

Physical Therapy Management of Low Back Pain

A Case-Based Approach

Julia Chevan, PT, PhD, MPH, OCS
Professor of Physical Therapy
Springfield College
Springfield, MA

Phyllis A. Clapis, PT, DHSc, OCS
Associate Professor of Physical Therapy
American International College
Springfield, MA



**JONES & BARTLETT
LEARNING**

World Headquarters
Jones & Bartlett Learning
5 Wall Street
Burlington, MA 01803
978-443-5000
info@jblearning.com
www.jblearning.com

Jones & Bartlett Learning books and products are available through most bookstores and online booksellers. To contact Jones & Bartlett Learning directly, call 800-832-0034, fax 978-443-8000, or visit our website, www.jblearning.com.

Substantial discounts on bulk quantities of Jones & Bartlett Learning publications are available to corporations, professional associations, and other qualified organizations. For details and specific discount information, contact the special sales department at Jones & Bartlett Learning via the above contact information or send an email to specialsales@jblearning.com.

Copyright © 2013 by Jones & Bartlett Learning, LLC, an Ascend Learning Company

All rights reserved. No part of the material protected by this copyright may be reproduced or utilized in any form, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without written permission from the copyright owner.

The authors, editors, and publisher have made every effort to provide accurate information. However, they are not responsible for errors, omissions, or for any outcomes related to the use of the contents of this book and take no responsibility for the use of the products and procedures described. Treatments and side effects described in this book may not be applicable to all people; likewise, some people may require a dose or experience a side effect that is not described herein. Drugs and medical devices are discussed that may have limited availability controlled by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use only in a research study or clinical trial. Research, clinical practice, and government regulations often change the accepted standard in this field. When consideration is being given to use of any drug in the clinical setting, the health care provider or reader is responsible for determining FDA status of the drug, reading the package insert, and reviewing prescribing information for the most up-to-date recommendations on dose, precautions, and contraindications, and determining the appropriate usage for the product. This is especially important in the case of drugs that are new or seldom used.

Production Credits

Publisher: David D. Cella
Acquisitions Editor: Katey Birtcher
Managing Editor: Maro Gartside
Editorial Assistant: Teresa Reilly
Senior Production Editor: Renée Sekerak
Marketing Manager: Grace Richards
Manufacturing and Inventory Control Supervisor: Amy Bacus
Composition: Auburn Associates, Inc.
Photo Researcher: Sarah Cebulski
Cover Design: Kristin E. Parker
Cover Image: © Lightspring/Shutterstock, Inc.
Printing and Binding: Malloy, Inc.
Cover Printing: Malloy, Inc.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Physical therapy management of low back pain : a case-based approach /
[edited by] Julia Chevan, Phyllis A. Clapis.
p. : cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN-13: 978-0-7637-7945-0 (alk. paper)
ISBN-10: 0-7637-7945-8 (alk. paper)
I. Chevan, Julia. II. Clapis, Phyllis A.
[DNLM: 1. Low Back Pain—therapy—Case Reports. 2. Physical Therapy
Modalities—Case Reports. WE 755]

617.5'6406—dc23

2011042578

6048

Printed in the United States of America

16 15 14 13 12 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Contents

Preface	vii
Acknowledgmentsix
About the Authorsxi
Contributorsxiii
Reviewers	xv
Introduction	xvii
Chapter 1: Low Back Pain in the United States	1
Julia Chevan, PT, PhD, MPH, OCS	
Phyllis A. Clapis, PT, DHSc, OCS	
Introduction	1
Prevalence of Low Back Pain	2
Risk Factors for Low Back Pain	3
Low Back Pain and Recurrence	5
Disability Due to Low Back Pain	6
Healthcare Utilization Due to Low Back Pain	7
Outcomes Measurement in Low Back Pain	10
Summary	12
References	12
Chapter 2: Meet Joe Lores	15
Julia Chevan, PT, PhD, MPH, OCS	
Phyllis A. Clapis, PT, DHSc, OCS	
Background	15
Examination	17
Evaluation	18
The Next Steps	18
References	28

Chapter 3: The Cyriax Approach	29
Elaine Atkins, DProf, MA, MSCP	
Jill Kerr, MSc, BSc, MCSP	
Emily Goodlad, MSc, MCSP	
Background	30
Application of the Cyriax Model to Joe Lores	45
Summary	60
References	60
Chapter 4: The Kaltenborn-Evjenth Concept	67
John Krauss PT, PhD, OCS, FAAOMPT	
Background	67
Application of the Kaltenborn-Evjenth Approach to Joe Lores	70
Summary	92
References	93
Chapter 5: The Maitland Concept	95
Kenneth E. Learman, PT, PhD, OCS, COMT, FAAOMPT	
Christopher R. Showalter, PT, OCS, COMT, FAAOMPT, FABS	
Background	95
Application of the Maitland Concept to Joe Lores.	101
Summary	110
References	111
Chapter 6: McKenzie Approach: Mechanical Diagnosis and Therapy	113
Helen Clare, PhD, FACP, Dip Phy, Dip MDT	
Background	113
Application of McKenzie Model to Joe Lores	116
Summary	130
References	131
Appendix 6–1: The McKenzie Institute Lumbar Spine Assessment.	133
Appendix 6–2: McKenzie Institute Assessment Forms: Guidelines for the Completion of the Assessment Forms	137
Chapter 7: The Mulligan Concept	141
Donald K. Reordan, PT, MS, OCS, MCTA	
Background	141

Application of the Mulligan Concept to Joe Lores.	151
Summary	155
References	155
Chapter 8: The Paris Approach	157
Jeffrey A. Rot, PT, DHSc, OCS, MTC, FAAOMPT	
James A. Viti, PT, MSc, DPT, OCS, MTC, FAAOMPT	
Background	157
Application of the Paris Approach to Joe Lores	160
Summary	180
References	180
Appendix 8–1: Blank Paris Assessment.	183
Chapter 9: The Osteopathic Approach	191
Maria Meigel, PT, DPT, CFMT, OCS	
Background	191
Application of the Osteopathic Approach to Joe Lores	199
Summary	211
References	211
Chapter 10: Movement System Impairment Syndromes Approach	213
Shirley Sahrman, PT, PhD, FAPTA	
Background	213
Application of Movement System Impairment Syndromes Model for Joe Lores.	221
Summary	232
References	232
Appendix 10–1: Lower Quarter Examination	235
Appendix 10–2: Movement System Lower Quarter Examination.	237
Appendix 10–3: Movement System Syndromes of the Low Back	241
Chapter 11: A Treatment-Based Classification Approach	247
Paul E. Mintken, PT, DPT, OCS, FAAOMPT	
Mark D. Bishop, PT, PhD	
Background	247
Application of The TBC Approach to Joe Lores	268

References	300
Appendix 11–1: Treatment-Based Classification	
Stage I Lumbar Evaluation	315
Chapter 12: Synthesis and Conclusions	317
Julia Chevan, PT, PhD, MPH, OCS	
Phyllis A. Clapis, PT, DHSc, OCS	
Theoretical Comparisons	317
Practical Comparisons	324
An Integrated Approach to Treating Joe Lores	329
Summary	330
References	331
Index	333

Preface

Back pain, put simply, is a universal human problem for which there exists no magic bullet. There are many therapeutic options for patients and many practitioners who claim to have the answer to the conundrum of back pain. A quick search for treatment yields options that include surgery, injection, medication, exercise, and practitioners who range from physicians and physical therapists to acupuncturists and yoga teachers. The array of options can be confusing to both patient and provider. Within physical therapy, approaches to the problem of back pain are also numerous. As physical therapist educators, we are challenged by the task of introducing our students to the vast assortment of physical therapy approaches to lower back pain (LBP), some of which have sound scientific rationale and others that do not. Our goal in writing this book was to provide a resource on the models that are used in physical therapy to treat acute low back pain using the example of a single patient case. The book is intended primarily for students entering the profession of physical therapy but may also be of interest to practitioners trying to understand the array of intervention options used in physical therapy. Both students and clinicians are encouraged to further their knowledge in these approaches by reading the primary sources cited or by attending continuing education courses.

We are indebted to our own students who pushed us to pull all of this material into one text. These students always returned from their clinical experiences asking us to explain why two therapists in two different clinics would treat the same patient so differently. To those students we can finally say that we have some of the answers and they are in this book.

Acknowledgments

When I look up at the full moon, I know that the full moon is there. And I want only to focus my attention, my whole attention, on the presence of the full moon. So I take an in-breath and I say, “full moon.” And then full moon suddenly reveals herself to me very clearly. There’s only the full moon at that moment. And when I breathe out, I smile and say, “Thank you for being there.” So, I and the full moon were very real in that moment. And I repeat, I do it two, three, four times, and my happiness increases all the time. I feel very alive in that moment.

—From a dharma talk by the Venerable Thich Nhat Hanh entitled “Be Like the Earth” given at Plum Village on July 23, 1996.

Thank you for being there:

Patricia, Saadya, and Nava, my family, the keepers of my heart

My friends and colleagues at Springfield College

Regina Kaufman, who inspires and critiques in the same breath

David Miller, a leader I am always following

Gail Stern and Esther Haskvitz, my fan club

Phyllis, for helping birth this baby and many others

—Julia Chevan

There are so many people who deserve my heartfelt thanks for helping this book come to fruition:

Thank you to my colleague and coeditor Julia Chevan for conceiving the idea for this book and for her expertise, professionalism, and sense of humor throughout the process. I can't imagine working with a better partner.

Thank you to each of the chapter authors. I have been honored to work with such brilliant clinicians and I am keenly aware that this project would not have been possible without their expertise.

Thank you to my students and colleagues at American International College who have inspired and supported me, especially Gail Stern and Sue Davis for their never-ending encouragement and enthusiasm.

A special thanks and dedication to my parents who are, quite simply, the greatest teachers I've ever had, and to my family for their daily love and support.

Finally, thank you to the individual pioneers of our profession who developed the models we have presented in this book. It is through their contributions that we have been able to so greatly impact the lives of our patients.

—Phyllis Clapis

About the Authors

Julia Chevan graduated with a BS degree in physical therapy from Boston University in 1985. Since that time, she has worked in a variety of clinical settings mostly focused on providing care for patients with orthopedic problems. In 1993 she joined the faculty of Springfield College where she now serves as Professor and Chair in the Department of Physical Therapy. Julia is a board-certified clinical specialist in orthopedic physical therapy through the American Board of Physical Therapy Specialties and has passed the credentialing examination with the McKenzie Institute. Her academic background includes advanced degrees in public health from the University of Massachusetts, in orthopedic physical therapy from Quinnipiac University, and a doctoral degree in health studies from Virginia Commonwealth University. Julia's research interests have drawn her into examining health services issues related to the care of persons with low back and neck pain. In addition to her professional life, Julia is the mother and soccer coach for two young children who can almost run faster than she can. She is the partner of Patricia Jung, a physical therapist of exceptional ability who can run as fast as anyone.

Phyllis A. Clapis has been practicing in the area of orthopedic physical therapy for over 25 years. She graduated with a BS degree in physical therapy from University of Connecticut in 1983 and received her Master's degree in orthopedic physical therapy from Quinnipiac University in 1994. In 2004 she received a doctorate in health science from the University of St. Augustine. She started her academic career in 1996 at American International College where she currently serves as an Associate Professor in the Division of Physical Therapy. Her teaching focus includes spinal and extremity orthope-

dic patient management as well as evidence-based physical therapy practice. Phyllis has been a board-certified specialist in orthopedic physical therapy through the American Board of Physical Therapy Specialties since 1994. She is a consultant at Mount Holyoke College and is a regular contributor to McKesson Clinical Reference System's *Sports Medicine Advisor* series. She resides in western Massachusetts with her family.

Contributors

Elaine Atkins, DProf, MA, MCSP owns a private practice in London, United Kingdom. She holds a doctorate in Professional Studies (Orthopaedic Medicine Education), is a Fellow of the Society of Orthopaedic Medicine and the programme leader for the MSc Orthopaedic Medicine.

Mark D. Bishop, PT, PhD is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Physical Therapy at the University of Florida in Gainesville, Florida.

Helen Clare, PhD, FACP, Dip Phy, Dip MDT is in private practice in Sydney, Australia and serves as Associated Academic Staff with Sydney University. She is a Fellow of the Australian College of Physiotherapists and an instructor, and the International Director of Education with the McKenzie Institute.

Emily Goodlad, MSc, MCSP is in private practice in Edinburgh, United Kingdom. She is a Fellow of the Society of Orthopaedic Medicine.

Jill Kerr, MSc, BSc, MCSP is in private practice in Edinburgh, United Kingdom. She is a Fellow of the Society of Orthopaedic Medicine and a course principal for the society's Diploma in Orthopaedic Medicine.

John Krauss, PT, PhD, OCS, FAAOMPT is an Associate Professor at Oakland University in Rochester, Michigan. Dr. Krauss received his Orthopedic Manipulative Therapy Certification through the International Seminar of Orthopedic Manipulative Therapy and completed an orthopedic residency training and received his Graduate Certificate in Orthopedic Manual Physical Therapy through Oakland University. He is a Fellow of the American Academy of Orthopedic Manual Physical Therapists.

Kenneth E. Learman, PT, PhD, OCS, COMT, FAAOMPT is an Associate Professor at Youngstown State University in Youngstown, Ohio. He is a Fellow of the American Academy of Orthopedic Manual Physical Therapists and is senior teaching faculty for Maitland-Australian Physiotherapy Seminars.

Maria Meigel, PT, DPT, CFMT, OCS is in private practice in Long Island, New York. She is a member of the teaching faculty at both Touro College and Stony Brook University. Dr. Meigel is a principal in Integrative Manual Therapy Solutions.

Paul E. Mintken, PT, DPT, OCS, FAAOMPT is an Assistant Professor in the physical therapy program at the University of Colorado in Denver, Colorado. He is a Fellow of the American Academy of Orthopedic Manual Physical Therapists and teaches for Evidence in Motion.

Donald K. Reordan, PT, MS, OCS, MCTA is in private practice in Jacksonville, Florida. He is a certified member of the Mulligan Concept Teachers Association and serves as the regional manager for the Mulligan Concept.

Jeffrey A. Rot, PT, DHSc, OCS, FAAOMPT is an Associate Professor at the University of St. Augustine at the St. Augustine, Florida campus. He is a Fellow of the American Academy of Orthopedic Manual Physical Therapists and teaches the S3 coursework for the University's Institute of Physical Therapy.

Shirley Sahrman, PT, PhD, FAPTA is an Associate Professor of Physical Therapy and Neurology at Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri. She is a Fellow of the American Physical Therapy Association. Dr. Sahrman is the director of the Movement Science Program at Washington University.

Christopher R. Showalter, PT, OCS, COMT, FAAOMPT, FABS is in private practice in New York. He is a Fellow of the American Academy of Orthopedic Manual Physical Therapists and is the clinical director for Maitland-Australian Physiotherapy Seminars.

James A. Viti, PT, MSc, DPT, OCS, MTC, FAAOMPT is an Assistant Professor at the University of St. Augustine in St. Augustine, Florida. He is a Fellow of the American Academy of Orthopedic Manual Physical Therapists and teaches full time in the entry-level and advanced studies programs.

Reviewers

Amy J. Bayliss, PT, DPT
Assistant Clinical Professor
Department of Physical Therapy
School of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences
Indiana University
Indianapolis, Indiana

Rogelio Adrian Coronado, PT, CSCS, FAAOMPT
Doctoral Student
University of Florida
Gainesville, Florida

Paul-Neil Czujko, PT, DPT, OCS
Clinical Assistant Professor
Physical Therapy Program
Stony Brook University
Stony Brook, New York

Ronald De Vera Barredo, PT, DPT, EdD, GCS
Head, Department of Physical Therapy
Tennessee State University
Nashville, Tennessee

Patricia M. King, PT, PhD, OCS, MTC
Associate Professor and Chair
Department of Physical Therapy

Arkansas State University
Jonesboro, Arkansas

Elaine Lonnemann, PT, MSc, DPT, OCS FAAOMPT
Associate Professor
Bellarmine University
Louisville, Kentucky

Jose M. Milan, PTA, MEd
Assistant Professor
Austin Community College
Austin, Texas

Corey B. Simon, PT, DPT, FAAOMPT
Doctoral Student
University of Florida
Gainesville, Florida

Introduction

In the United States, considerable resources are allocated to care related to back pain. Annual expenditures have been estimated to reach the sum of \$86 billion per year.¹ Worse, the trend in dollars expended for spine problems, based on data from 1997–2006, indicates that we spend more money each year on care for this condition.² Despite the wide variety of treatment options available, the cost of work-related disability continues to rise.³ For physicians, treatment of low back pain has remained a challenge since most patients with low back pain lack a specific pathoanatomic diagnosis.⁴ The term “nonspecific low back pain” has been coined to describe these patients whose pain is of unknown origin.

Physical therapists have also been challenged by the treatment of patients with nonspecific low back pain. These patients make up the majority of an outpatient physical therapist’s case load.⁵ Some therapists are intimidated by the seemingly complex signs and symptoms that accompany patients with back pain while others pride themselves in being so-called “spine specialists.”

Today, physical therapy interventions for low back pain are wide and varied, but that was not always the case. During World War I, the first physical therapists, better known then as reconstruction aides, provided simple treatments such as exercise, hydrotherapy, and massage to wounded veterans. Physical therapy was prescription-based; the physician examined the patient and provided the therapist with detailed orders for each intervention, including parameters for duration, frequency, and intensity. Physical therapists were not allowed to evaluate their patients and any form of independent thinking was viewed as a challenge to the authority of the physician. Most patients received the same treatment for the same diagnosis. The profession grew from one that was focused on polio and postwar injuries to

one that provided intervention for multiple diseases ranging from cardiovascular and pulmonary conditions to neurology and orthopedic conditions.⁶ The 1960s and 1970s heralded an era of growth in the area of orthopedic physical therapy and a growth in the approaches that therapists used for treating low back pain from physician-based approaches to approaches developed and tested by physical therapists.

During the prescription-based era of physical therapy, the primary form of exercise prescribed for patients with low back pain was “William’s Flexion Exercises.” These exercises, named after Dr. Paul C. Williams, were designed to both improve trunk stability while promoting flexibility of the hip flexors and the lumbar extensor muscles. According to Williams, the first rule for those who suffered from back pain was to reduce the lumbar lordosis to a minimum.⁷ Williams’ work in the U.S. was contrasted with the work being done by Dr. James Cyriax who opened a department of massage and manipulation at St. Thomas’ Hospital in London in which methods of massage and manipulation were carried out by physiotherapists working under the auspices of orthopedic physicians.

In the early 1950s and 1960s, Freddy Kaltenborn, a Norwegian physical therapist developed an approach to manual therapy and back care treatment that was based on normalizing joint movement. Kaltenborn was not the only physical therapist working on a manual approach to back pain. As orthopedic physical therapy grew, the work of a number of these early manual therapists including Freddy Kaltenborn, Mariano Rocobado, and Geoffrey Maitland diffused into the United States.⁸ In 1970, Cyriax, Kaltenborn, and an internationally representative group of therapists formed the International Federation of Orthopaedic Manipulative Therapists, bringing many schools of thought and approaches to manual therapy and spine treatment together in one association. In parallel, 1974 saw the founding of the Orthopaedic Section of the American Physical Therapy Association (APTA) in the United States, led by Stanley Paris. At this point, the astute student should note the parallel between the names we are mentioning in this brief history and the names associated with the models presented in subsequent chapters of this book.

The 1980s brought a paradigm shift to the treatment of LBP when Robin McKenzie, a New Zealand physical therapist, introduced the radical notion that extension, not flexion, was the preferred direction of movement for managing acute back pain. He suggested that excessive flexion was actually the cause of one’s low back pain and that most back pain was caused by an accumulation of fluid in the disc. In terms of treatment, now physical therapists were either teaching patients how to reduce their lordosis or how to

increase it. Ultimately in an era of prescription-based care, it was still the physician's call.

The 1980s also saw an expanded role for the U.S. physical therapist and the end to prescription-based physical therapy. In 1984, the APTA House of Delegates passed a motion that allowed physical therapists to evaluate and treat patients. This solidified physical therapy as a true profession, with its own defined body of knowledge and autonomy. With this newfound role came the ability to evaluate patients and render a diagnosis. The challenge, however, was to differentiate a diagnosis made by a physical therapist from one made by a physician. While the early definition of the term “diagnosis” took on many shapes, it was ultimately adopted by the APTA and is described in the *Guide to Physical Therapist Practice* as “both the process and the end result of evaluating examination data which the physical therapist organizes into defined clusters, syndromes, or categories to help determine prognosis (including plan of care) and the most appropriate intervention strategies.” The key point here is that a diagnosis made by a physical therapist is meant to describe problems in terms of the disablement model in categories that guide treatment. The role and purpose of diagnosis by physical therapists was also clarified by Anthony Delitto and Lynn Snyder-Mackler⁹ who stated:

The classic medical diagnosis can be defined as identifying a patient's disease by its signs, symptoms, and laboratory data, and the other general definition, which we believe to be synonymous with clinical classification, entails placing a label on clusters of clinical data.

As a profession that has grown into more independent modes of practice and one in which therapists were writing prescriptions rather than just filling them, there was an increased emphasis on the development of diagnostic protocols. Academics and clinicians were being challenged to develop the theory and content for these protocols that were based on a gathering of patient signs and symptoms.¹⁰

While it was agreed upon that the diagnostic process was meant to guide treatment, there was still little evidence on what constituted appropriate care for treatment of LBP.¹¹ Clinicians were often using two types of diagnostic processes to guide treatment. One, based on a pathoanatomical model, and the other, which was considered newer, was based on a classification system that would allow the clinician to identify clusters of symptoms, signs, and characteristics of patients who responded to a specific treatment.^{10,11} These

diagnostic processes are the framework on which many of the approaches to LBP today are built, including a number that are presented in this book.

Years ago, an article appeared in the *Wall Street Journal* in which the writer, who had chronic knee pain, was examined by five different physical therapists and ultimately received five different treatment suggestions.¹² The author expressed some concern with the level of ambiguity amongst the therapists and concluded that “physical therapy is still as much art as science.”¹² As a result of the article, our profession was scrutinized for the therapists’ uncertainty and lack of agreement in managing the patient’s knee symptoms.¹³ There was little evidence that supported one treatment approach over the other. The general school of thought when the article was published was that the key to treating patellofemoral pain was to strengthen the vastus medialis obliquus (VMO). With the rise in evidence, we know today that VMO is not necessarily the optimal treatment strategy. The evidence-based practice movement has provided us with more scientific evidence of the impact of clinical interventions, more information on the validity of our tests and measures, and models that are based on sound scientific rationale. Given the current variety of approaches to treating back pain, what would we expect if the patient sought treatment for her low back pain? Would the patient be examined in a similar way by each of the therapists? Would the treatments be similar?

The answers to these questions are precisely what this book is about. We recruited therapists from around the world who are experts in their specified models. Our experts include Mulligan, McKenzie, and Paris certified therapists, along with many more. We selected models that were brought to our attention by our own students who, during their clinical experiences, are exposed to practice that is based both in evidence and in habit.

To write this book, each expert was provided with a hypothetical case of a patient named Joe Lores who had been experiencing LBP for 2 weeks as a result of an injury. We used the documentation template from the *Guide to Physical Therapy Practice*¹⁴ as a means to structure the screening and examination, and to initially provide the same generic information to each therapist. This template was chosen for its ability to identify red flags that would indicate a need for immediate medical referral. Once given this basic examination information, the experts were asked what tests and measures they might require as part of their model’s examination strategy. After this information was provided, each author determined a diagnosis, prognosis, and plan of care for the patient. Authors were asked to structure their work using

a plan for examination and intervention that would be employed by a therapist working under the paradigm of that model's science and theory.

While this book is intended to serve as an introduction to each of the common approaches to management of low back pain, it is no way exhaustive. It might be best to think of it as a primer, giving the reader a flavor for each approach, but not a comprehensive description of each one. We urge the reader to delve a little deeper into the information by reading the literature and attending continuing education courses that are specific to each model. Most importantly, we urge the reader to think critically about the information provided by any model or any guru claiming to have the “answer to low back pain.” We are still looking. . . .

REFERENCES

1. Martin BI, Deyo RA, Mirza SK, et al. Expenditures and health status among adults with back and neck problems. *JAMA*. 2008;299(6):656–664.
2. Martin BI, Turner JA, Mirza SK, Lee MJ, Comstock BA, Deyo RA. Trends in health care expenditures, utilization, and health status among US adults with spine problems, 1997–2006. *Spine*. 2009;34(19):2077–2084.
3. Deyo RA, Cherkin D, Conrad D, Volinn E. Cost, controversy, crisis: low back pain and the health of the public. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 1991;12:141–156.
4. Deyo RA. Diagnostic evaluation of LBP: reaching a specific diagnosis is often impossible. *Arch Intern Med*. 2002;162(13):1444–1447.
5. Jette AM, Davis KD. A comparison of hospital-based and private outpatient physical therapy practices. *Phys Ther*. 1991;71(5):366–375.
6. Moffat M. The history of physical therapy practice in the United States. *Journal of Physical Therapy Education*. 2005;17(3):15–25.
7. Williams PC. Lesions of the lumbosacral spine: chronic traumatic (postural) destruction of the intervertebral disc. *J Bone Joint Surg*. 1937;29:690–703.
8. Paris SV. In the best interests of the patient. *Phys Ther*. 2006;86(11):1541–1553.
9. Delitto A, Snyder-Mackler L. The diagnostic process: examples in orthopedic physical therapy. *Phys Ther*. 1995;75(3):203–211.
10. Rose SJ. Musing on diagnosis. *Phys Ther*. 1988;68(11):1665.
11. Van Dillen LR, Sahrman SA, Norton BJ, et al. Reliability of physical examination items used for classification of patients with low back pain. *Phys Ther*. 1998;78(9):979–88.
12. Miller L. One bum knee meets five physical therapists. *Wall Street Journal*. September 22, 1994:B1, B6.
13. Craik R. A tolerance for ambiguity. *Phys Ther*. 2001;81(7):1292–1294.
14. American Physical Therapy Association. *Interactive Guide to Physical Therapist Practice*. Alexandria, VA: American Physical Therapy Association; 2003. <http://guidetoptpractice.apta.org/>.

