A Prospective Descriptive Study
Exploring Hope, Spiritual Well-Being, and Quality of Life in Hospitalized Patients

Patients facing changes in their physical health are at a juncture for emotional and spiritual transition within the context of the life changes accompanying illness or injury. As nursing care focuses on the entire person, the identification of psychosocial and spiritual needs is part of the comprehensive nursing care designed with the patient. However, often the physical care and tasks seem most pressing in a busy clinical environment. A study evaluating relationships among hope, spiritual well-being, quality of life (QOL), and length of stay (LOS) in a sample (N=48) of hospitalized patients across time points spanning admission, discharge, and 6 weeks after discharge is presented.

Conceptual Framework
Jean Watson’s Theory of Human Caring (Watson, 1999) is the conceptual framework for examining the constructs in this study. The theory proposes three essential aspects of caring: clinical caritas (caritas is the Greek word meaning to cherish, appreciate, give special attention to something that is precious), the transpersonal caring relationship, and the caring moment or occasion. The Theory of Human Caring emphasizes the interactive aspect of healing that occurs between nurse and patient, particularly when the nurse intentionally focuses on the caring relationship and recognizes the potential for healing to happen in mind, body, and spirit. Watson’s theory supports the exploration of hope, spiritual well-being, and quality of life as dimensions that are highly relevant for nursing care.

Conceptual Definitions: Hope, Spiritual Well-Being, and Quality Of Life
Hope can be viewed as a holistic experience consisting of three primary dimensions: personal (meaning), situational (risk), and interpersonal (authentic caring) (Nekolaichuk, Jevne, & Maguire, 1999). A critical review of health care literature (Cutcliffe & Herth, 2002) revealed definitional elements of hope, including multidimensional, dynamic, central to life, future oriented, individualized, process oriented, involves goal setting, and sometimes associated with nursing. Important empirical work is emerging that focuses on specific, scientifically based interventions designed to inspire hope (Herth, 2001; Herth & Cutcliffe, 2002) and teach people thought patterns and behavioral competencies that enhance personal happiness and meaning (Foster & Hicks, 1999, 2007). Nurses are

Acknowledgment: The authors appreciate funding for this study provided by a grant from Connecting Generations and Wheatridge Ministries (#450723900).
empowered and supported by their standards of practice to be concerned with nursing diagnoses of spiritual well-being, spiritual distress, and hopelessness, as reflected in the professional model of the North American Nursing Diagnosis Association (Carpenito, 2005).

Spirituality often is defined in two major dimensions. Golberg (1998) described the vertical dimension as a relationship with the transcendent and the horizontal dimension as relationships with self, others, and the natural world. Reed (1992) described the two dimensions similarly: the vertical dimension as connectedness with a higher being with a horizontal dimension of relating to social and physical environments. Because other concepts, such as spiritual well-being, seem to share some overlap with spirituality, attention to definitional clarity is important (A.M. Dose, personal communication, January 17, 2005). Specific attributes of spiritual well-being include sense of meaning in life, harmony, faith, peacefulness, and comfort with existential concerns (McClain, Rosenfeld, & Breitbart, 2003). Research on healthy adults concerned with nursing diagnoses of spiritual well-being, spiritual distress, and hopelessness, as reflecting health-related quality of life to react to factors in the physical and social environments.

Quality of life can be viewed from various perspectives, including the patient, the clinician, and the population; thus interpretation of clinically significant changes in quality of life is a growing area for research and clinical practice (Frost et al., 2002).

The concepts of hope, spiritual well-being, and quality of life are relevant and meaningful dimensions for patients and nurses. However, few studies have explored the trajectory of these concepts in hospitalized patients as they transition from admission to discharge and into the post-discharge period (Milstein, 2008). Additionally, the relationships among outcomes of QOL, LOS, and referrals for psychosocial care in hospitalized patients have not been well documented in the literature.

The Experience of Hospitalization: Selected Literature

Hospitalized patients may be at risk for experiencing a sense of fear and anxiety. Today’s shorter hospital stays make it more challenging for the patient and health care team to form a meaningful therapeutic relationship. This transpersonal connection may be even more important as the health care environment becomes more complex and efficiency focused. Research suggests a link between how well providers know patients and how likely they are to detect and act on negative changes in patient health status (Minick & Harvey, 2003). This finding is consistent with the Theory of Human Caring (Watson, 1999).

Interpersonal communication may provide a strong indicator of the extent to which patients feel cared for, which is an aspect of the hospital experience that patients and families often remember after the care episode ends. Rogers, Karlson, and Addington-Hall (1999) reviewed questionnaires sent to families of oncology patients who died while in the hospital. While a majority of the families rated the medical and nursing care as “good” or “excellent,” 59% included at least one negative comment about the interpersonal communication skills of the staff. Not only can staff communication skills result in poor patient satisfaction, but increased levels of anxiety also may result in poor patient outcomes. A recent study of 536 patients experiencing an acute myocardial infarction found that those patients with higher anxiety levels had more in-hospital arrhythmias and ischemic complications (Moser et al., 2007).

Despite this relationship between anxiety and poor outcomes, it is not well understood which specific interventions help patients feel a sense of support from clinicians. Finnish researchers found that patients who received high amounts of social support from the staff had lower levels of fear and anxiety compared to those who received little social support (Koivula, Tarkka, Tarkka, Laippala, & Paunonen-Illmonen, 2002). Social support included providing information about the disease or the procedure, listening to patient’s fears, and treating patients with respect. More work is needed to identify techniques for creating therapeutic relationships in the hospital setting and to provide evidence of whether the techniques are meaningful from the patient and family’s perspective (Milstein, 2008). The current study is an attempt to elicit better understanding of the patient experience from admission to the post-discharge period.

Purpose

The purpose of this study was to explore the relationships among hope, spiritual well-being, and QOL in hospitalized patients across the time points spanning admission, discharge, and 6 weeks after discharge. A secondary goal of this study was to provide baseline data on whether models incorporating orientation to life, hope, functional status, spiritual well-being, and patient acuity would predict QOL, LOS, and number of referrals at hospital discharge.

Hypotheses

The first hypothesis was that orientation to life, hope, spiritual well-being, and QOL would be asso-
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fied by the staff for each patient, the number of interventions and referrals to institutional resources that were intended to address the identified needs, the daily acuity rating, and demographic and health information. The study instruments and their psychometric properties were:
Orientaion to Life Question-
aire. This 13-item scale (short version) measures an individual’s sense of coherence, a construct describing a person’s way of seeing the world. The scale was administered only at baseline because it represents a personal trait that is thought to be stable over time and well-established by early adulthood. Strong face and content validity have been described. The scale has been used successfully in studies to measure individuals’ outlook on life (Rena, Moshe, & Abraham, 1996; Santavirta, Bjorvell, Konttinen, Solovieva, & Poussa, 1996) and is in the public domain.

Hertn Hope Index. This 12-item measure was devised to capture the multidimensional aspects of hope and has been used successfully to explore the nature of the relationship between hope and coping in patients with cancer. Psychometrics are acceptable and were previously published (Herth, 1992). Scoring consists of summing the total points (48 points possible); the higher the score, the higher the level of hope. The authors were granted permission to use the scale.

Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy (FACT)-Sp, Version 4 (Spiritual well-being). This subscale supplements the FACT-G and was designed to measure aspects of spiritual well-being (sense of meaning in life, harmony, peacefulness, and sense of strength and comfort from one’s faith). The scale demonstrated a high level of internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha=0.87) (Fitchett, Peterman, & Cella, 1996). Permission to use the scale was granted by the Evanston Northwestern Healthcare Center on Outcomes, Research, and Education.

Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy (FACT-G), Version 4 (Cella et al., 1993). This scale measures the general quality of life and is targeted to the management of chronic illness. It is the core questionnaire of a series, a 27-item compilation of general questions divided into four primary QOL domains: Physical Well-Being, Social/Family Well-Being, Emotional Well-Being, and Functional Well-Being. Each item is rated on a five-point scale ranging from zero (not at all) to four (very much). The score provides information about total quality of life as well as each dimension. Convergent and divergent
validity and test-retest reliability have been demonstrated. Sensitivity to change was confirmed by comparing three groups of cancer patients receiving cancer treatments (Cella et al., 1993). The scale demonstrated good stability ($r=0.87$) and strong internal consistency (alpha=0.95) in field studies as well as in the initial testing (Gonin, Lloyd, & Cella, 1996).

Activities of Daily Living Subscale of the Memory and Behavior Problems Checklist – 1989 R. This is a nine-item subscale that can be scored separately to evaluate functional activities of daily living as distinct from behavioral symptoms. Psychometric properties and acceptable reliability and validity were previously established (Zarit & Zarit, 1983). The instrument is in the public domain.

Open-Ended Interview Questions. Six questions were developed as part of the study and were asked of participants at admission, discharge (see Table 1), and 6 weeks later as part of an open-ended interview conducted by the clinical research coordinator. Questions were validated with experienced nurses who provided review for content validity.

Approval for the study was granted through the institutional review board of the hospital and health care system. The nurse manager of the involved nursing units gave her permission and staff members were advised about the study, with care not to divulge study outcomes so staff would not be biased in their care or documentation. A clinical research coordinator screened patients for eligibility, obtained informed consent, and collected the data. The coordinator was not involved in the direct care of the patient in order to reduce response bias.

Analyses

Descriptive statistics (frequencies, means, standard deviations) were used to describe the sample (see Tables 2 & 3). The relationship of each variable (orientation to life, spiritual well-being, hope, ADL, QOL total and subscales, LOS, and the number of psychological needs) was assessed using the Pearson correlation coefficient (see Table 4).

Margin of error was obtained by calculating 95% confidence intervals. Furthermore, the relationship of LOS and number of psychological needs while controlling for number of co-morbidities was assessed using the Pearson partial correlation coefficient.

Another outcome was measured by the change from the baseline scores for the FACT-G, FACT-sp, spiritual well-being, and hope scales (see Table 4). For QOL indicators, a clinically significant difference is specified as an incremental change of one half (0.5) of a
standard deviation from the mean (Norman, Sloan, & Wyrwich, 2003). While controlling for acuity rankings, multivariable regression modeling also was used to assess the relationship between the length of hospitalization and the frequency of assessed psychosocial needs (see Table 5).

A sample of 48 patients provided at least an 80% chance of observing \( p < 0.05 \) for a test that the correlation is different from zero. The 95% confidence intervals for correlation coefficients have bounds of no more than \( \pm 0.3 \).

**Results**

Females composed 56.2% of the sample. The mean age was 69.9 years and the sample was predominantly White (98%). The mean number of co-morbidities was 7.8, with a mean acuity rating on admission of 1.98 (on a 3-point scale). The average LOS was 10.83 days. The measures of hope, quality of life, spiritual well-being, and functional status at baseline, discharge, and 6 weeks after discharge are shown in Table 3. The overall Quality of Life (FACT-G) scores didn’t rise significantly during the course of hospitalization, but rose from baseline to the 6-week followup at a level that was considered clinically significant. The functional and physical subscale QOL scores rose significantly from baseline to discharge and to 6-week follow up (see Table 3).

Correlations between the number of documented psychosocial needs and hope, spiritual well-being, and QOL are in Table 4. At baseline, Orientation to Life was related significantly and positively to Hope \( (p<0.001) \), total QOL \( (p<0.01) \), and Spiritual Well-Being \( (p<0.001) \). The number of psychosocial needs was correlated significantly and positively with the number of documented staff interventions/referrals \( (p<0.001) \) and LOS \( (p<0.001) \). Acuity at baseline was not correlated significant-

### Table 4.
**Correlations Between Psychosocial Measures, Activities of Daily Living, Acuity, and Length of Stay at Admission (n=48)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Orientation to Life</th>
<th>Hope</th>
<th>Activities of Daily Living</th>
<th>Quality of Life</th>
<th>Spiritual Well-Being</th>
<th>Acuity</th>
<th>Psychosocial Needs</th>
<th>Referrals</th>
<th>Length of Stay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orientation to Life</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope</td>
<td>0.459** 0.001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities of Daily Living</td>
<td>-0.031 0.837</td>
<td>-0.039 0.797</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of Life</td>
<td>0.416** 0.004</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>-0.355* 0.016</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiritual Well-Being</td>
<td>0.535** 0.000</td>
<td>0.418** 0.004</td>
<td>-0.108 0.477</td>
<td>0.511** 0.000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acuity</td>
<td>0.034 0.822</td>
<td>-0.148 0.325</td>
<td>0.176 0.241</td>
<td>-0.170 0.259</td>
<td>0.114 0.451</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosocial Needs</td>
<td>0.178 0.242</td>
<td>-0.057 0.711</td>
<td>0.168 0.271</td>
<td>-0.193 0.204</td>
<td>0.007 0.965</td>
<td>0.138 0.356</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referrals</td>
<td>0.073 0.635</td>
<td>-0.157 0.303</td>
<td>0.416** 0.004</td>
<td>-0.162 0.289</td>
<td>0.010 0.947</td>
<td>0.274 0.063</td>
<td>0.658** 0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of Stay</td>
<td>0.101 0.506</td>
<td>-0.120 0.428</td>
<td>0.254 0.089</td>
<td>-0.045 0.768</td>
<td>-0.080 0.596</td>
<td>0.200 0.172</td>
<td>0.585** 0.000</td>
<td>0.478** 0.001</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**p < 0.01**

### Table 5.
**Summary of Multiple Regression Analysis for Variables Predicting Quality of Life, Length of Stay, and Referrals at Discharge (N=48)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>Dependent Variable, Score ± SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quality of Life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orientation to Life</td>
<td>0.693* ± 0.309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herth Hope Scale</td>
<td>-1.091 ± 0.544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities of Daily Living</td>
<td>-1.003 ± 0.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiritual Well-Being</td>
<td>-0.251 ± 0.385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>94.375* ± 26.483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F Statistic on Model</td>
<td>3.402*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted ( R^2 )</td>
<td>0.235</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*\( p < 0.05 \)
ly with any of the study variables. Hope, Spiritual Well-Being, and QOL were not correlated significantly with LOS.

Multiple regression models were built to determine the possibility of predictive relationships among the baseline levels of orientation to life, hope, functional status (ADL), spiritual well-being, and acuteness. The independent variables were conceptualized as orientation to life, hope, functional status (ADL), spiritual well-being, and acuteness. Dependent variables were QOL, LOS, and number of referrals. One time interval (discharge) was chosen for analysis because it represented the measurement interval that inpatient nursing could most likely impact, whereas admission and 6-week post-discharge scores would be harder for inpatient nursing care to affect. The models were significant statistically in predicting overall QOL at discharge and in predicting the number of referrals that were generated during hospitalization (see Table 5). The regression model was not significant statistically in predicting LOS.

Responses to the open-ended questions showed very positive ratings of staff responses and resources. Patients also identified family, friends, and neighbors as significant sources of strength when coping with challenges. Patients consistently identified caring behaviors, such as listening, supporting, encouraging, teaching, and advocacy, as most meaningful interventions. Very few patients identified task behaviors as particularly meaningful.

Discussion

Pertaining to the first hypothesis, hope, spiritual well-being, and QOL were correlated significantly and positively with each other at all three time intervals, which is consistent with the theoretical and empirical literature (Cutcliffe & Herth, 2002; Herth & Cutcliffe, 2002; Watson, 1999). This finding adds empirical data to support the longitudinal nature of the relationships among these variables, particularly as they relate to each other over the course of hospitalization and discharge. Strategies to facilitate the process of learning more positive cognitive and psychological approaches to life have promising implications for helping patients restructure their cognitive frameworks in healthier patterns (Foster & Hicks, 1999, 2007). Potential synergistic relationships among hope, spiritual well-being, and quality of life represent areas for further development of evidence-based nursing interventions.

The second hypothesis was that needs and documented interventions would be correlated positively, which they were. The findings suggest that the nursing process from assessment to intervention is occurring as evidenced in the electronic nursing documentation system. By knowing their patients, nurses identified and managed needs. This process is consistent with Watson’s Theory of Human Caring, which places an emphasis on knowing the patient through the transpersonal caring relationship. Findings from this study provide preliminary outcomes data supporting the relationships among psychosocial needs and both fiscal (LOS) and QOL outcomes.

The third hypothesis was that models incorporating orientation to life, hope, functional status, spiritual well-being, and acuteness would predict QOL, LOS, and number of referrals at the hospital discharge measurement interval (see Table 5). The models predicting QOL and number of referrals were significant statistically, but the model was not successful in predicting LOS. Further exploration of the relationships among these variables is warranted.

Overall, study findings support the relationship among the concepts of hope, spiritual well-being, and QOL. Further, the number of psychosocial needs and related referrals are related significantly to LOS. An opportunity exists to increase awareness among nurses that simple interventions, such as listening, being present with, and offering encouragement, may have important impact on patients, not only while they are in the hospital but also after discharge. These are examples of behaviors that lead to “knowing the patient,” a nursing awareness that has been linked with patient safety outcomes, including the early recognition of patient problems (Minick, 1995; Minick & Harvey, 2003).

Perhaps as nurses become more aware of the depth of caring that can be conveyed by touch or by listening to patients voice their experiences, nurses can find personal renewal and healing meaning in their practice. Additionally, nurses must recognize that caring behaviors have been linked with patient safety and prevention of harm (Minick, 1995; Minick & Harvey, 2003). Nursing documentation often highlights physical, task-oriented care more than psychosocial and spiritual caring behaviors and interventions. This creates a challenge in documenting patient outcomes related to caring behaviors.

Study outcomes have been disseminated within the authors’ institution and community to highlight the role of transpersonal caring in the healing environment and mechanisms for documenting interventions more fully in the electronic environment. The hospital documentation system has been expanded to include a more focused assessment of spiritual aspects of care. In addition, an educational series was designed and delivered by and for nurses explaining the relationship between caring behaviors and patient outcomes within a theoretical context using Jean Watson’s framework. Future directions for research include continuing to develop and test formal interventions that can impact hope, spiritual well-being, and QOL for hospitalized patients, even within the context of decreasing LOS.

Patients often rely on nurses to convey psychosocial and spiritual care and comfort. A great deal of the theoretical basis for nursing practice is grounded in the transpersonal relationship that regards the patient as the cherished other, the participant in the caring moment that can be a vehicle for healing at many levels (Watson, 1999). However, the nursing caring-healing behaviors that
were reported in the open-ended interview portion of this study were not well documented in the medical record and therefore could not be captured as part of the quantitative data. For instance, when asked about meaningful parts of their care, one patient reported, “The nurses were outstanding. There was one nurse... when I had this bad dream, she gently woke me and stayed with me.” Other comments indicated a feeling of reassurance, a sense that staff was interested and concerned, and “they supported me and made me feel worth something, took the time with me and were kind, considerate, and patient.” These caring behaviors usually were not found within the documentation. Transparency of caring behaviors presents challenging possibilities in terms of communicating and coordinating patient care.

From a broader perspective, opportunities may exist for nurses to gain a greater awareness, understanding, and ability to describe ways of being with patients that are most meaningful from the patients’ perspective. Nurses’ increasing awareness of meaning and ability to document the value of their presence and caring behaviors (Watson’s “way of being with someone”) would add meaning and satisfaction to their work in a larger way. When nurses are conscious of the meaning of their work and how vital their way of being with patients is in the spectrum of health and healing, it may provide a strong source of career satisfaction and significance (Watson & Foster, 2003).

Limitations

One limitation of the study was that only the documented interventions and referrals could be counted. Many of the interventions patients listed as most meaningful (e.g., “listening”) are not activities that would generally be documented by nursing staff. In addition, findings with a sample from subacute and rehabilitation units may not have generalizability to other patient populations; further study is warranted. Subjects were predominantly White, which also limits the generalizability of the findings. The population was small (N = 48) and the study descriptive in nature. Measurement intervals may not have captured meaningful changes in study variables. Future studies may utilize instruments that might detect smaller differences.

Conclusions

This study provided insights based on empirical evidence about how hope, orientation to life, spiritual well-being, and QOL are linked to each other across the course of hospitalization and discharge. Interventions to address psychosocial and spiritual needs were linked with documented needs in these areas. Furthermore, psychosocial needs were associated with LOS, reinforcing the need to identify these needs early in the hospitalization, construct individualized interventions, and make appropriate referrals. Findings suggest that nursing care can impact QOL and LOS. The importance of documentation of psychosocial and spiritual caring behaviors and how they link with patient outcomes must be reinforced.
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