Nurses in Legal Actions

CHAPTER THREE

Nurses may become involved in different types of actions within the legal system, including criminal actions, administrative law actions, and civil actions. A nurse who makes an error administering a medication is susceptible to a civil malpractice action by the patient who is injured and a disciplinary proceeding by the licensing board. The same

A. Most Common Grounds for Disciplinary Charges

- Fraud or deception in obtaining a nursing license, or falsifying insurance claim forms or patient hospital records.
- Physical or mental impairment such as loss of motor skill or mobility and mental illnesses such as schizophrenia. Having a physical or mental impairment doesn't necessarily mean that the nurse's license will be in jeopardy; however, the nurse must be able to perform the job. The board may request that the nurse undergo a physical or psychological examination to determine ability to practice nursing.
- Illegal conduct, incompetence, or negligence in carrying out a nursing function. A nurse can be disciplined even if the acts did not cause injury to the patient.
- Drug or alcohol abuse. Many state boards recognize the problems of chemical dependency and will require participation in a rehabilitation program.
- Abusive behavior, either physical or verbal.
- Conviction of a criminal offense.

B. Disciplinary Process
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nurse may also be subject to criminal charges if the error was so egregious that it constituted negligent homicide or manslaughter.

**Criminal Actions**

Criminal actions are brought by the state against a defendant accused of breaking a law. Nurses have been prosecuted for crimes such as negligent homicide, manslaughter, theft of narcotics, insurance fraud, and falsifying medical records. A nurse who attempts to conceal a negligent nursing action (civil action) by entering false information in a medical record commits fraud and falsification of a record and could face criminal charges for these crimes.

When a nurse’s professional negligence rises to the level of “reckless disregard for human life” (a legal standard of conduct), the nurse may face criminal charges of negligent homicide or manslaughter. Each charge is defined in the state criminal statutes and requires certain elements the state must prove. Two well-known cases involving the initiation of criminal charges against nurses for clinical actions were the Colorado nurse who administered a lethal dose of penicillin and the Wisconsin nurse who administered an epidural anesthetic agent to an obstetric patient instead of an intravenous antibiotic. In both cases these medication errors resulted in the patient’s death. In such cases a nurse might plead guilty, be acquitted, or take a pretrial intervention (in which no criminal charges are brought as long as the defendants meet certain criteria).

**Administrative Law Actions**

Administrative law agencies are created by state statutes that define the agencies’ purpose, functions, and powers. The state board of nursing is an administrative law agency. The governor of the state typically appoints members to the board of nursing, and most state statutes determine the number of board members, the professional requirements, and the length of appointment. The board of nursing is empowered by the nurse practice act (NPA) to administer and establish the rules and regulations of nursing practice, educational requirements, licensing for practice, licensure renewal requirements, and approval of schools of nursing. In addition, the board enforces the state’s NPA and is responsible for disciplinary actions.

**Disciplinary Actions**

Each board of nursing is charged with the responsibility to maintain the standards of the nursing profession within the state and to protect the public. The board may conduct an investigation to determine whether a nurse has violated the NPA. This is a disciplinary procedure and differs from a civil action or criminal action. Figure 3-1 lists the most common grounds for disciplinary charges. A licensing action begins as a complaint and allegations by a patient, patient’s family, employer, or colleagues regarding a nurse’s actions. Hospitals are required to report when disciplinary action was taken if a nurse’s actions put a patient at a safety risk or is grounds for license suspension or revocation. Discipline can be applied to a nurse’s license, and license restrictions are available to the public, usually by accessing the board of nursing’s website and searching under the licensee’s name. The public can query about any licensed nurse in the state to find out whether the nurse’s license is in good standing.

Administrative disciplinary actions can be against the nursing license or another denial of administrative privilege. Back-timing a morphine order is an example of fraud and has resulted in disciplinary action against a nurse’s license by the board of nursing in *Nevada State Board of Nursing v. Merkley* (1997). A willful disregard by a nurse for required reporting regulations resulted in denial of billing Medicare/Medicaid for her employer. This was a serious denial to the administrator of the long-term care facility’s ability to be paid for services. The nurse’s
The nurse has a constitutional right called “due process” during the administrative process. Due process ensures that nurses receive a hearing where they have the right to be heard and defend any charges brought against them before the board can terminate a “liberty” (e.g., the practice of nursing). Administrative due process guarantees nurses certain rights through the process (see Figure 3-3).

In certain situations, however, the board has the right to summarily revoke or suspend a nurse’s license when the nurse presents an immediate danger to public safety. The practice of nursing, while a property interest under the Constitution, is a privilege granted by the state licensing board upon completion and maintenance of criteria by the nurse. As such, this privilege can be limited by the board’s duty to public safety.

Nurses can generally appeal the findings of the state board of nursing through the state court appellate process. The standard of review for the appeals court requires that it find that the board of nursing (BON) acted outside its scope of authority, the action was unconstitutional, the finding was not supported by substantial evidence on the record, or the decision was arbitrary or capricious. Most often boards’ decisions are upheld, because a high standard of proof is required to overturn BON action. In *MacLean v. Westin v. Shalala*, 1999).

Disciplinary actions are also brought for lack of action when there is a duty to act. A nursing supervisor was disciplined for failing to prevent the witnessed abusive acts of a supervised employee. The board’s discipline was on the supervisor’s own act (or inaction) and not on the act of the supervised staff (*Stephens v. Pennsylvania Board of Nursing*, 1995).

**Investigation and Disciplinary Process**

Anyone (e.g., a patient, patient’s family member, coworker, or employer) can file a complaint with the board of nursing. The board will notify the nurse in writing that a complaint has been filed and an investigation has been started, and the board may request a written response from the nurse. The response should be provided in an objective manner. Before submitting a response, the nurse should consult an attorney. Most nursing malpractice policies cover attorney fees in disciplinary matters, and this is an important reason that nurses should carry individual malpractice insurance.

A schematic outlining the investigation and disciplinary process is provided in Figure 3-2.
can access the information. If a nurse applies for licensure in another jurisdiction or for employment, this database is consulted. If an issue related to a license in another state has not been resolved, it will affect the nurse’s ability to be licensed in another state.

Medicaid and Medicare Exclusion Lists

The state board may also report license discipline to other agencies such as the Office of the Medicaid Inspector General. This office can then place the nurse on a disqualified or excluded provider list, which means the nurse cannot work for any employer who receives Medicaid reimbursement. A similar process at the federal level may exclude the nurse from participation in employment funded by the federal Medicare program. This can render the nurse almost unemployable, as disqualification from participation in these programs would apply to almost all employment situations. Placement on the provider-disqualified list may make it difficult to obtain employment in another state, or even from obtaining a license in another profession (Brous, 2012).

National Practitioner Data Banks—NPDB and HIPDB

The National Practitioner Data Bank (NPDB) was established by Congress in 1990 as a national repository of information that employers and healthcare agencies can access the information. If a nurse applies for licensure in another jurisdiction or for employment, this database is consulted. If an issue related to a license in another state has not been resolved, it will affect the nurse’s ability to be licensed in another state.

Reporting Disciplinary Actions

NCSBN Nursys

Disciplinary action by state boards of nursing as the regulatory agency include reports to national data banks, the National Council of State Boards of Nursing (NCSBN) Nursys database, and exclusion lists that bar participation in state Medicaid- and federal Medicare-funded agencies. Nursys is a website provided by the NCSBN that contains disciplinary action information from all participating member states and territories. When one state takes action against a nurse, the information is entered into Nursys so that other jurisdictions and even the public can access the information.

Board of Registration in Nursing (2011), Nurse MacLean appealed the board’s decision to suspend her RN license and her right to renew her LPN license for 6 months. The basis for the BON decision was evidence that she had administered a medication to a patient without valid authorization and falsified a telephone order for medication. After a hearing, the board found Nurse MacLean’s conduct was in violation of proper nursing conduct and that she had engaged in deceit and unsuitable behavior. The Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts found that the BON decision was supported by substantial evidence, was not arbitrary or capricious, and was not based on any error of law, so it upheld its decision for the license suspension.

FIGURE 3-3 Rights of administrative due process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Right to due process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Right to be informed of allegations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Right to be heard (present your facts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Right to fundamental fairness in the process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Right to remain silent (speak to an attorney before speaking to investigator)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Anything you say will be used by the board to support the allegations against you</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Right to retain an attorney (at your own expense)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Right to have your attorney present at all steps of the process including initial investigation through posthearing interviews</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Medicaid and Medicare Exclusion Lists

The state board may also report license discipline to other agencies such as the Office of the Medicaid Inspector General. This office can then place the nurse on a disqualified or excluded provider list, which means the nurse cannot work for any employer who receives Medicaid reimbursement. A similar process at the federal level may exclude the nurse from participation in employment funded by the federal Medicare program. This can render the nurse almost unemployable, as disqualification from participation in these programs would apply to almost all employment situations. Placement on the provider-disqualified list may make it difficult to obtain employment in another state, or even from obtaining a license in another profession (Brous, 2012).
other state law enforcement agencies, are required by law to report within 30 days that final adverse action has been taken against a healthcare practitioner (including nurses). These include civil judgments or criminal convictions related to the delivery of health care, licensure or certification actions, exclusion from government health programs such as Medicaid or Medicare, or any adjudicated actions taken against a nurse by a health plan. Settlements are not reported to this data bank when there has been no finding of liability against the practitioner. Settlements are not considered final adverse actions, and this is an important point to foster settlements and avoid litigation with the risk of an adverse outcome. Information in HIPDB can be accessed by government agencies, licensing boards, and health plans, but not the general public. Authorized organizations are not required to access the HIPDB, but they can do so to make decisions about employment. Self-queries can be made to the HIPDB, and there is a mechanism to respond to information that the practitioner may feel is incorrect, but the information in the data bank cannot be edited by practitioners. There is currently coordination between the NPDB and the HIPDB so that if reporting is required to both for the same adverse action, only one report needs to be submitted by the reporting entity. Guidebooks for both practitioner data banks are available online (HRSA, 2000, 2001).

Because there are many implications of nurses being reported to these practitioner data banks, any nurse involved in a legal action is urged to consult an attorney who is familiar with these outcomes that can have serious effects on employment and professional reputation. These attorneys may be able to advocate on the nurse’s behalf to avoid being reported, or to minimize the effects of being reported. This includes not only licensure actions, but also criminal or in some instances civil actions. The American Association of Nurse Attorneys (TAANA) offers a list of nurse attorneys familiar with reporting disciplinary actions.
behaviors reflect poorly on the nurse’s character and may subject him or her to ethics or unprofessional conduct charges.

**Remediation**

Remediation has been suggested for some nurses who perform incompetently and make mistakes as an alternative to or in addition to licensure actions by the state board of nursing. Harding and Connolly (2012) explored the employer’s role in remediation of professional nursing practice. Although self-assessment of competency is always the nurse’s responsibility, Harding and Connolly suggest a more active role for employers to regularly assess competency of nursing staff. Remediation is a method not only to point out deficiencies, but also to correct practice to comply with standards. It is a process of evaluation, counseling, and education that focuses on quality improvement rather than punishment. Harding and Connolly report about the North Carolina Board of Nursing’s nonpunitive, voluntary, and non-publicly reported program entitled Practitioner Remediation and Enhancement Partnership (PREP). The BON determines eligibility for the PREP program after review of the nurse’s practice errors. The typical remediation program involves online education, mentoring, chart audits, and performance evaluations. Most referrals come from employers, but some are from the nurses themselves through self-referral. These types of programs shift the focus from punishing individuals to preventing future problems and offer deeper analysis of the issues involved with practice errors or deficiencies. Employers and the BON can work collaboratively on these remediation processes to improve practice and patient safety (Harding & Connolly, 2012).

**Rule-Bending Behavior**

Rule bending by registered nurses has been identified as a factor in disciplinary actions. In exploring this behavior, Collins (2012)
conducted a nonexperimental exploratory research study of 230 nurses to determine if certain environmental or personal drivers could be identified in those who engage in this behavior. Examples of rule bending that were explored included behavior ranging from allowing visitors contrary to the facility’s policy to more serious behaviors including initiating treatment of a patient without an order, fabricating a verbal order to obtain treatment for a patient, and disconnecting alarms contrary to facility’s policy. Personal drivers in the study were identified as time, workload, personal needs, and disagreement with the rules. The majority of nurses in this study agreed or strongly agreed that these personal drivers have a facilitating impact on rule-bending behaviors, with the exception of conflict between personal needs and rules, where responses were evenly categorized. Environmental drivers included staffing, medication and supply availability, physician and ancillary staff response, conflict, and communication issues. The majority of nurses in the study agreed or strongly agreed that the environmental drivers have a facilitating impact on rule-bending behaviors, with the exception of conflict between coworkers (Collins, 2012). Some study participants also agreed (32.7%) or strongly agreed (2.7%) that rule bending is acceptable if no one is harmed. More than half of respondents also agreed that rule bending can be justified. Interestingly, almost all respondents agreed (65%) or strongly agreed (28.3%) that rule bending may result in disciplinary action, and percentages were close to this for confirming that rule bending may be considered nursing error (Collins, 2012). The findings of this study raise important questions about the acceptability of rule-bending behavior, the work environment of nurses where rule bending takes place, and its effect on nurse discipline and patient safety. While nurses may have a flexible outlook on rule bending and view it as a necessary reality in practice, when error occurs as a result of rule bending it can have a devastating effect on one’s career in terms of malpractice claims or BON disciplinary action. Further exploration of rule bending represents an important step so that support can be given in the work of nurses to reduce or eliminate risky rule-bending behaviors.

Civil Actions

Civil actions deal with disputes between individuals. Civil law is designed to monetarily compensate individuals for harm caused to them. Nurses can become involved in civil actions, such as malpractice actions, personal injury lawsuits, and workers’ compensation, or employment disputes, such as wrongful discharge. Nurses also can become involved as witnesses for a patient’s personal injury case against another person. Workers’ compensation laws prevent employees from suing their employers for injuries received on the job. The cost of the injury and lost wages due to the injury must be settled through the workers’ compensation benefits plan the employer provides for the employees. Worker’s compensation serves as the exclusive remedy for the employee, with certain exceptions. This means that workers cannot file an additional civil claim for monetary damages due to the injury.

Malpractice is the negligent conduct of a professional. It is defined by (1) duty—established by a professional relationship; (2) breach of duty—an act of commission or omission in violation of the nursing standard of care; (3) a physical injury; and (4) causation—the nurse’s breach of duty caused the plaintiff’s injury, sometimes called proximate cause. All four of these elements must be present and proven for the plaintiff to prevail. Even in the face of negligent acts by the nurse, the plaintiff must prove that the nurse’s act caused the injuries. Therefore, even if a nurse commits an error in patient care, it may not result in any liability for the nurse when all of these
elements are not met. An example is when a nurse gives the wrong medication to the patient, but there is no adverse outcome or injury as a result of the error.

In Charash v. Johnson (2000), a Kentucky appeals court found that the hospital was short staffed, but the plaintiff’s estate failed to prove that it was related to the plaintiff’s death. Likewise, a nurse who attempted four times to start an intravenous (IV) line, contrary to hospital policy to make only two attempts, was not liable for the patient’s nerve damage, because the plaintiff was unable to prove the causal connection (Coleman v. East Jefferson General Hospital, 1999).

Most plaintiffs will hire a lawyer to pursue a malpractice claim. To establish the claim, pertinent medical records and opinions of expert witnesses (nurses with experience in the same field of nursing who will testify as to the standard of care) will be obtained to support the allegations of malpractice. The parties then exchange information (discovery phase) about the plaintiff’s claims of negligence and damages (injury and any costs incurred due to the injury) and the defendant’s defense to such claims. Discovery is done through interrogatories, requests for production, and depositions. An interrogatory is a document filed with the court in which one party asks questions of the other (see Figure 3-4). A request for production is a request in which one party asks the other to produce documents for the requesting party, such as medical records, operating room logs, or even specimen logs. The requesting party can use these documents to learn more facts about the case or identify potential witnesses to the event being litigated. The defendant nurse may also be required to give deposition testimony. A deposition is a legal proceeding where questions are asked and answered under oath and recorded by a stenographer. The deposition testimony can be used later in a trial.

When the discovery phase is complete, the case will either settle or proceed to trial. Any money paid to the plaintiff may come from the nurse’s malpractice insurance policy or from the nurse if the judgment amount exceeds the policy amount, the nurse is uninsured, or the malpractice carrier has denied coverage. For example, malpractice coverage is denied when the nurse acted outside the scope of employment.

**Impact of Malpractice**

Larson and Elliott (2010) discuss the emotional impact of malpractice and adaptive coping skills for nurses named as defendants, which is an often overlooked aspect of the malpractice process. The stress related to malpractice has been compared to the stages of grieving, but also includes

---

**Interrogatories that may be asked in a typical nursing malpractice case:**

- The nurse’s credentials, license education, and employment history
- Policies and procedures related to the incident
- Journal articles on the subject of the case
- Transcription requests for illegible entries or names of providers in the medical record
- Names of all employees or the patient’s family or visitors who may have witnessed the incident
- Defenses to liability the defendant plans to bring
- Names of expert witnesses the defendant will use
- Make a request for admission, usually around undisputed facts such as confirmation of employment at time of the event, shift worked, title

**Figure 3-4** Typical interrogatory questions.
about the lawsuit except with their attorney. Conversations with others can be subject to deposition testimony.

Even if the nurse is dismissed from the lawsuit at some point or found not liable, the process is lengthy, emotionally and physically draining, and can have lasting outcomes. Often, nurses’ self-esteem and self-confidence are eroded as others have questioned the integrity of their practice, even if later exonerated. Sometimes nurses who have gone through the malpractice process can assist others who have been sued by providing valuable education and support.

Interventions identified as helping nurses cope include finding and educating an attorney about nursing practice and standards and working with a licensed counselor with whom the nurse would have client–provider privilege to maintain confidentiality. Nurses also need to be active participants in the process, and not just passive recipients of what is happening. Emotional support of the nurse is vital, because there may be limited or no information that can be shared about the process with peers or others. Nurses are most often cautioned not to talk to anyone about the lawsuit except with their attorney.
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