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OBJECTIVES
1. List the indications for and complications of mechanical ventilation.
2. Discuss issues related to ventilator-associated lung injury.
3. Select appropriate ventilator settings.
4. List parameters that should be monitored during mechanical ventilation.
5. Discuss issues related to liberation from mechanical ventilation.
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INTRODUCTION
Mechanical ventilation is an important life support technology that is an integral component of critical care. Mechanical ventilation can be applied as negative pressure to the outside of the thorax (e.g., the iron lung) or, most often, as positive pressure to the airway. The desired effect of positive pressure ventilation is to maintain adequate levels of PaO₂ and PaCO₂ while also unloading the inspiratory muscles. Mechanical ventilation is a life-sustaining technology, but recognition is growing that when used incorrectly, it can increase morbidity and mortality. Positive pressure ventilation is provided in intensive care units (ICUs), subacute facilities, long-term care facilities, and the home. Positive pressure ventilation is provided in intensive care units (ICUs), subacute facilities, long-term care facilities, and the home. Positive pressure ventilation can be invasive (i.e., with an endotracheal tube or tracheostomy tube) or noninvasive (e.g., with a face mask). This chapter addresses invasive positive pressure ventilation as it is applied in adults with acute respiratory failure. Modern ventilators used in the intensive care unit are microprocessor controlled and available from several manufacturers (Figure 22-1 and Figure 22-2).
FIGURE 22–1 Examples of mechanical ventilators commonly used in critical care in the United States.
The Equation of Motion

Positive pressure, when applied at the airway opening, interacts with respiratory system (lung and chest wall) compliance, airways resistance, respiratory system inertance, and tissue resistance to produce gas flow into the lung. Inertance and tissue resistance are small and their effects are usually ignored. The interactions of airway pressure (Paw), respiratory muscle pressure (Pmus), flow, and volume with respiratory system mechanics can be expressed as the equation of motion:

\[ \text{Paw} + \text{Pmus} = (\text{Flow} \times \text{Resistance}) + (\text{Volume}/\text{Compliance}) \]

For spontaneous breathing, Paw = 0 and all of the pressure required for ventilation is provided by the respiratory muscles. For full ventilatory support, Pmus = 0 and all of the pressure required for ventilation is provided by the ventilator. For partial ventilatory support, both the ventilator and the respiratory muscles contribute to ventilation.

For full ventilatory support, the ventilator controls either the pressure or the flow and volume applied to the airway. The equation of motion predicts that Paw will vary for a given resistance and compliance if flow and volume are controlled (volume-targeted ventilation). The equation of motion also predicts that flow and volume will vary for a given resistance and compliance if Paw is controlled (pressure-targeted ventilation).

An important point to remember in considering the equation of motion is that in the setting of high minute ventilation, long inspiratory-to-expiratory time ratios, and prolonged expiratory time constants (e.g., as seen in obstructive lung disease), the lungs may not return to the baseline circuit pressure during exhalation. This creates auto-PEEP, which must be counteracted by Pmus and Paw in the equation of motion to affect flow and volume delivery.

Indications for Mechanical Ventilation

Mechanical ventilation is indicated in many situations (Box 22-1). Goals of mechanical ventilation are shown in Box 22-2. Although these conditions are useful in the determination of whether mechanical ventilation is needed, clinical judgment is as important as strict adherence to absolute guidelines. One indication for mechanical ventilation is imminent acute respiratory failure; in such cases, initiating mechanical ventilation may prevent overt respiratory failure and respiratory arrest. On the other hand, depression of respiratory drive from drug overdose or from anesthesia involved with major surgery is an indication that does not involve primary respiratory system failure. In short, mechanical ventilation is required when the patient’s capabilities to ventilate the lung and/or effect gas transport across the alveolocapillary interface is compromised to the point that the patient’s life is threatened.

Complications of Mechanical Ventilation

Mechanical ventilation is not a benign therapy, and it can have major effects on the body’s homeostasis (Box 22-3). In addition to the serious complications reviewed here associated with positive pressure applied...
Mechanically ventilated patients are also at risk for gastrointestinal bleeding and often are given antacids, proton pump inhibitors, or histamine (H₂) blockers to prevent this complication. The nutritional needs of mechanically ventilated patients play an important role in preventing or promoting complications. Undernourished patients are at risk for respiratory muscle weakness and pneumonia. An excessive caloric intake, on the other hand, may increase carbon dioxide (CO₂) production, which can markedly increase the patient's ventilatory requirements. Sleep deprivation in mechanically ventilated patients has recently become recognized.

Ventilator-Induced Lung Injury

The application of positive pressure to the airways can create lung injury under a variety of circumstances. Pulmonary barotrauma (e.g., subcutaneous emphysema, pneumothorax, pneumomediastinum) is one of the most serious complications of excessive pressure and volume delivery to the lung and is a consequence of alveolar overdistention to the point of rupture (Figure 22–3). However, even when the lung is not distended to the point of rupture, excessive transpulmonary stretching pressures may contribute to lung injury, a phenomenon known as volutrauma, a term that combines ventilation injury and overdistention. An additional injury that results from excessive ventilation is called atelectrauma, a combination of atelectasis and trauma. Excessive mechanical ventilation may also cause oxygen toxicity, which is the result of excessive delivery of oxygen to the lung. Oxygen toxicity is a significant concern at pressures of greater than 40 cm H₂O and can lead to lung injury.

To summarize, ventilator-induced lung injury is a complex phenomenon that results from both overdistention and oxygen toxicity. Overdistention occurs when excessive positive pressure is applied to the airways, resulting in alveolar overdistention and lung injury. Oxygen toxicity occurs when excessive oxygen is delivered to the lung, leading to injury. The combination of these two factors can result in significant lung injury, which can be prevented by careful monitoring and management of ventilation parameters.
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beyond the normal maximum (i.e., 30 to 35 cm H2O) can produce a parenchymal lung injury not associated with extra-alveolar air (ventilator-induced lung injury [VILI]). Importantly, this approach may require acceptance of less than normal values for pH and PaO2 in exchange for lower (and safer) distending pressures. VILI also can result from the cyclical opening of an alveolus during inspiration and closure during exhalation (cyclical alveolar compression producing alveolar trauma). Indeed, pressures at the junction between an open and a closed alveolus may exceed 100 cm H2O during this process. This injury is reduced with the use of smaller tidal volumes and may be ameliorated by optimal lung recruitment and an expiratory pressure that prevents alveolar derecruitment. Positive expiratory pressure (PEEP), however, can be a two-edged sword. If an increase in PEEP results in an increase in alveolar recruitment, then the stress (distribution of pressure) in the lungs is reduced. If, on the other hand, an increase in PEEP increases end-inspiratory transpulmonary pressure, then the strain (change in size of the lungs during inflation) on the lungs is increased. Other ventilatory pattern factors may also be involved in the development of VILI. These include frequency of stretch and the acceleration or velocity of stretch. Vascular pressure elevations may also contribute to VILI.

VILI is manifest pathologically as diffuse alveolar damage and it increases inflammatory cytokines in the lungs (biotrauma). VILI is also associated with systemic cytokine release and bacterial translocation that are implicated in the systemic inflammatory response with multiorgan dysfunction that increases mortality. The way in which the lungs are ventilated may therefore play a role in systemic inflammation (Figure 22-4).

Oxygen Toxicity

Oxygen concentrations approaching 100% are known to cause oxidant injuries in airways and lung parenchyma. Oxygen concentrations less than 0.4 is safe for prolonged periods of time and that a Fio2 greater than 0.80 should be avoided. However, VILI may be more important clinically than oxygen toxicity. In one large study (ARDSnet), survival was greater in patients with ALI/ARDS who were ventilated with a lower tidal volume, presumably avoiding significant VILI, despite the fact that the required Fio2 was higher in the group receiving the lower tidal volumes.

Importantly, this approach may require acceptance of less than normal values for pH and PaO2 in exchange for lower (and safer) distending pressures. VILI also can result from the cyclical opening of an alveolus during inspiration and closure during exhalation (cyclical alveolar compression producing alveolar trauma). Indeed, pressures at the junction between an open and a closed alveolus may exceed 100 cm H2O during this process. This injury is reduced with the use of smaller tidal volumes and may be ameliorated by optimal lung recruitment and an expiratory pressure that prevents alveolar derecruitment. Positive expiratory pressure (PEEP), however, can be a two-edged sword. If an increase in PEEP results in an increase in alveolar recruitment, then the stress (distribution of pressure) in the lungs is reduced. If, on the other hand, an increase in PEEP increases end-inspiratory transpulmonary pressure, then the strain (change in size of the lungs during inflation) on the lungs is increased. Other ventilatory pattern factors may also be involved in the development of VILI. These include frequency of stretch and the acceleration or velocity of stretch. Vascular pressure elevations may also contribute to VILI.

VILI is manifest pathologically as diffuse alveolar damage and it increases inflammatory cytokines in the lungs (biotrauma). VILI is also associated with systemic cytokine release and bacterial translocation that are implicated in the systemic inflammatory response with multiorgan dysfunction that increases mortality. The way in which the lungs are ventilated may therefore play a role in systemic inflammation (Figure 22-4).

Oxygen Toxicity

Oxygen concentrations approaching 100% are known to cause oxidant injuries in airways and lung parenchyma. Oxygen concentrations less than 0.4 is safe for prolonged periods of time and that a Fio2 greater than 0.80 should be avoided. However, VILI may be more important clinically than oxygen toxicity. In one large study (ARDSnet), survival was greater in patients with ALI/ARDS who were ventilated with a lower tidal volume, presumably avoiding significant VILI, despite the fact that the required Fio2 was higher in the group receiving the lower tidal volumes.
Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia

The natural laryngeal mechanism that protects the lower respiratory tract from aspiration is compromised by an endotracheal tube. This permits oropharyngeal debris to leak into the airways. The endotracheal tube also impairs the cough reflex and serves as a potential portal for pathogens to enter the lungs. The underlying disease process makes the lungs prone to infection. Finally, heavy antibiotic use in the ICU and the presence of very sick patients in close proximity to each other are risk factors for antibiotic-resistant infection.

Preventing ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is important because it is associated with morbidity and mortality. VAP prevention has become an important priority in the mechanically ventilated patient. Hand washing, elevating the head of the bed, and carefully choosing antibiotic regimens can have important preventive effects. Circuit changes only when visibly contaminated appear to be helpful. Endotracheal tubes that provide continuous drainage of subglottic secretions, endotracheal tubes with specialized cuff designs, and endotracheal tubes made with antimicrobial materials are other ways of reducing lung contamination with oropharyngeal material. However, these tubes are more expensive and their cost-effectiveness is controversial.

Auto-PEEP

Auto-PEEP (also known as intrinsic PEEP or air trapping) is the result of the lungs not returning to the baseline proximal airway pressure at end-exhalation. The determinants of auto-PEEP are high minute volume, long inspiratory-to-expiratory time relationships, and long expiratory time constants (i.e., obstructed airways and high-compliance alveolar units). Auto-PEEP raises all intrathoracic pressures, which can affect gas delivery, hemodynamics, end-inspiratory distention (and thus VILI), and patient breath triggering. Although sometimes desired in long inspiratory time ventilatory strategies, auto-PEEP is generally to be avoided because it is difficult to recognize and to predict its effects.

Hemodynamic Effects of Positive Pressure Ventilation

As intrathoracic pressure increases with positive pressure ventilation, right ventricular filling decreases and cardiac output decreases. This is the rationale for using volume repletion to maintain cardiac output in the setting of high intrathoracic pressure. The effect of reduced cardiac filling on cardiac output may be partially counteracted by better left ventricular function due to elevated intrathoracic pressures, which reduce left ventricular afterload. In patients with left heart failure, the reduced cardiac filling and reduced left ventricular afterload of elevated intrathoracic pressure may actually improve cardiac function such that intrathoracic pressure removal may produce left ventricular failure if positive pressure ventilation is removed.

Intrathoracic pressure can also influence distribution of perfusion, as described by the West model of pulmonary perfusion. In the supine human lung, blood flow is greatest in zone 3. As intra-alveolar pressure rises, there is an increase in zone 2 and zone 1 (dead space) regions, creating high ventilation-perfusion (V/Q) units. Dyspnea, anxiety, and discomfort associated with inadequate ventilatory support can lead to stress-related catecholamine release, with increases in myocardial oxygen demands and risk of dysrhythmias. In addition, coronary blood vessel oxygen delivery can be compromised by inadequate gas exchange from the lung injury coupled with low mixed venous PO2 due to high oxygen consumption demands by the inspiratory muscles.

Ventilator Settings

Volume Control Versus Pressure Control

With volume control ventilation (VCV), the ventilator controls the inspiratory flow (Figure 22–5). The tidal volume is determined by the flow and the inspiratory time. In practice, however, the flow and tidal volume are set on the ventilator. With VCV the tidal volume is delivered regardless of resistance or compliance, and the peak airway pressure varies (Box 22–4). VCV should be used when ever a constant tidal volume is important in the

RESPIRATORY RECAP

Indications for and Complications of Mechanical Ventilation

- Mechanical ventilation is indicated to support oxygenation and ventilation of patients with acute respiratory failure.
- A number of complications are possible with mechanical ventilation, and efforts must be made to minimize these conditions.
maintenance of a desired PaCO₂, such as with an acute head injury. The principal disadvantage of VCV is that it can produce a high peak alveolar pressure and areas of overdistention in the lungs. Also, because the inspiratory flow is fixed, VCV can cause patient-ventilator asynchrony, particularly if the inspiratory flow is set too low. With VCV, the set flow can be constant or a descending ramp. A descending ramp flow pattern produces a longer inspiratory time unless the peak flow is increased.

With pressure control ventilation (PCV) (Figure 22–6), the airway pressure is set and remains constant despite changes in resistance and compliance. Box 22–5 lists factors that affect the tidal volume with PCV. The principal advantage of PCV is that it prevents...
localized alveolar overdistention with changes in resistance and compliance; the peak alveolar pressure cannot be greater than the pressure set on the ventilator. Because the flow can vary with PCV, this mode may improve patient–ventilator synchrony.\textsuperscript{35,36} The choice of VCV or PCV often is determined by clinician or institutional bias, and both modes have advantages and disadvantages (Table 22–1).\textsuperscript{37}

**BOX 22–4**

Factors That Affect Peak Inspiratory Pressure (PIP) with Volume Control Ventilation

- **Peak inspiratory flow setting:** A higher flow setting increases the PIP.
- **Inspiratory flow pattern:** PIP is lower with descending ramp flow.
- **Positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP):** An increase in PEEP increases the PIP.
- **Auto-PEEP:** Auto-PEEP increases the PIP.
- **Tidal volume (Vt):** An increase in Vt results in a higher PIP.
- **Resistance:** Greater airways resistance results in a higher PIP.
- **Compliance:** Decreased compliance results in a higher PIP.

**BOX 22–5**

Factors That Affect Tidal Volume (Vt) with Pressure Control Ventilation

- **Driving pressure:** A higher driving pressure (difference between peak inspiratory pressure and PEEP) increases the Vt.
- **Auto-PEEP:** An increase in auto-PEEP reduces the Vt.
- **Inspiratory time:** An increase in inspiratory time increases the Vt if inspiratory flow is present; after flow decreases to zero, further increases in the time do not affect the Vt.
- **Compliance:** Decreased compliance decreases the Vt.
- **Resistance:** Increased resistance decreases the Vt; after flow decreases to zero, resistance no longer affects the delivered Vt.
- **Patient effort:** Greater inspiratory effort by the patient increases the Vt.

**FIGURE 22–6** Pressure control ventilation.
**Ventilator Mode**

Options for breath delivery are referred to as *modes of ventilation*. Traditional modes include continuous mandatory ventilation (CMV), also called assist/control (A/C), synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation (SIMV), and pressure support ventilation (PSV). The choice of mode often is based on institutional policy or the clinician’s bias. No one mode is clearly superior; each has its advantages and disadvantages (Table 22-2).

Continuous mandatory ventilation (CMV) (or assist/control ventilation) delivers a set volume or pressure and a minimum respiratory rate (Figure 22-7). The patient can trigger additional breaths above the minimum rate, but the set volume or pressure remains constant. When mechanical ventilation is begun, it often is best to use CMV (assist/control) to produce nearly complete respiratory muscle rest (i.e., full ventilatory support). Regardless of the mode used, the goal is to strike a balance between excessive respiratory muscle rest, which promotes atrophy, and excessive respiratory muscle activity, which promotes fatigue—or, put more simply, to avoid the extremes of too much rest and too much exercise.

Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) is a spontaneous breathing mode (Figure 22-8). The airway pressure is usually but not necessarily greater than atmospheric pressure. CPAP is commonly used as a means of maintaining alveolar recruitment in mild to moderate forms of pulmonary edema and parenchymal lung injury. CPAP often is used to evaluate a patient’s ability to breathe spontaneously before extubation.

Pressure support ventilation (PSV) (Figure 22-9) is a spontaneous breathing mode in which patient effort is augmented by a clinician-determined level of pressure during inspiration. Although the clinician sets the level of pressure support, the patient sets the respiratory rate, inspiratory flow, and inspiratory time. The Vt is determined by the level of pressure support, the amount of patient effort, and the resistance and compliance of the patient’s respiratory system.

### Table 22-1  Advantages and Disadvantages of Volume-Control and Pressure Control Ventilation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Ventilation</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control ventilation</td>
<td>Constant tidal volume (VT) with changes in resistance and compliance</td>
<td>Increased plateau pressure (Pplat) with decreasing compliance (alveolar overdistention)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure control ventilation</td>
<td>Reduced risk of overdistention with changes in compliance Variable flow improves synchrony in some patients</td>
<td>Changes in VT with changes in resistance and compliance Less familiar type of ventilation for most clinicians</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**RESPIRATORY RECAP**

**Ventilator Modes**

- Continuous mandatory ventilation (CMV)
- Synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation (SIMV)
- Pressure support ventilation (PSV)
- Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP)
- Adaptive pressure control (APC)
- Adaptive support ventilation (ASV)
- Airway pressure release ventilation (APRV)
- Tube compensation (TC)
- Proportional assist ventilation (PAV)
- Neurally adjusted ventilatory assist (NAVA)
- High-frequency oscillatory ventilation (HFOV)

**FIGURE 22-7** Continuous mandatory ventilation illustrating ventilator-triggered and patient-triggered breaths.

---
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Pressure support ventilation is a frequently used mode of mechanical ventilation. However, because it is patient triggered, PSV is not an appropriate mode for patients who do not have an adequate respiratory drive. PSV normally is flow cycled, with secondary cycling mechanisms of pressure and time. Although PSV often is considered a simple mode of ventilation, it can be quite complex (Figure 22-10). First, the ventilator must recognize the patient's inspiratory effort, which depends on the ventilator's trigger sensitivity and the amount of auto-PEEP. Second, the ventilator must deliver an appropriate flow at the onset of inspiration. A flow that is too high can produce a pressure overshoot, and a flow that is too low can result in patient flow starvation and asynchrony. Third, the ventilator must appropriately cycle to the expiratory phase without the need for active exhalation.

The flow at which the ventilator cycles to the expiratory phase during PSV can be a fixed absolute flow, a flow based on the peak inspiratory flow, or a flow based on peak inspiratory flow and elapsed inspiratory time. Several studies have reported asynchrony with PSV in individuals with airflow obstruction, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). With airflow obstruction, the inspiratory flow decreases slowly during PSV, and the flow necessary to cycle may not be reached; this course of action stimulates active exhalation to pressure cycle the breath. The problem increases with higher levels of PSV and with higher levels of airflow obstruction. On newer ventilators, the termination flow can

---

TABLE 22–2 Advantages and Disadvantages of Common Modes of Mechanical Ventilation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of Ventilation</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuous mandatory ventilation (CMV)</td>
<td>Guaranteed volume (or pressure) with each breath Low patient workload if sensitivity and inspiratory flow set correctly</td>
<td>High mean airway pressure Respiratory alkalosis and auto-PEEP if patient triggers at rapid rate Respiratory muscle atrophy possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation (SIMV)</td>
<td>Lower mean airway pressure Prevents respiratory muscle atrophy</td>
<td>Asynchrony if rate set too low High work of breathing with older ventilators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure support ventilation (PSV)</td>
<td>Variable flow may improve synchrony in some patients Overcomes tube resistance Prevents respiratory muscle atrophy</td>
<td>Requires spontaneous respiratory effort Fatigue and tachypnea with PSV too low Activation of expiratory muscles with PSV too high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive pressure control</td>
<td>Ventilator maintains tidal volume with changes in respiratory system mechanics Variable flow may improve synchrony in some patients</td>
<td>Does not precisely control tidal volume Support is taken away if the patient's tidal volume consistently exceeds target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive support ventilation (ASV)</td>
<td>Ventilator adapts settings to patient's physiology</td>
<td>May not precisely control tidal volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway pressure release ventilation (APRV)</td>
<td>Allows spontaneous breathing at any time during the ventilator cycle May improve ventilation to dependent lung zones May improve oxygenation in patients with ALI or ARDS</td>
<td>May be uncomfortable for some patients May result in large tidal volumes, depending on $P_{aw}-P_{aw}$ difference May be large transpulmonary pressure swings during spontaneous breathing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube compensation (TC)</td>
<td>Overcomes resistance through artificial airway</td>
<td>Effect is usually small and may not affect patient outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportional assist ventilation (PAV)</td>
<td>Pressure applied to the airway is determined by respiratory drive and respiratory mechanics</td>
<td>Not useful with weak drive or weak respiratory muscles Clinician has little control over tidal volume or respiratory rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuromuscularally adjusted ventilatory assist (NAVA)</td>
<td>Pressure applied to the airway is determined by diaphragm activity</td>
<td>Requires insertion of special gastric tube to measure diaphragm EMG Not useful with weak respiratory drive or motor neuron disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PEEP, positive end-expiratory pressure; $P_{aw}$, high airway pressure setting; $P_{aw}$, pressure release level; ALI, acute lung injury; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; EMG, electromyogram.
be adjusted to a level appropriate for the patient (Figure 22–11).

Another concern with PSV is leaks in the system, such as with a bronchopleural fistula, uncuffed airway, or mask leak with noninvasive ventilation. If the leak exceeds the termination flow at which the ventilator cycles, either active exhalation occurs to terminate inspiration, or a prolonged inspiratory time is applied. With a leak, either PCV or a ventilator that allows an adjustable termination flow should be used. Another option is to...
set a maximum inspiratory time during PSV such that the breath can be time cycled at a clinician-determined setting. This secondary cycle typically has been fixed at a prolonged time to prevent untoward effects of long inspiratory times. Some new ventilators allow both the flow cycle and time cycle to be set.

The flow at the onset of the inspiratory phase may also be important during PCV or PSV. This is called rise time and refers to the time required for the ventilator to reach the set pressure at the onset of inspiration. Flows that are too high or too low at the onset of inspiration can cause asynchrony. Most ventilators allow adjustment of the rise time during PSV (Figure 22–12). The rise time should be adjusted to the patient’s comfort, and ventilator graphics may be useful as a guide to this setting. However, a high inspiratory flow at the onset of inspiration may not be beneficial. If the flow is higher at the onset of inspiration, the inspiratory phase may be prematurely terminated during PSV if the ventilator cycles to the expiratory phase at a flow that is a fraction of the peak inspiratory flow.

Sleep fragmentation may be more likely during PSV than during CMV because there is no backup rate. Central apnea during PSV results in an alarm, which awakens the patient. The pattern of awakening and breathing with sleeping and apnea results in periodic breathing and sleep disruption. This complication of PSV can be addressed by switching to CMV or by using a lower level of pressure support. With CMV, there is a minimum respiratory rate set. With a lower level of pressure support, PaCO₂ will likely be greater, and the associated respiratory drive will decrease the risk of apnea.
Synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation (SIMV) provides mandatory breaths that are interspersed with spontaneous breaths. The mandatory breaths are delivered at the set rate, and the spontaneous breaths may be pressure supported. The intent is to provide respiratory muscle rest during mandatory breaths and respiratory muscle exercise with the intervening breaths. However, it has been shown that considerable inspiratory effort occurs with both the mandatory breaths and the intervening spontaneous breaths. As the level of SIMV support is reduced, the work of breathing increases for both mandatory and spontaneous breaths. This effect can be ameliorated with the addition of pressure support, which results in unloading of both mandatory and spontaneous breaths. On newer ventilators, a volume feedback mechanism for pressure-controlled or pressure-supported breaths exists. This is called adaptive pressure control. The desired tidal volume is set on the ventilator, but the breath type is actually pressure control or pressure support. The ventilator then adjusts the inspiratory
pressure to deliver the set minimal target tidal volume (Figure 22–16). If tidal volume increases, the machine decreases the inspiratory pressure, and if tidal volume decreases, the machine increases the inspiratory pressure. This mode goes by the following names: pressure regulated volume control (Maquet Servo-i), AutoFlow (Dräger), adaptive pressure ventilation (Hamilton Galileo), volume control plus (Puritan Bennett), and volume-targeted pressure control or pressure controlled volume guaranteed (General Electric). Volume support is a volume feedback mode in which the breath type is only pressure support.50

Because breath delivery during these volume feedback modes is pressure controlled, tidal volume will vary with changes in respiratory system compliance, airway resistance, and patient effort. If changes in lung mechanics cause the tidal volume to change, the ventilator adjusts the pressure setting in an attempt to restore the tidal volume. However, it is important to realize that providing a volume guarantee negates the pressure-limiting feature of a clinician-set pressure control level (i.e., worsening respiratory system mechanics will increase the applied pressure). Another potential problem with these approaches is that if the patient’s demand increases and produces a larger tidal volume, the pressure level will diminish, a change that may not be appropriate for a patient in respiratory failure.

Airway pressure release ventilation (APRV) is a time-cycled, pressure-controlled mode of ventilatory support.50 It is a modification of SIMV with an active exhalation valve that allows the patient to breathe spontaneously throughout the ventilator-imposed pressures (with or without PSV). Because APRV is often used with a long inspiratory-to-expiratory timing pattern, most of the spontaneous breaths will occur during the long lung inflation period (Figure 22–17). APRV is available under a variety of proprietary trade names: APRV (Dräger), BiLevel (Puritan Bennett), BiVent (Siemens), BiPhasic (Avea), PCV+ (Dräger), and DuoPAP (Hamilton).50 APRV uses different terminology to describe breath delivery phases. Lung inflation depends on the high airway pressure setting (P_{high}). The duration of this inflation is termed T_{high}. Oxygenation is thus heavily influenced by P_{high}, T_{high}, and Fio2. The magnitude and duration of lung deflation is determined by the pressure release level (P_{new}) and the release time (T_{new}). The ventilator-determined tidal volume is thus dependent on lung compliance, airways resistance, and the duration and timing of this pressure release maneuver. The timing and magnitude of this tidal volume coupled with the patient’s spontaneous breathing determine alveolar ventilation (Paco2). As noted earlier, T_{high} is
exchange, often with lower maximal set airway pressures than CMV, has been demonstrated with APRV. However, the end-inspiratory alveolar distention in APRV is not necessarily less than that provided during other forms of support, and it could be substantially higher, because spontaneous tidal volumes can occur while the lung is fully inflated with the APRV set pressure. Randomized controlled trials comparing APRV with other lung-protective strategies have shown no difference in outcome.

Adaptive support ventilation (ASV) automatically selects tidal volume and frequency for mandatory breaths and the tidal volume for spontaneous breaths on the basis of the respiratory system mechanics and target minute ventilation. ASV delivers pressure-controlled breaths using an adaptive scheme, in which the mechanical work of breathing is minimized. The ventilator calculates the required minute ventilation based on the patient’s ideal body weight and estimated dead space volume (2.2 mL/kg). The clinician sets a target percentage of minute ventilation that the ventilator will support; for example, higher than 100% if the patient has increased ventilatory requirements (e.g., because of sepsis or increased dead space), or less than 100% during ventilator liberation. The ventilator measures the expiratory time constant and uses this along with the estimated dead space to determine an optimal breathing frequency in terms of the work of breathing. The target tidal volume is calculated as the minute ventilation divided by the frequency, and the pressure limit is adjusted to achieve an average delivered tidal volume equal to the target. The ventilator also adjusts the inspiration-to-expiration (I:E) ratio to avoid air trapping. ASV has been shown to supply

usually much greater than T_{low}; thus, in the absence of spontaneous breathing, APRV is functionally the same as pressure-controlled inverse ratio ventilation. To sustain optimal recruitment with APRV, the greater part of the total time cycle (80% to 95%) usually occurs at P_{high}, whereas in order to minimize derecruitment, the time spent at P_{low} is brief (0.2–0.8 second in adults). If T_{low} is too short, exhalation may be incomplete and intrinsic PEEP may result.

Spontaneous breathing during APRV results from diaphragm contraction, which should result in recruitment of dependent alveoli, thus reducing shunt and improving oxygenation. The spontaneous efforts also may enhance both recruitment and cardiac filling as compared with other controlled forms of support. The long inflation phase also recruits more slowly, filling alveoli and raising mean airway pressure without increasing applied PEEP. Improved gas
reasonable ventilatory support in a variety of patients with respiratory failure. However, outcome studies in patients with acute respiratory failure comparing ASV with conventional lung-protective strategies have not been reported.

Tube compensation (TC) is designed to overcome the flow resistive work of breathing imposed by an endotracheal tube or tracheostomy tube. It measures the resistance of the artificial airway and applies a pressure proportional to that resistance. The clinician can set the fraction of tube resistance for which compensation is desired (e.g., 50% compensation rather than full compensation). Although it has been shown that TC can effectively compensate for resistance through the artificial airway, it has not been shown to improve outcome.

Proportional assist ventilation (PAV) is a positive-feedback control mode that provides ventilatory support in proportion to the neural output of the respiratory center. The ventilator monitors respiratory drive as the inspiratory flow of the patient, integrates flow to volume, measures elastance and resistance, and then calculates the pressure required from the equation of motion. Using this calculated pressure and the tidal volume, the ventilator calculates work of breathing (WoB): WoB = \( \int P \times V \). These calculations occur every 5 ms during breath delivery, and thus the applied pressure and inspiratory time vary breath by breath and within the breath (Figure 22-18). The ventilator estimates resistance and elastance (or compliance) by applying end-inspiratory and end-expiratory pause maneuvers of 300 ms every 4 to 10 seconds. The clinician adjusts the percentage of support (from 5% to 95%), which allows the work to be partitioned between the ventilator and the patient. Typically, the percentage of support is set so that the work of breathing is in the range of 0.5 to 1.0 joules per liter. If the percentage of support is high, patient work of breathing may be inappropriately low and excessive, whereas if the percentage of support is too low, patient work of breathing may be excessive.

PAV applies a pressure that will vary from breath to breath depending upon changes in the patient’s elastance, resistance, and flow demand. This differs from PSV or PCV, in which the level of applied pressure is constant regardless of demand, and from VCV, in which the level of pressure decreases when demand increases (Figure 22-19). The cycle criterion for PAV is flow and is adjustable by the clinician, similar to pressure support ventilation. PAV requires the presence of an intact ventilatory drive and a functional neuromuscular system. PAV is only available on one ventilator in the United States (PAV+, Puritan Bennett 840) and cannot be used with noninvasive ventilation because leaks prevent accurate determination of respiratory mechanics. PAV may be more comfortable compared with other modes.
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and it may be associated with better patient–ventilator synchrony and sleep.\textsuperscript{64} Whether PAV improves clinical outcomes remains to be determined.

Neurally adjusted ventilatory assist (NAVA) is triggered, limited, and cycled by the electrical activity of the diaphragm (diaphragmatic EMG). The neural drive is transformed into ventilatory output (neuro-ventilatory coupling). The diaphragmatic EMG is measured by a multiple-array esophageal electrode, which is amplified to determine the support level (NAVA gain). The cycle-off is commonly set at 80% of peak inspiratory activity. The level of assistance is adjusted in response to changes in neural drive, respiratory system mechanics, inspiratory muscle function, and behavioral influences. Because the trigger is based on diaphragmatic activity rather than pressure or flow, triggering is not adversely affected in patients with flow limitation and auto-PEEP. NAVA is only available on the Servo-i ventilator. Small clinical studies have demonstrated improved trigger and cycle synchrony with NAVA,\textsuperscript{65} but data demonstrating improved outcomes are lacking. Another concern with NAVA is the expense associated with the esophageal catheter and the invasive nature of its placement.

High-frequency oscillatory ventilation (HFOV) uses very high breathing frequencies\textsuperscript{66} (up to 900 breaths/min in the adult) coupled with very small tidal volumes to provide gas exchange in the lungs. HFOV literally vibrates a bias flow of gas delivered at the proximal end of the endotracheal tube and effects gas transport through complex nonconvective gas transport mechanisms. At the alveolar level, the substantial mean pressure functions as high-level CPAP. The potential advantages of HFOV are twofold. First, the very small alveolar pressure swings minimize overdistension and derecruitment. Second, the high mean airway pressure maintains alveolar patency and prevents derecruitment. Experience with HFOV in neonatal and pediatric respiratory failure is generally positive, but experience in the adult is limited. Its use is usually reserved for refractory hypoxemic respiratory failure. Whether its use is associated with better patient outcomes is yet to be determined.

### RESPIRATORY RECAP

**Types of Ventilator Triggering**

- **Ventilator self-triggers when a set time is reached.**
- **Patient triggers the ventilator through changes in pressure or flow.**

**Breath Triggering**

Positive pressure breaths can be either time triggered (breaths delivered according to a clinician-set rate or timer) or patient triggered (breaths triggered by either a change in circuit pressure or flow resulting from patient effort). The patient effort required to trigger the ventilator is an imposed load for the patient. **Pressure triggering** occurs because of a pressure drop in the system (Figure 22–20). The pressure level at which the ventilator is triggered is set so that the trigger effort is minimal but auto-triggering is unlikely (typically this is 1 to 2 cm H\textsubscript{2}O below the PEEP or CPAP). **Flow triggering** is an alternative to pressure triggering. With flow triggering the ventilator responds to a change in flow rather than a drop in pressure at the airway. With some ventilators, a pneumotachometer is placed between the ventilator circuit and the patient to measure inspiratory flow. In other ventilators, a background or base flow and flow sensitivity are set. When the flow in the expiratory circuit decreases by the amount of the flow sensitivity, the ventilator is triggered. For example, if the base flow is set at 10 L/min and the flow sensitivity is set at 3 L/min, the ventilator triggers when the flow in the expiratory circuit drops to 7 L/min (the assumption is that the patient has inhaled at 3 L/min). Flow triggering has been shown to reduce the work of breathing with CPAP.\textsuperscript{67} However, it may not be superior to pressure triggering with pressure-supported breaths or mandatory breaths.\textsuperscript{68} Neither pressure triggering nor flow triggering may be effective if significant auto-PEEP is present. Regardless of whether pressure triggering or flow triggering is used, the current generation of ventilators is more responsive to patient effort, and differences between pressure and flow triggering are minor.\textsuperscript{69}

![FIGURE 22–20](image-url)  
*(A) Pressure-triggered breath. (B) Flow-triggered breath.*
**Tidal Volume**

Tidal volume is selected to provide an adequate $\text{PacO}_2$, but avoid alveolar overdistention, decreased cardiac output, and auto-PEEP.\textsuperscript{70} Tidal volume is directly set in VCV but is determined by the driving pressure and inspiratory time in PCV and PSV. As noted earlier, large tidal volumes increase mortality in patients with ALI or ARDS and increase the risk of developing ALI or ARDS in patients with previously normal lungs.\textsuperscript{16–17} A tidal volume should be chosen that maintains plateau pressure ($\text{Pplat}$) below 30 cm H$_2$O (assuming a near-normal chest wall compliance), or perhaps higher if chest wall compliance is severely reduced (e.g., morbid obesity, anasarca, ascites). Tidal volume should be selected based on predicted body weight (PBW), which is determined by height and sex:

- Male patients: $\text{PBW} = 50 + 2.3 \times \left(\text{Height (inches)} - 60\right)$
- Female patients: $\text{PBW} = 45.5 + 2.3 \times \left(\text{Height (inches)} - 60\right)$

A reasonable starting point for most patients with respiratory failure is 6 mL/kg PBW.

**Respiratory Rate**

A respiratory rate is chosen to provide an acceptable minute ventilation, as follows:

$$\dot{V}_E = \frac{\dot{V}_T \times f}{f}$$

where $f$ is the respiratory rate, $\dot{V}_E$ is the minute ventilation, and $\dot{V}_T$ is the tidal volume. A rate of 15 to 25 breaths/min is used when mechanical ventilation is initiated. If a smaller tidal volume is selected to prevent alveolar overdistention, a higher respiratory rate may be required (25 to 35 breaths/min). The respiratory rate may be limited by the development of auto-PEEP. The minute ventilation that produces a normal $\text{PacO}_2$ without risk for lung injury or auto-PEEP may not be possible, and the $\text{PacO}_2$ thus is allowed to increase (permissive hypercapnia).

**Inspiratory Time**

For patient-triggered mandatory breaths, the inspiratory time should be short (1.5 seconds or less) to improve ventilator–patient synchrony. A shorter inspiratory time requires a higher inspiratory flow, which increases the peak inspiratory pressure (PIP) but does not greatly affect the $\text{Pplat}$. Increasing the inspiratory time increases the mean airway pressure ($\text{Paw}$), which may improve oxygenation in some patients with ARDS. When long inspiratory times are used (over 1.5 seconds) and spontaneous breaths are not permitted, paralysis or sedation (or both) often is required. Long inspiratory times also can cause auto-PEEP and may result in hemodynamic instability because of the elevated $\text{Paw}$ or the auto-PEEP. Although inverse ratio ventilation has been advocated to improve oxygenation, unless it is coupled with the ability to spontaneously breathe (see the discussion of APRV earlier in this chapter), this extreme (and potentially hazardous) form of ventilation is seldom necessary to achieve adequate oxygenation.

The I:E ratio is the relationship between inspiratory time and expiratory time. For example, an inspiratory time of 2 seconds with an expiratory time of 4 seconds produces an I:E ratio of 1:2 and a respiratory rate of 10 breaths/min. With VCV, the peak inspiratory flow, flow pattern, and tidal volume are the principal determinants of inspiratory time and the I:E ratio. With PCV, the inspiratory time, I:E ratio, or percentage inspiratory time are set directly. In both VCV and PCV, the principal determinant of expiratory time is the respiratory rate.

**Inspiratory Flow Pattern**

For VCV, the inspiratory flow pattern can be constant or descending ramp. For the same inspiratory time, the PIP is greater with constant flow than with descending ramp flow; the $\text{Paw}$ is greater with ramp flow than with constant flow; and gas distribution is better with a descending ramp flow pattern. Because the flow is greater at the beginning of inspiration, patient–ventilator synchrony may be better with a descending ramp flow pattern. Although the choice of flow pattern often is based on clinician bias or the capabilities of a specific ventilator, descending ramp flow may be desirable compared with other inspiratory flow patterns. An end-inspiratory pause can be set to improve distribution of ventilation, but this prolongs inspiration and may have a deleterious effect on hemodynamics and auto-PEEP.

The inspiratory flow decreases exponentially with PCV and PSV. The peak flow and rate of flow decrease depend on the driving pressure, airways resistance, lung compliance, and patient effort. With high resistance, flow decreases slowly. With a low compliance and long inspiratory time, flow decreases more rapidly, and a period of zero flow may be present at end-inhalation (Figure 22–21).

**Positive End-Expiratory Pressure**

Because critical care patients are often immobile and supine, with compromised cough ability, it is common to use low-level PEEP (3 to 5 cm H$_2$O) with all mechanically ventilated patients to prevent atelectasis. In patients with ALI or ARDS, more substantial levels of PEEP may be required to maintain alveolar recruitment. An appropriate PEEP level to maintain alveolar recruitment is...
and low compliance (A), and high resistance and high compliance (B).

**Figure 22–22** Trigger effort is increased when auto-PEEP is present. To trigger the ventilator, the patient’s effort must first overcome the level of auto-PEEP that is present. Increasing the set PEEP level may raise the trigger level closer to the total PEEP, thus improving the ability of the patient to trigger the ventilator. However, this method should not be used if raising the set PEEP level results in an increase in the total PEEP.

**Respiratory Recap**

**Uses of Positive End-Expiratory Pressure**

- Maintain alveolar recruitment
- Counterbalance auto-PEEP
- Reduce preload and afterload
- Pneumatic splitting of the airway
- Facilitation of leak speech

Also part of a lung-protective strategy, PEEP should be used cautiously in patients with unilateral disease, because it may overdistend the more compliant lung, causing shunting of blood to the less compliant lung.

PEEP also may be useful to improve triggering by patients experiencing auto-PEEP. Auto-PEEP functions as a threshold pressure that must be overcome before the pressure (or flow) decreases at the airway to trigger the ventilator. Increasing the set PEEP to a level near the auto-PEEP may improve the patient’s ability to trigger the ventilator (Figure 22–22). Whenever PEEP is used to overcome the effect of auto-PEEP on triggering,PIP and Pplat must be monitored to ensure that increasing the set PEEP does not contribute to further hyperinflation.

Other uses of PEEP include preload and afterload reduction in the setting of left heart failure, pneumatic splitting in the setting of airway malacia, and facilitation of leak speech with cuff deflation in patients with a tracheostomy.

**Mean Airway Pressure**

Across all modes, oxygenation and cardiac effects of mechanical ventilation often correlate best with the mean airway pressure (Paw). Indeed, Paw is a key component of the oxygenation index (OI = 100 × [Paw × Fio₂]/Pao₂) that often is used as a more accurate reflection of gas transport impairment. Factors that affect the Paw during mechanical ventilation are the PIP, PEEP, I:E ratio, respiratory rate, and inspiratory flow pattern. Most patients can be managed with mean P values less than 15 to 20 cm H₂O.

**Recruitment Maneuvers**

A recruitment maneuver (RM) is an intentional transient increase in transpulmonary pressure to promote reopening of unstable collapsed alveoli and thereby improve gas exchange. However, although use of the maneuver is physiologically reasonable, there have been no randomized controlled trials demonstrating an outcome benefit from this improvement in gas exchange. RMs are probably best reserved for the setting of refractory hypoxemia in patients with ARDS. A variety of techniques have been described as recruitment maneuvers (Table 22–3). It is uncertain whether any one approach is superior to the others. After performing an RM, it is important to set PEEP to a level that retains recruitment. If the lungs are already maximally recruited as the result of PEEP, the benefits of an RM are likely minimal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recruitment Maneuver</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sustained high-pressure inflation</td>
<td>Sustained inflation delivered by increasing PEEP to 30–50 cm H₂O for 20–40 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermittent sigh</td>
<td>Periodic sighs with a tidal volume reaching Pplat of 45 cm H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended sigh</td>
<td>Stepwise increase in PEEP by 5 cm H₂O with a simultaneous stepwise decrease in tidal volume over 2 minutes leading to a CPAP level of 30 cm H₂O for 30 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermittent PEEP increase</td>
<td>Intermittent increase in PEEP from baseline to higher level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure control + PEEP</td>
<td>Pressure control ventilation of 10–15 cm H₂O with PEEP of 25–30 cm H₂O; peak inspiratory pressure of 40–45 cm H₂O for 2 minutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Importantly, an RM can produce injury in the form of hemodynamic compromise and barotrauma.

**Inspired Oxygen Concentration**

An FIO₂ of 1.0 is commonly used when mechanical ventilation is initiated. Pulse oximetry (SPO₂) is useful to guide titration of the FIO₂ (and PEEP) provided periodic blood gas measurements are obtained to confirm the pulse oximetry results. A target SPO₂ of 88% or higher usually provides a partial pressure of arterial oxygen (Pao₂) of 60 mm Hg or higher. Although it is common practice to wait 20 to 30 minutes after the FIO₂ is changed before arterial blood gas measurements are obtained, 10 minutes may be adequate unless the patient has obstructive lung disease, which requires a longer equilibration time.

**Sigh**

Some ventilators are capable of providing periodic sigh volumes. The rationale for use of sighs is that the periodic hyperinflation reduces the risk of atelectasis. Indeed, a sigh is actually a very brief RM. For many years the use of sighs during mechanical ventilation was not considered important. However, several studies of patients with ARDS have reported improved alveolar recruitment with the use of sighs.

**Alarms**

It is particularly important that all alarms be correctly set on the ventilator. The most important alarm is the patient-disconnect alarm, which can be a low pressure alarm or a low exhaled volume alarm (or both). A sensitive alarm should detect not only disconnection but also leaks in the system. The ability to detect a leak depends on the site where the volume is measured. Other alarms set on the ventilator include those for high pressure, I:E ratio, Fio₂, and loss of PEEP. To detect changes in resistance and compliance, the peak airway pressure alarm is important with VCV, and the low exhaled volume alarm with PCV or PSV.

**Circuit**

Because of the gas compression in the ventilator circuit and the compliance of the ventilator circuit tubing, as much as 3 to 5 mL/cm H₂O can be compressed in the ventilator circuit. In other words, at an airway pressure of 25 cm H₂O above PEEP, about 100 mL of the gas delivered from the ventilator is not delivered to the patient. If the ventilator is set to deliver 500 mL, only 400 mL is delivered to the patient. For patients ventilated with a small tidal volume, the compressible gas volume can greatly affect alveolar ventilation. Some ventilators adjust for the effects of compressible volume such that the volume chosen by the clinician is the actual delivered Vt after correction for the effect of compressible volume.

**Humidification**

Because the function of the upper airway is bypassed when endotracheal and tracheostomy tubes are used, the inspired gas must be filtered, warmed, and humidified before delivery to the patient. All ventilator circuits include a filter in the inspiratory limb and an active or passive humidifier. An active humidifier typically humidifies the inspired gas by passing it over or bubbling it through a heated water bath. When an active humidifier is used, the ventilator circuit may be heated to prevent excessive condensation in the circuit. A passive humidifier uses an artificial nose (heat and moisture exchanger) to collect heat and humidity from the patient’s exhaled gas and returns that to the patient on the next inhalation. Regardless of the humidification technique used, condensation should be seen in the inspiratory ventilator circuit or the proximal endotracheal tube or both, which indicates that the inspired gas is fully saturated with water vapor.
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EQUATION 22–1

Effects of Compressible Volume

The effect of compressible volume on the delivered tidal volume (VT) can be expressed as follows:

\[ VT_{pt} = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{Cp}{Crs}\right)} \times VT_{vent} \]

where:
- \( VT_{pt} \) = Tidal volume delivered to the patient
- \( Cpc \) = Compliance of the respiratory system
- \( Crs \) = Compliance of the ventilator circuit
- \( VT_{vent} \) = Tidal volume from the ventilator circuit

The effect of compressible volume on auto-PEEP (positive end-expiratory pressure) can be expressed as follows:

\[ \text{Auto-PEEP} = \frac{Crs + Cpc}{Crs} \times \text{Measured } P_{plat} \]

where auto-PEEP is the patient’s actual auto-PEEP (positive end-expiratory pressure).

The effect of compressible volume on the Pplat (plateau pressure) can be expressed as follows:

\[ P_{plat} = \frac{Crs + Cpc}{Crs} \times \text{Measured auto-PEEP} \]

where Pplat is the patient’s actual plateau pressure.

The effect of compressible volume on the mixed exhaled partial pressure of carbon dioxide (\( P\text{CO}_2 \)) can be expressed as follows:

\[ P\text{CO}_2 = P\text{CO}_2_{(vent)} \times \frac{VT_{vent}}{VT_{pt}} \]

where:
- \( P\text{CO}_2 \) =Patient’s actual \( P\text{CO}_2 \)
- \( P\text{CO}_2_{(vent)} \) = \( P\text{CO}_2 \) from the ventilator circuit
- \( VT_{vent} \) = Tidal volume from the ventilator circuit
- \( VT_{pt} \) = Tidal volume delivered to the patient

Monitoring the Mechanically Ventilated Patient

It is important to monitor the function of the mechanical ventilator frequently, including checking the ventilator settings and alarm systems, the humidifier and circuitry, and the patient’s airway.

Physical Assessment

Asymmetric chest motion may indicate main stem (endobronchial) intubation, pneumothorax, or atelectasis. Paradoxical chest motion may be seen with flail chest or respiratory muscle dysfunction. Retractions may occur if the inspiratory flow or sensitivity is inappropriately set or if the airway is obstructed. If the patient is not breathing in synchrony with the ventilator (i.e., is bucking the ventilator), the settings on the ventilator may not be appropriate or the patient may need sedation or analgesia or both. A patient respiratory rate greater than the trigger rate on the ventilator may indicate the presence of auto-PEEP compromising triggering. In conjunction with inspection, the chest can be palpated to assess the symmetry of chest movement. Palpation of the tracheal position can help detect pneumothorax. Crepitation indicates subcutaneous emphysema. Percussion can be useful in the detection of unilateral hyperresonance or tympany with a pneumothorax. Unilateral decreased breath sounds may indicate bronchial intubation, pneumothorax, atelectasis, or pleural effusion. An end-inspiratory squeak over the trachea usually indicates insufficient air in the artificial airway cuff.

Blood Gas Measurements

The earliest indicators of hypoxemia often are changes in the patient’s clinical status (e.g., restlessness and confusion, changes in level of consciousness, tachycardia or bradycardia, changes in blood pressure, tachypnea, bucking the ventilator, cyanosis). The most commonly used assessment of oxygenation is the partial pressure of arterial oxygen. A low Pao2 indicates hypoxemia.
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and a dysfunction in the lungs’ ability to oxygenate arterial blood. The PaO₂ must always be interpreted in relation to the FiO₂ (and often the mean airway pressure). In mechanically ventilated patients, a number of factors can affect the PaO₂ such as a change in the FiO₂, the PEEP level, or the patient’s lung function (Figure 22–24).

The mixed venous oxygenation (Pv–o₂ or Sv–o₂) is a better indicator of tissue oxygenation. A Pv–o₂ less than 35 mm Hg (or Sv–o₂ less than 70%) indicates tissue hypoxia. The Paco₂ is determined by carbon dioxide production (Vco₂) and the alveolar ventilation (VA). If the Vco₂ is constant, the Paco₂ varies inversely with the VA. The minute ventilation (VE) affects the Paco₂ indirectly because of the relationship between the VE and the VA. An increase in the VE decreases the Paco₂, and a decrease in the VE increases the Paco₂. This is illustrated by the following relationship:

\[
\text{Paco}_2 = \frac{(\text{Vco}_2 \times 0.863)}{\left(\text{VE} \times [1 - \text{VD}/\text{VT}]\right)}
\]

where Paco₂ is the partial pressure of arterial carbon dioxide, Vco₂ is carbon dioxide production, VE is minute ventilation, and Vd/Vt is the ratio of dead space to tidal volume. Figure 22–25 shows the factors that determine the Paco₂ during mechanical ventilation.

The use of noninvasive monitors may reduce the need for arterial blood gas determinations, because they allow continuous assessment between blood gas measurements. Pulse oximetry can be used to titrate an appropriate FiO₂ and PEEP. Continuous pulse oximetry has become the standard of care in mechanically ventilated patients. End-tidal Pco₂ is used to monitor carbon dioxide levels noninvasively. In patients with normal lungs, end-tidal Pco₂ closely approximates the Paco₂. However, in patients with an elevated Vd/Vt, there can be a large and inconsistent gradient between the Paco₂ and the end-tidal Pco₂. For this reason, monitoring end-tidal Pco₂ is of limited value for the assessment of the PaO₂ during mechanical ventilation. End-tidal Pco₂ is useful to differentiate tracheal intubation from esophageal intubation.

### Lung Mechanics

Monitoring of the peak pressure, Pplat, and auto-PEEP is particularly important. Pplat is measured by application of an end-inspiratory pause of 0.5 to 1.5 seconds, and auto-PEEP is determined by application of an end-expiratory pause of 0.5 to 1.5 seconds (Figure 22–26). During PCV the inspiratory flow often decreases to a no-flow period at end-inspiration. In this case, the peak pressure and Pplat are equivalent. Both Pplat and auto-PEEP can be accurately measured only when the patient is not exerting effort.

To avoid overdistention and lung injury, the goal is to maintain Pplat below 30 cm H₂O (and lower if possible). To assist in this and to minimize unnecessary cardiac effects and triggering difficulties, auto-PEEP should be as low as possible, preferably zero. Importantly, these circuit measurements of respiratory system pressures all assume normal chest wall compliance in order for them to be a reasonable estimate of transpulmonary pressures (i.e., a normal chest wall compliance will have little effect on the measured airway pressures). In the setting of abnormal, very low chest wall compliance (e.g., obesity, ascites), these airway pressure
mechanical ventilation measurements may be profoundly affected by chest wall stiffness and these effects need to be subtracted from the airway pressure to determine true transpulmonary pressure. This can be done directly with an esophageal pressure measurement or estimated by an experienced clinician. The use of esophageal pressures for both estimating true transpulmonary pressures and assessing the triggering loads from auto-PEEP is described in more detail next.

Auto-PEEP has other manifestations that can be monitored. The patient’s breathing pattern can be observed; if exhalation is still occurring when the next breath is delivered, auto-PEEP is present. Inspiratory efforts that do not trigger the ventilator suggest the presence of auto-PEEP. From the flow graphics on the ventilator, it can be observed that expiratory flow does not return to zero before the subsequent breath is delivered when auto-PEEP is present.

The inflation pressure–volume (PV) curve of the respiratory system can be used to set the ventilator. For patients with ARDS, the PV curve is sigmoidal (Figure 22–27). A lower inflection point presumably represents the pressure at which a large number of alveoli are recruited, or opened. An upper inflection point presumably represents the pressure at which a large number of alveoli are overdistended. Therefore, it would seem reasonable to set the PEEP above the lower inflection point and the Pplat below the upper inflection point. Because there is hysteresis in the PV curve (i.e., it is shifted leftward during deflation), some argue that the ideal PEEP setting should be determined during the deflation phase of the PV plot.

The traditional PV curve is measured as a series of plateau pressures during small incremental changes in inspiratory and expiratory volumes from a super syringe (Figure 22–28). The inflation PV curve can also be measured when a slow (e.g., 5 to 10 L/min), constant flow is set on the ventilator and the ventilator display of the PV curve is observed. This slow flow effectively eliminates the effects of resistance on the pressure measurement. The role of the PV curve in setting the ventilator currently is unclear. Although its use is physiologically attractive, more experience is needed with these measurements before the PV curve can be recommended for routine use in setting the ventilator.

The stress index is a method to assess the level of PEEP to avoid overdistension and underrecruitment (Figure 22–29). This approach uses the shape of the pressure–time curve during constant-flow tidal volume delivery. If the compliance is worsening as the lungs are inflated (upward concavity, stress index > 1), this suggests overdistension, and the recommendation is to decrease PEEP or tidal volume. If the compliance is improving as the lungs are inflated (downward concavity, stress index < 1), this suggests further potential for recruitment, and the recommendation is to increase PEEP. The ideal stress index is 1, in which there is a linear increase in pressure with constant-flow inflation of the lungs.

Esophageal pressure is measured from a thin-walled balloon, which contains a small volume of air (<1 mL), placed into the lower esophagus (Figure 22–30). Esophageal pressure changes reflect changes in pleural pressure, but the absolute esophageal pressure does not reflect absolute pleural pressure. Changes in esophageal pressure can be used to assess respiratory effort and patient work of breathing during spontaneous breathing and patient-triggered modes of ventilation, to assess chest wall compliance during full ventilatory support, and to assess auto-PEEP during spontaneous breathing.
and patient-triggered modes of ventilation. If exhalation is passive, the change in esophageal (i.e., pleural) pressure required to reverse flow at the proximal airway (i.e., to trigger the ventilator) reflects the amount of auto-PEEP. Negative esophageal pressure changes that produce no flow at the airway indicate failed trigger efforts; in other words, the patient’s inspiratory efforts are insufficient to overcome the level of auto-PEEP and trigger the ventilator (Figure 22–31). Clinically, this is recognized as a patient respiratory rate (observed by inspecting chest wall movement) that is greater than the trigger rate on the ventilator.

The increase in esophageal pressure (ΔPes) during passive inflation of the lungs can be used to calculate chest wall compliance (Figure 22–32):

\[ C_{cw} = \frac{V_t}{\Delta Pes} \]
Changes in esophageal pressure, relative to changes in alveolar pressure, can be used to calculate transpulmonary pressure (lung stress). This may allow more precise setting of tidal volume (and Pplat) in patients with reduced chest wall compliance. In this case, transpulmonary pressure (difference between Pplat and Pes) is targeted at less than 27 cm H₂O.

The use of an esophageal balloon has been advocated to allow more precise setting of PEEP. If pleural pressure is high relative to alveolar pressure (i.e., PEEP), then there may be a potential for derecruitment. With this approach, PEEP is increased until the transpulmonary pressure is positive (i.e., PEEP is greater than esophageal pressure) (Figure 22–33). This is most likely with a decrease in chest wall compliance, such as occurs with abdominal compartment syndrome, pleural effusion, or obesity. In this case, it is desirable to keep PEEP greater than pleural pressure. Unfortunately, artifacts in esophageal pressure, especially in supine critically ill patients, make it very difficult to measure absolute pleural pressure accurately. In patients with abdominal compartment syndrome, bladder pressure may be useful to assess intra-abdominal pressure, the potential collapsing effect on the lungs, and the amount of PEEP necessary to counterbalance this effect.

**Figure 22–32** Calculation of chest wall compliance. The esophageal pressure increases by 5 cm H₂O with a tidal volume of 350 mL in a passively ventilated patient.

**Figure 22–33** Airway and esophageal pressures in a passively ventilated patient. In this case, the transpulmonary pressure during exhalation is positive because PEEP is greater than the esophageal pressure.
Hemodynamics

Because positive pressure ventilation can affect cardiac function, it is important to assess hemodynamics during mechanical ventilation. At a minimum, the arterial blood pressure and heart rate should be measured frequently. When the high airway pressures needed to support oxygenation adversely affect cardiac performance, hemodynamics may need to be supported with fluid, inotropes, and pressors. The role of the pulmonary artery catheter in mechanical ventilation is unclear, and its use has declined in recent years.

It is important to appreciate the effect of positive pressure ventilation on hemodynamic assessments. During positive pressure ventilation, pleural pressure increases during inhalation by an amount determined by lung compliance and chest wall compliance:

\[ \Delta P_{pl}/\Delta P_{plat} = C_l/(C_l + C_{cw}) \]

where \( \Delta P_{pl} \) is the change in pleural pressure, \( \Delta P_{plat} \) is the change in alveolar pressure, \( C_l \) is lung compliance, and \( C_{cw} \) is chest wall compliance. By convention, hemodynamic measurements are made at end-expiration (i.e., when transpulmonary pressures are lowest) to account for the respiratory variation in pleural pressure. At end-exhalation, measurements such as the pulmonary artery wedge pressure, pulmonary artery pressure, and central venous pressure are affected by the amount of PEEP transmitted to the pleural space, which is determined by lung compliance and chest wall compliance. In patients with normal chest wall compliance (over 150 mL/cm H2O) and decreased lung compliance (under 50 mL/cm H2O), less than one-fourth of the alveolar pressure is transmitted to the pleural space.

In addition to esophageal pressure measurements, changes in the pleural pressure can also be estimated through observation of the respiratory variation in the thoracic vascular catheter pressure measurements (i.e., the central venous pressure, pulmonary artery pressure, and pulmonary artery occlusion pressure). With a stiff chest wall, the esophageal pressure or vascular pressure shows greater fluctuation during the respiratory cycle, and greater effects of positive pressure ventilation on hemodynamics can be expected.

**Patient-Ventilator Interactions: Synchrony and Asynchrony**

During any patient-triggered breath, the patient’s effort must interact with the ventilator’s gas delivery algorithm. These interactions are considered synchronous when ventilator flow is in phase with patient effort. In contrast, asynchrony interactions occur when these processes are out of phase. As its worse, asynchrony appears as if the patient is fighting or bucking the ventilator. However, asynchrony often is much more subtle. Failure of the patient to breathe in synchrony with the ventilator decreases patient comfort and increases both the work of breathing and the oxygen cost of breathing. Asynchrony often leads to increased sedation needs and has been associated with longer time on mechanical ventilation.

Asynchrony can be categorized as trigger asynchrony, flow asynchrony, cycle asynchrony, and mode asynchrony. Trigger asynchrony occurs when the patient has difficulty triggering the ventilator or the ventilator auto-triggers. The ventilator trigger sensitivity should be as sensitive as possible without causing auto-triggering. Inability of the patient to trigger can be caused by an insensitive trigger setting on the ventilator, which can be corrected by reduction of the pressure or flow required for the patient to trigger the ventilator. Inability to trigger also can be due to respiratory muscle weakness. Perhaps the most common cause of failure to trigger is auto-PEEP in patients with obstructive airway disease. As noted earlier, auto-PEEP can be reduced by lowering minute ventilation, shortening the I:E ratio, or reducing airway obstruction through administration of...
bronchodilators and clearing of secretions. Using PEEP to counterbalance auto-PEEP and thus reduce the triggering load can be effective for patients with COPD, but this technique is not effective if the auto-PEEP is primarily the result of a high minute ventilation and insufficient expiratory time. Whenever PEEP is used to counterbalance auto-PEEP, care must be taken to avoid hyperinflation with the PEEP. When the attempt is to counterbalance auto-PEEP with PEEP, the clinician should monitor the peak inspiratory pressure as PEEP is increased. If the PIP rises above the desired threshold or increases by a value greater than the increase in PEEP, overdistention should be suspected.

Another form of trigger asynchrony is auto-triggering. Auto-triggering causes the ventilator to trigger in response to an artifact. One artifact that can produce auto-triggering is cardiac oscillations. This is addressed by adjusting the trigger sensitivity. Other causes of auto-triggering include excessive water condensation in the ventilator circuit and leaks in the circuit. These causes of auto-triggering are addressed by draining the circuit and correcting the leak.

Flow asynchrony occurs when the ventilator does not meet the patient’s inspiratory flow demand. Lack of synchrony can be detected by evaluating the airway pressure waveform. With asynchrony, the pressure waveform with each breath differs from every other, and there is breath-to-breath variability in the peak airway pressure (Figure 22–35). A good way to detect asynchrony is to compare patient-triggered breaths with a breath delivered via the manual breath control. Comparing the shape of the mandatory and spontaneous breaths on the pressure–time waveform can demonstrate the effects of patient effort (i.e., a vigorous

FIGURE 22–35 (A) The inspiratory effort of the patient is not met by fixed flow from the ventilator during pressure control ventilation. The dashed line represents the airway pressure curve that would result from passive inflation, and the shaded area represents the work done by the patient against the insufficient flow from the ventilator. (B) When the flow setting of the ventilator is increased, the patient is more synchronous with the ventilator.
patient effort literally sucks the airway pressure graphic downward). Clinical signs of asynchrony include tachypnea, retractions, and chest-abdominal paradox. Flow asynchrony can be corrected by an increase in the inspiratory flow or change in the inspiratory flow pattern during VCV, by increasing the pressure support, or by an increase in the pressure setting or the rise time setting during PCV or PSV. However, asynchrony can also occur with PCV (Figure 22–36). For patients who have a high respiratory drive because of anxiety or pain, flow asynchrony may be improved by appropriate use of sedation or analgesia.35

Cycle asynchrony occurs when the neural inspiratory time of the patient does not match the inspiratory time setting on the ventilator. If the inspiratory time is too short, the patient might double-trigger the ventilator (Figure 22–37). During volume control ventilation, this can cause breath stacking, such that the patient is effectively receiving a tidal volume twice what is set. If the inspiratory time is too long, the patient will actively exhale against the ventilator-delivered breath. Cycle asynchrony can occur during PSV in patients with obstructive lung disease or when a leak is present. Cycle asynchrony during PSV can be corrected by lowering the pressure support level, by an increase in the termination flow setting on newer-generation ventilators, or by use of pressure control instead of pressure support (pressure control causes inspiration to be time cycled rather than flow cycled). Cycle asynchrony is recognized as activation of the expiratory (abdominal) muscles during the inspiratory phase; this can be detected clinically by palpation of the patient’s abdomen. Cycle asynchrony can also be detected by observation of the ventilator graphics (Figure 22–38).

Mode asynchrony occurs when the ventilator delivers different breath types. With SIMV, for example, some breath types are mandatory and others are spontaneous. Because the patient’s respiratory center cannot adapt to varying breath types, asynchrony can develop between the patient and the ventilator. Another form of mode asynchrony occurs with adaptive pressure control, in which the ventilator reduces support when the patient’s efforts result in a tidal volume that exceeds the set tidal volume.99

Sedation

Anxiety is a common cause of failure to breathe in synchrony with the ventilator. In these cases pharmacologic support may be necessary in the form of analgesics (narcotics), sedatives (benzodiazepines), or (rarely) paralyzing agents. When short-term sedation is necessary to bring a patient into synchrony with the ventilator, propofol may be useful. When ventilation requires long inspiratory times and high airway pressures, pharmacologic control of the patient’s breathing is almost always necessary.


FIGURE 22–38 Airway pressure and flow waveforms illustrating active exhalation during pressure support ventilation. Note that the flow does not decelerate to the flow termination criterion of the ventilator (5 L/min for this specific ventilator). Also note the presence of a pressure spike at the end of each inspiration, indicating that the ventilator is pressure cycling rather than flow cycling. Modified from Branson RD. Modes of ventilator operation. In: Macknytre NR, Branson RD, eds. Mechanical Ventilation. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 2000. Copyright Elsevier 2000.
It must be remembered that all forms of respiratory suppression are associated with adverse side effects. It is most important that disconnect alarms be properly set when the patient’s ability to breathe spontaneously is pharmacologically suppressed. Significant problems with pharmacologic suppression of respiration have been reported, such as long-term respiratory muscle weakness after use of paralyzing agents during mechanical ventilation. It has been shown that assessment of the patient’s response to a daily trial of sedation cessation significantly reduces the days of mechanical ventilation. This suggests that many mechanically ventilated patients are excessively sedated and that this excessive sedation prolongs the course of mechanical ventilation.

Choosing Ventilator Settings for Different Forms of Respiratory Failure

**ALI and ARDS (Parenchymal Lung Injury)**

With ALI and ARDS, lung compliance is low and lung volume is decreased. It is important to realize, however, that there are often marked regional differences in the degree of alveolar involvement. Whereas some alveoli may be collapsed or consolidated, others may be hyperinflated and others may be normal. The tidal volume will preferentially go to the regions with the more normal mechanics. A normal tidal volume may thus be distributed preferentially to the healthier regions of the lungs, resulting in potential for regional overdistention injury. Parenchymal injury can also affect the airways, which can contribute to reduced regional ventilation to injured lung units. Gas exchange abnormalities with ALI and ARDS are a consequence of alveolar flooding and/or collapse, resulting in V/Q mismatching and shunts. The low-V/Q regions result in hypoxemia, and the high-V/Q regions result in increased dead space and hypercarbia.

Frequency–tidal volume settings for ALI and ARDS focus on limiting end-inspiratory alveolar stretch, which has been shown to improve patient outcomes. This has been most convincingly demonstrated by the ARDS Network Trial, which reported a 10% absolute reduction in mortality with a ventilator strategy using a Vt of 6 mL/kg ideal body weight compared with 12 mL/kg. Thus, initial Vt should be 6 mL/kg. Moreover, strong consideration should be given to further reducing the Vt if Pplat, adjusted for the effect of excessive chest wall stiffness, exceeds 30 cm H₂O. The Vt can be increased to as much as 8 mL/kg if there is marked asynchrony or severe acidosis, provided that the Pplat does not exceed 30 cm H₂O. Respiratory rate is adjusted to control pH. The potential for air trapping in parenchymal lung injury is low if the breathing frequency is less than 35 breaths/min. An increased inspiratory time, and even inverse ratio ventilation (e.g., APRV), can be used to increase PaO₂ with refractory hypoxemia. The mechanisms for improved oxygenation with inverse ratio ventilation include longer gas mixing time, recruitment of slowly filling alveoli, and development of auto-PEEP.

Although imaging or mechanical techniques to guide proper PEEP settings have physiologic appeal, they are technically challenging and not practical for routine use. Thus, most clinicians rely on various gas exchange criteria to guide PEEP and Fio₂ titrations. This involves the use of algorithms designed to provide adequate oxygenation (PaO₂ 55 to 80 mm Hg or SpO₂ of 88% to 95%) while minimizing Fio₂. An example would be the National Institutes of Health’s ARDS Network PEEP/Fio₂ algorithm (Figure 22–39). Note that this PEEP/Fio₂ algorithm attempts to balance pressure administration (PEEP) with SpO₂ or PaO₂ and with Fio₂. Randomized controlled trials have compared various gas exchange strategies for setting PEEP in conjunction with low Vt strategies and have reported that both aggressive (i.e., 13 to 15 cm H₂O PEEP) and conservative (i.e., 7 to 9 cm H₂O) approaches have comparable outcomes. In terms of hospital survival, however, a recent meta-analysis of these studies suggests that higher levels of PEEP may be beneficial for patients with ARDS (PaO₂/Fio₂ ≤ 200 mm Hg), whereas higher levels of PEEP are not beneficial (and may produce harm) in patients with ALI (PaO₂/Fio₂ > 200 mm Hg).

Some mechanical approaches to setting PEEP are practiced in ICUs where the staff has considerable experience managing ALI and ARDS (Box 22–6). These include titration to the highest compliance, titration to a pressure greater than the lower inflection point of the pressure–volume curve, and the best stress index. PEEP should be avoided that results in a Pplat above 30 cm H₂O. Higher levels of PEEP should be reserved for cases where
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lung recruitment can be demonstrated. In the setting of refractory hypoxemia, recruitment maneuvers may be used, followed by a level of PEEP to maintain alveolar recruitment. When setting PEEP in patients with ALI or ARDS, the hemodynamic effects of the increased intrathoracic pressure should also be monitored.

Obstructive Lung Disease
Respiratory failure from airflow obstruction is due to increases in airway resistance. This increases the pressure required for airflow, which may overload inspiratory muscles, producing a ventilatory pump failure that cannot properly empty, and auto-PEEP is produced. These regions of overinflation create dead space and put inspiratory muscles at a substantial mechanical disadvantage, which further worsens muscle function. Overinflated regions may also compress more healthy regions of the lung, impairing V/Q matching. Regions of air trapping and intrinsic PEEP also function as a threshold load to trigger mechanical breaths.

Noninvasive ventilation (NIV) is standard first-line therapy in patients with COPD and has been shown to improve outcomes by reducing the need for endotracheal intubation and improving survival in this patient population.108 NIV has also been used in other forms of obstructive lung disease (e.g., asthma, cystic fibrosis), but there is less evidence for better outcomes in these patient populations. Invasive ventilatory support is usually reserved for those who fail NIV or in those in whom NIV is contraindicated.

Tidal volume should be sufficiently low (e.g., 6 mL/kg) to ensure that Pplat values are below 30 cm H2O. The set rate is used to control pH. However, the elevated airways resistance and the low elastic recoil pressure with emphysema increase the potential for air trapping, and this limits the range of breath rates available. Permissive hypercapnia may be an appropriate trade-off to limit overdistention. The inspiratory time in obstructive lung disease is set as low as possible to minimize the development of air trapping. As noted earlier, judicious application of PEEP (up to 75% to 85% of auto-PEEP) can counterbalance auto-PEEP to facilitate triggering.109 Use of a low-density gas (e.g., helium–oxygen mixtures [heliox]) is another technique that can be used to decrease auto-PEEP.

Neuromuscular Disease
The risk of VILI is generally less in a patient with neuromuscular failure, because lung mechanics are often near normal and regional overdistention is thus less likely to occur. Higher tidal volumes may thus be used to improve comfort, maintain recruitment, prevent atelectasis, and avoid hypercapnia that may adversely affect central nervous system function. However, tidal volume should not exceed 10 mL/kg, and Pplat should be kept below 30 cm H2O.110 Low levels of PEEP are often beneficial for preventing atelectasis. If patients with neuromuscular disease develop ALI or ARDS, they should be managed using ventilator strategies incorporating lower tidal volumes and higher levels of PEEP.

Ventilatory Support Involves Trade-Offs
To provide adequate support yet minimize VILI, mechanical ventilation goals must involve trade-offs. The need for potentially injurious ventilating pressures, volumes, and supplemental O2 must be weighed against the benefits of better gas exchange. Accordingly, pH goals as low as 7.15 and Pao2 goals as low as 55 mm Hg are often considered acceptable if necessary to protect the lungs from VILI.111 Ventilator settings are thus selected

### Methods for Selecting PEEP

**Incremental PEEP:** This approach uses combinations of PEEP and FiO2 to achieve the desired level of oxygenation or the highest compliance.

**Decremental PEEP:** This approach begins with a high level of PEEP (e.g., 20 cm H2O), after which PEEP is decreased in a stepwise fashion until derecruitment occurs, typically with a decrease in Paco2 and decrease in compliance.

**Stress index measurement:** The pressure–time curve is observed during constant-flow inhalation for signs of tidal recruitment and overdistention.

**Esophageal pressure measurement:** This method estimates the intrapleural pressure by using an esophageal balloon to measure the esophageal pressure and subsequently determine the optimal level of PEEP required.

**Pressure–volume curve guidance:** PEEP is set slightly greater than the lower inflection point.
to provide an adequate, but not necessarily normal, level of gas exchange while meeting the goals of enough PEEP to maintain alveolar recruitment and avoidance of a PEEP–tidal volume combination that unnecessarily overdistends alveoli at end-inspiration. This has led to ventilatory strategies such as permissive hypercapnia, permissive hypoxemia, and permissive atelectasis.

Liberation from Mechanical Ventilation

An important aspect of the management of patients receiving mechanical ventilation is recognizing when the patient is ready to be liberated from the ventilator and extubating the patient at that point. Evidence-based clinical practice guidelines have been published related to liberation from mechanical ventilation; Box 22–7 lists the recommendations from these guidelines.

Respiratory Muscles

For successful liberation from the ventilator, the load placed on the respiratory muscles must be balanced by the muscles’ ability to meet that load (Figure 22–40). Respiratory muscle fatigue occurs if the load placed on the muscles is excessive, if the muscles are weak, or if the duty cycle (the inspiratory time relative to total cycle time) is too long. Common causes of a high load are high airways resistance, low lung compliance, and high minute ventilation. In addition, malposition of the diaphragm from air trapping compromises inspiratory muscle function. Diminished respiratory muscle function may also be the result of disease, disuse, malnutrition, hypoxia, or electrolyte imbalance. The clinical signs of respiratory muscle fatigue are tachypnea, abnormal respiratory movements (respiratory alternans and abdominal paradox), and an increase in Paco2. Because the maximum inspiratory pressure (Pimax) is a good indicator of overall respiratory muscle strength, a low Pimax may predict respiratory muscle fatigue. The Pimax is measured by attachment of an aneroid manometer to the endotracheal or tracheostomy tube. The patient then forcibly inhales after maximum exhalation. When the Pimax is measured, it is recommended that a unidirectional valve be used and that the airway be completely obstructed for 20 to 25 seconds (Figure 22–41). A Pimax more negative than −20 cm H2O suggests adequate inspiratory muscle strength. However, if the patient has high airways resistance or

### Minute Ventilation
- Pain and anxiety
- Sepsis
- Increased dead space
- Excessive feeding

### Increased Resistive Load
- Bronchospasm
- Secretions
- Small endotracheal tube

### Increased Elastic Load
- Low lung compliance
- Low chest wall compliance
  - Auto-PEEP

### Depressed Respiratory Drive
- Sedative drugs
- Brain stem lesion

### Neuromuscular Disease
- Cervical spine injury
- Phrenic nerve injury
- Critical illness polyneuropathy
- Prolonged neuromuscular blockade
- Hyperinflation (COPD)
- Malnutrition
- Electrolyte disturbance
- Primary neuromuscular disease

### Thoracic Wall Abnormality
- Flail chest
- Pain

FIGURE 22–40 Respiratory muscle performance is determined by the balance between the load that is placed on the respiratory muscles and the ability of the muscles to meet that load.
Evidence-Based Guidelines for Discontinuing Ventilatory Support

**Recommendation 1:** In patients requiring mechanical ventilation for more than 24 hours, a search for all the causes that may be contributing to ventilator dependence should be undertaken. This is particularly true in the patient who has failed attempts at withdrawing the mechanical ventilator. Reversing all possible ventilatory and nonventilatory issues should be an integral part of the ventilator discontinuation process.

**Recommendation 2:** Patients receiving mechanical ventilation for respiratory failure should undergo a formal assessment of discontinuation potential if the following criteria are satisfied: (1) evidence for some reversal of the underlying cause for respiratory failure, (2) adequate oxygenation and pH, (3) hemodynamic stability, and (4) the capability to initiate an inspiratory effort.

**Recommendation 3:** Formal discontinuation assessments for patients receiving mechanical ventilation for respiratory failure should be performed during spontaneous breathing rather than while the patient is still receiving substantial ventilatory support. An initial brief period of spontaneous breathing can be used to assess the capability of continuing onto a formal spontaneous breathing trial (SBT). The criteria with which to assess patient tolerance during SBTs are the respiratory pattern, the adequacy of gas exchange, hemodynamic stability, and subjective comfort. The tolerance of SBTs lasting 30 to 120 minutes should prompt consideration for permanent ventilator discontinuation.

**Recommendation 4:** The removal of the artificial airway from a patient who has successfully been discontinued from ventilatory support should be based on assessments of airway patency and the ability of the patient to protect the airway.

**Recommendation 5:** Patients receiving mechanical ventilation for respiratory failure who fail an SBT should have the cause for the failed SBT determined. Once reversible causes for failure are corrected, subsequent SBTs should be performed every 24 hours.

**Recommendation 6:** Patients receiving mechanical ventilation for respiratory failure who fail an SBT should receive a stable, nonfatiguing, comfortable form of ventilatory support.

**Recommendation 7:** Anesthesia/sedation strategies and ventilator management aimed at early extubation should be used in postsurgical patients.

**Recommendation 8:** Weaning/discontinuation protocols that are designed for nonphysician healthcare professionals should be developed and implemented by intensive care units. Protocols aimed at optimizing sedation also should be developed and implemented.

**Recommendation 9:** Tracheostomy should be considered after an initial period of stabilization on the ventilator when it becomes apparent that the patient will require prolonged ventilator assistance. Tracheostomy then should be performed when the patient appears likely to gain one or more of the benefits ascribed to the procedure. Patients who may derive particular benefit from early tracheostomy are the following: those requiring high levels of sedation to tolerate a translaryngeal tube; those with marginal respiratory mechanics (often manifested as tachypnea) in whom a tracheostomy tube having lower resistance might reduce the risk of muscle overload; those who may derive psychological benefit from the ability to eat orally, communicate by articulated speech, and experience enhanced mobility; and those in whom enhanced mobility may assist physical therapy efforts.

**Recommendation 10:** Unless there is evidence for clearly irreversible disease (e.g., high spinal cord injury or advanced amyotrophic lateral sclerosis), a patient requiring prolonged mechanical ventilatory support for respiratory failure should not be considered permanently ventilator dependent until 3 months of ventilator liberation attempts have failed.

**Recommendation 11:** Critical care practitioners should familiarize themselves with facilities in their communities, or units in hospitals they staff, that specialize in managing patients who require prolonged dependence on mechanical ventilation. Such familiarization should include reviewing published peer-reviewed data from those units, if available. When medically stable for transfer, patients who have failed ventilator discontinuation attempts in the intensive care unit should be transferred to those facilities that have demonstrated success and safety in accomplishing ventilator discontinuation.

**Recommendation 12:** Ventilator liberation strategies in the prolonged mechanical ventilation patient should be slow paced and should include gradually lengthening self-breathing trials.

Modified from MacIntyre NR, Cook DJ, Ely EW Jr, et al. Evidence-based guidelines for weaning and discontinuing ventilatory support: a collective task force facilitated by the American College of Chest Physicians; the American Association for Respiratory Care; and the American College of Critical Care Medicine. *Chest.* 2001;120:375S–396S.
low compliance, a Pmax of –20 cm H2O may not be adequate for unassisted breathing.

The respiratory muscles should be rested if fatigue occurs, and a rest period of 24 hours or longer may be required. Respiratory muscle rest usually is provided by ventilatory support high enough to provide patient comfort and still allow some inspiratory efforts. Importantly, total rest (i.e., no inspiratory muscle activity with controlled mechanical ventilation) can also be harmful, because muscle atrophy has been shown to develop in as little as 24 hours under these conditions. If respiratory muscle fatigue is the result of an excessive load, the load should be reduced before attempts are made to liberate the patient from the ventilator. This is done with provision of therapy that can increase lung compliance or reduce airways resistance.

The tension–time index has been used to predict diaphragmatic fatigue (Figure 22–42). The tension–time index is calculated as the product of the contractile force (Pdi/Pdi–max) and contraction duration (duty cycle, Ti/Ttot). This requires measurement of the mean transdiaphragmatic pressure (Pdi), the transdiaphragmatic pressure with maximum inhalation (Pdi–max), the inspiratory time (Ti), and the total respiratory cycle time (Ttot). A tension-time index over 0.15 is predictive of respiratory muscle fatigue. Measurement of the transdiaphragmatic pressure requires esophageal and gastric pressure measurements, which are almost never performed in mechanically ventilated patients. A simpler form of tension-time index is the pressure–time index (PTI), which can be determined more readily with equipment available in the critical care unit. It is calculated as follows:

\[ PTI = \left( \frac{P_{\text{breath}}}{P_{\text{max}}} \right) \times \left( \frac{\text{Ti}}{\text{Ttot}} \right) \]

where Pbreath is the pressure required to generate a spontaneous breath. The Pbreath can be determined with esophageal balloon measurements during a short trial of spontaneous breathing.

Assessing Readiness for Liberation

A number of factors should be improved before an attempt is made to liberate the patient from the ventilator (Box 22–8). Weaning parameters often are used to assess liberation potential and are divided into two categories: parameters affected by lung mechanics, and gas exchange parameters. The spontaneous Vt (> 5 mL/kg), respiratory rate (< 30 breaths/min), minute ventilation (< 12 L/min), vital capacity (> 15 mL/kg), and the Pmax (< –20 cm H2O) have been used as predictors of success. The rapid shallow breathing index (RSBI) is calculated by division of the spontaneous respiratory rate by the Vt (in liters). An RSBI less than 105 has been used as predictive of successful ventilator liberation, and an RSBI greater than 105 has been used to predict failure. An increase in Vd/Vt (which should be less than 0.6) and an increase in Vco2 and Vo2 imply an increased ventilatory requirement.

Despite the many weaning parameters that have been reported, however, no criterion is better at predicting extubation readiness than a spontaneous breathing trial (SBT) with an integrated assessment focusing on the respiratory pattern, gas exchange, hemodynamics, and comfort. In fact, overreliance on weaning parameters...
may result in prolonged stay on the ventilator.\textsuperscript{120} It also is important to reduce or temporarily discontinue sedation in preparation of ventilator liberation; this has been reported to decrease both days of ventilation and mortality.\textsuperscript{106,121}

**Approaches to Liberation**

Two prospective, randomized, controlled trials compared SIMV weaning (i.e., gradual reduction in mandatory breath rate), PSV weaning (i.e., gradual reduction in the level of PSV), and daily (or twice daily) SBT.\textsuperscript{122,123} In these studies, after meeting screening criteria, an SBT was performed. Both studies reported that the majority of patients were successfully extubated after the first SBT. In those who failed the initial SBT, no difference in outcome (duration of ventilation) was seen between the T piece and PSV methods. However, both the SBT and PSV methods were superior to SIMV in both studies. Although newer-generation ventilators feature modes intended to facilitate weaning (e.g., SmartCare, adaptive support ventilation, volume support), evidence is lacking that these modes hasten ventilator liberation compared with use of a daily SBT.

The traditional approach to an SBT uses a T piece, in which the patient is removed from the ventilator, and humidified supplemental oxygen is provided. Humidified gas typically is provided as a heated or cool aerosol of water from a large-volume nebulizer. For patients with reactive airways, this aerosol may induce bronchospasm. In such cases a humidification system that does not generate an aerosol should be used, such as a heated passover humidifier. Passive humidifiers (e.g., artificial noses, heat and moisture exchangers) should be avoided because of their dead space and resistive workload.

**RESPIRATORY RECAP**

**Liberation from Mechanical Ventilation**
- Regularly assess for liberation readiness.
- Perform a spontaneous breathing trial to assess readiness for extubation.
- If a spontaneous breathing trial is not tolerated, assess for causes of failure.
- Do not use synchronized intermittent mechanical ventilation (SIMV) as a weaning mode.
- Use protocols to improve successful liberation.

The SBT can be conducted without removal of the patient from the ventilator, and this approach has several advantages. No additional equipment is required, and if the patient fails the SBT, ventilatory support can be quickly reestablished. All the monitoring functions and alarms on the ventilator are available during the SBT, which may allow prompt recognition that the patient is failing the SBT. Most of the literature related to ventilator liberation studies using a traditional SBT, although several studies allowed performance of the SBT with the patient attached to the ventilator.\textsuperscript{124-126}

The SBT can be performed with no positive pressure applied to the airway, with a low level of CPAP (5 cm H\textsubscript{2}O), or with a low level of PSV (5 to 8 cm H\textsubscript{2}O). Proponents of the CPAP approach argue that this maintains functional residual capacity at a level similar to that after extubation. It is argued that, in a patient with obstructive lung disease, this low level of CPAP maintains airway patency if the patient cannot control exhalation because of the presence of the artificial airway. In patients with marginal left ventricular function, however, a low level of positive intrathoracic pressure may support the failing heart. Such patients may tolerate a CPAP trial but then develop congestive heart failure when extubated.\textsuperscript{125} Also, a low level of CPAP may counterbalance auto-PEEP in patients with COPD, resulting in a successful SBT, but respiratory failure soon after extubation.

Proponents of the low-level PSV approach argue that this overcomes the resistance to breathing through the artificial airway. However, this argument fails to recognize that the upper airway of an intubated patient typically is swollen and inflamed, such that, at least in one study, the resistance through the upper airway after extubation was similar to that seen with the endotracheal tube in place.\textsuperscript{125} Resistance through the artificial airway is affected by many factors, including the patient’s inspiratory flow, the inner diameter of the tube, whether the tube is an endotracheal or tracheostomy tube, and the presence of secretions in the tube. This makes it difficult to choose an appropriate level of pressure support to overcome tube resistance. However, one study reported similar outcomes when the SBT was performed with a T piece and with 7 cm H\textsubscript{2}O PSV.\textsuperscript{126} Similar outcomes of an SBT have also been reported with or without the use of tube compensation during an SBT.\textsuperscript{127}

Similar outcomes are likely with a 2-hour SBT or a 30-minute SBT.\textsuperscript{125} In the acute care setting, tolerance of an SBT of 30 minutes to 2 hours duration should prompt consideration for extubation. For chronically ventilator-dependent patients with a tracheostomy, the length of each SBT is increased, with alternating periods of ventilatory support and SBT. In this case, the goal may be daytime liberation with nocturnal ventilation.

**Recognition of a Failed Spontaneous Breathing Trial**

A failed SBT is discomfiting for the patient and may induce significant cardiopulmonary distress. Commonly listed criteria for discontinuation of an SBT include tachypnea (respiratory rate over 35 breaths/min for 5 minutes or longer); hypoxemia (SpO\textsubscript{2} below 90%); tachycardia (heart rate over 140 beats/min or a sustained increase above 20%); bradycardia (sustained decrease in the heart rate of over 20%); hypertension (systolic blood pressure over 180 mm Hg); hypotension (systolic blood pressure...
pressure under 90 mm Hg), and agitation, diaphoresis, or anxiety. In some patients the last three factors are not caused by SBT failure and can be appropriately treated with verbal reassurance or pharmacologic support. When SBT failure is recognized, ventilatory support should be promptly reestablished.

Causes of Spontaneous Breathing Trial Failure

When an SBT fails, the reason should be identified and corrected before another SBT is performed. There are a variety of physiologic and technical reasons why patients fail an SBT. An excessive respiratory muscle load may be the cause. High airways resistance and low compliance contribute to the increased effort necessary to breathe. Auto-PEEP may delay liberation in patients with COPD, because it increases the pleural pressure needed to initiate inhalation. Electrolyte imbalance may cause respiratory muscle weakness. Inadequate levels of potassium, magnesium, phosphate, and calcium impair ventilatory muscle function. Appropriate nutritional support often improves the ventilator discontinuation process, but care should be taken to avoid overfeeding, because excessive caloric ingestion elevates carbon dioxide production. Failure of any major organ system can result in failure to liberate the patient from the ventilator. Fever and infection are of particular concern because they increase both oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production, resulting in an increased ventilatory requirement. Cardiac dysfunction can delay liberation until appropriate management of cardiovascular status has occurred.

Once the patient has been judged to no longer need mechanical ventilatory support, attention then turns to the need for the artificial airway. This requires a different set of assessments that focus on the patient’s ability to protect the natural airway. Key parameters include cough strength and the need for suctioning (i.e., suctioning requirements exceeding every 2 hours should preclude extubation). Although the ability to follow commands is desirable before extubation, it is not essential in patients otherwise able to protect the airway.

In appropriately selected patients (e.g., those recovering from a COPD exacerbation), extubation to NIV may reduce the duration of mechanical ventilation. Extubation to NIV can also be considered to prevent extubation failure in patients at risk, such as those with COPD, cardiac disease, or others at risk for extubation failure. However, NIV is generally not recommended to rescue a failed extubation. If the patient fails extubation, consideration should be given to emergent reintubation.

Ventilator Discontinuation (Weaning) Protocols

Ventilator discontinuation (weaning) protocols have become increasingly popular in recent years, and these protocols typically are implemented by respiratory therapists and nurses. Studies have reported improved outcomes when protocols are used. Figure 22–43 presents the elements of an effective protocol. From these elements incorporating best evidence, a specific protocol can be developed that meets the local culture of the ICU. Note that the use of an SBT is central to the protocol.

**KEY POINTS**

- Efforts should be made to avoid complications during mechanical ventilation.
- Forms of ventilator-induced lung injury include alveolar overdistention and repetitive opening and closing.
- Volume control ventilation maintains minute ventilation but allows airway pressure and plateau pressure to fluctuate.
- Pressure control ventilation allows minute ventilation to fluctuate, but airway pressure is limited to the peak pressure set on the ventilator.
- Modes on modern ventilators include continuous mandatory ventilation, synchronized intermittent mandatory ventilation, pressure support ventilation, continuous positive airway pressure, adaptive pressure control, adaptive support ventilation, airway pressure release ventilation, tube compensation, proportional assist ventilation, neurally adjusted ventilatory assist, and high-frequency oscillatory ventilation.
- The tidal volume should be set to avoid overdistention lung injury: 6 mL/kg PBW is a suggested initial setting.
- The respiratory rate and I:E ratio are set to control the PaCO₂ and to avoid hemodynamic compromise and auto-PEEP.
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