks.
  ○ Distributive justice is a principle that requires treatment of all persons equally and fairly.

11. Virtue ethics and values
• Virtue is normally defined as some sort of moral excellence or beneficial quality. In traditional ethics, virtues are characteristics that differentiate good people from bad people.
• Virtue ethics focuses on the inherent character of a person rather than on the specific actions he or she performs.
• Value is something that has worth. Values are used for judging the goodness or badness of some action.
  ○ Ethical values imply standards of worth.
  ○ Intrinsic value is something that has value in and of itself.
  ○ Instrumental value is something that helps to give value to something else (e.g., money is valuable for what it can buy).
  ○ Values may change as needs change.

12. Religious ethics serves a moral purpose by providing codes of conduct for appropriate behavior through revelations from a divine source.

13. Secular ethics is based on codes developed by societies that have relied on customs to formulate their codes.

14. Situational ethics describes how a particular situation may influence how one’s reaction and values may change in order to cope with changing circumstances.

15. The acceptance, understanding, and application of the ethical and moral concepts learned in this chapter will provide a Moral Compass to guide the reader through life’s journey.
TEST YOUR UNDERSTANDING

**Terminology**

- applied ethics
- autonomy
- beneficence
- bioethics
- code of ethics
- commitment
- compassion
- conscientiousness
- consequential theory
- cooperation
- courage
- deontological ethics
- descriptive ethics
- detachment
- distributive justice
- discernment
- employment-related paternalism
- ethical principles
- ethical relativism
- ethical theories
- ethics
- fairness
- fidelity
- freedom
- honesty
- helpfulness
- instrumental value
- integrity
- intrinsic value
- justice
- kindness
- macroethics
- medical paternalism
- metaethics
- microethics
- moral dilemmas
- moral judgments
- morality
- moral values
- nonconsequential ethics
- nonmaleficence
- normative ethics
- paternalism
- religious ethics
- respect
- secular ethics
- situational ethics
- spirituality
- tolerance
- truth telling
- values
- veracity
- virtue ethics
- virtues

**Review Questions**

1. What is ethics?
2. Why should one study ethics?
3. What is morality?
4. Describe the ethical theories presented in this chapter.
5. What is ethical relativism? What is the relevance of this concept to individuals of various cultures living in the same society?
6. Describe the various ethical principles reviewed and how they might be helpful in resolving health care ethical dilemmas.
7. Describe virtue ethics and values. How do virtues and values differ?
8. Discuss why “courage” could be considered as the greatest of all virtues.
9. Discuss how religion can affect one’s character.
10. Describe the principle of justice and how it can affect the decision-making process.
11. Explain how you would allocate scarce resources in the provision of health care?
12. What is “situational ethics”? Why do people behave differently in different situations?
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